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<tr>
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<tr>
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<td>Alcohol related brain injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBT</td>
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<tr>
<td>CYP 450</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>DASSA</td>
<td>Drug and Alcohol Services South Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSM-IV</td>
<td>Diagnostic and statistical manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>Human immunodeficiency virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD</td>
<td>Generalised anxiety disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAO-I</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDMA</td>
<td>3,4 - methylendioxymethamphetamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRT</td>
<td>Nicotine Replacement Therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCD</td>
<td>Obsessive compulsive disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARC</td>
<td>Primary Mental Health Care Australian Resource Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTSD</td>
<td>Post traumatic stress disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Randomised controlled trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNRI</td>
<td>Selective noradrenaline re-uptake inhibitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSRI</td>
<td>Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBI</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>THC</td>
<td>Tetrahydrocannabinol</td>
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Preface

The original comorbidity management guidelines were published in 2002 when it became apparent that there was a need for clinically relevant information concerning specific comorbidity patterns to be collated into one accessible resource. Most importantly, this resource provided clinicians with information on how best to manage individuals with comorbid mental disorders and substance use issues.

At the time, the information contained in the resource was based on clinician knowledge in the area and what management approaches were effective based on prior experience.

The updated guidelines provide a greater breadth of information on the same previously discussed topics and have a greater academic foundation.

The current guidelines also include information not previously included relating to brain injury, gambling, tobacco, inhalants and solvents.
**Introduction**

Comorbidity or the co-occurrence of mental disorders and substance use disorders is common. The prevalence of comorbidity in the community and the complex interactions that occur between the two sets of disorders should raise doubts about the manner in which we continue to deal with each entity separately. Clinicians need to consider these problems as part of a whole complex of phenomena that are closely linked to one another.

There are significant problems with the management of people with comorbidity. There is a dearth of evidence about best practice. Specialist mental health or alcohol and other drugs (AOD) services, where they are available, are usually separated physically, administratively and philosophically. Only recently has training for general practitioners (GPs) become adequate for the problems that are faced on a day to day basis, either in the mental health field or the AOD field.

The original resource was developed as a result of work previously undertaken by PARC in 2001 with the development of a set of principles for the management of people with comorbidity.

The updating of these guidelines was undertaken in 2007–08 by Drug and Alcohol Services South Australia, through funding from the Australian Government under the National Comorbidity Initiative, to include the most current management principles obtained from the literature and clinical practice.

There is still a dearth of information available in the literature for some areas of comorbidity discussed in these guidelines. Therefore, management principles in these areas are based on what is currently thought to be reasonable clinical practice rather than on high levels of evidence. In addition, many people with comorbidity have more than one mental disorder and may have problematic use of several substances. This resource is a simple guide that provides a starting point for clinicians.

*Andrea Gordon and Chris Holmwood*

*Drug and Alcohol Services South Australia*

*2008*
1 Levels of evidence

1.1 Management approaches

In these guidelines, the quality of scientific evidence supporting statements concerning clinical practice is indicated by the following indications of ‘level of evidence’:

1.1.1 Level of evidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>****</td>
<td>STRONG Systematic review of multiple randomised controlled trials (RCT) OR multiple RCTs with consistent findings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>***</td>
<td>GOOD At least one RCT or multiple comparative (non-randomised) studies with consistent findings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**</td>
<td>MODERATE Any form of comparative (non-randomised) study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*</td>
<td>SOME Case series (single treatment group).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO RATING No experimental studies – any statements are based on single case reports or clinical opinion/expertise.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This system of rating the level of evidence draws on the system used by the National Health and Medical Research Council (NH&MRC) of Australia (A guide to the development, implementation and evaluation of clinical practice guidelines. Canberra: NH&MRC, 1999. www.nhmrc.gov.au/publications/synopses/cp65syn.htm), and the Australian National Council on Drugs (ANCD) (Monograph 4).

1.2 Drug interactions

In these guidelines, the quality of scientific evidence supporting statements concerning drug interactions is indicated by different indications of ‘level of evidence’:

As it is unethical to conduct RCTs of drug interactions in clinical populations, it is not possible to base levels of evidence on clinical RCTs. As a result, the following ‘level of evidence’ statements have been formulated.
1.2.1 Level of evidence

| XXX | DEFINITE | Multiple case reports or controlled pharmacological studies have confirmed interactions of the combination of these drugs. Caution: if these drugs are administered in combination with one another, interactions will occur. Alternative treatment should be sought if appropriate. Should it be necessary to combine these drugs, patients should be monitored and doses adjusted as needed to prevent adverse events. |
| XX  | LIKELY   | Case reports indicate that interactions have occurred in a clinical situation. Alternatives should be offered where appropriate. If the combination is to be administered, the individual should be monitored to confirm interactions and adjust treatment if necessary. |
| X   | THEORETICAL | Based on pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of the drugs, there is a theoretical possibility that they may interact to cause a different effect if the drugs were administered individually OR in vitro, or animal studies suggest that there may be an interaction. Individuals taking these combinations of drugs should be monitored. |
2 Management of people with comorbidity of mental disorders and substance use

Mental disorders and substance use occur together very frequently and can interact negatively on one another.

Little evidence is available to allow advice about safe levels of substance use in people with mental disorders. Safe levels of consumption in the general population may cause severe adverse effects in people with mental disorders\(^1\). The assumption should be that any substance use has the potential to cause deleterious effects on the comorbid mental disorder.

The management of comorbid mental disorders and substance use requires a long-term perspective. Actual clinician interventions may be brief or extended over a period of time. A doctor-patient relationship based on honesty, trust and respect will form the basis for effective therapy. Active listening skills and a patient-centred clinical method should be used to establish rapport and develop a common understanding of the problems and an agreed management plan.

2.1 Detection

The most common questions include:

- How to identify the presence of possible problems.
- Which problem to focus on first.
- Whether/how to address both problems concurrently\(^3\).

Failure to detect all issues may contribute to poor treatment retention and outcomes\(^3\).

When a patient presents with either a substance-use\(^1\) related problem or a mental disorder then the clinician should routinely enquire about the other.

Comorbidity should be assumed to be present when progress or response to therapy for either mental disorders or substance use appears to be ineffective or not progressing as planned. Comorbidity should be actively sought and needs to be excluded.

2.2 Assessment

A full assessment often takes several consultations. This assessment should include determination of:

- The patterns of substance use.
- The day-to-day problems associated with substance use.
- The reasons for substance use.
- The effect that it might be having on the mental disorder.
- The nature of the mental disorder itself, diagnosis, previous treatments and responses to treatment.

---

1 Substance use: is intended to be a non-quantitative term for the consumption of a substance. The risk associated with the use may range from low to high. It does not necessarily imply dependent use.

2 Substance misuse: is a term for the consumption of a substance where the risk associated with the use is moderate or high, or where a substance is not being used for its intended therapeutic purpose, or use exceeds recommended therapeutic quantities.

3 Substance abuse is not a term favoured by the author but has been used in the context of information derived from publications using the DSM-IV diagnosis of substance abuse.
2.3 General management

Management should be based on the patient’s readiness for change. This readiness for change might be different for the management of the substance use than for the mental disorder. For example, the patient may be ready to address their mental disorder and engage in treatment but not yet ready to alter their substance use, and vice versa.

Management should aim to increase the patient’s awareness of the negative effect that the substance use and the mental disorder are having on each other.

Management should involve family or carers where appropriate.

2.4 Specific management

Detoxification should be offered as a first step to enable engagement in long-term approaches and decision making.

Specific management steps should include where appropriate:
- Provision of information.
- Structured problem solving.
- Motivational interviewing.
- Brief behavioural or cognitive approaches.

2.5 Pharmacological approaches

Clinicians should avoid using substances of dependence such as methadone unless these are used as part of a harm reduction plan.

Benzodiazepines should not be used for more than a few days. Generally, longer acting benzodiazepines are preferable.

Clinicians should consider whether the current medication for the mental disorder is adequate or causing side-effects as the patient may be self medicating with non-prescribed drugs to relieve symptoms or side effects.

The clinician should consider potential interactions between all substances used.

If there is drug-seeking behaviour, then engagement of the patient in a planned and limited prescribing program is required (e.g. Medicare Australia consent for all prescribing information to go to the one prescriber).

2.6 Referral

People with comorbid mental disorders and substance use frequently need care from several different disciplines. It is important that communication between all clinicians and service providers is coordinated. The use of a care plan in these instances is advisable.

Consider referral when:
- Self-harm risk or risk to others is present.
- Acute exacerbation of mental disorder occurs.
- Drug dependence with major associated problems (legal, health, social) is present.
- Complicated detoxification is anticipated.

It is important for GPs to develop links with local specialist mental health or AOD services (where they exist).
3  The common drug groups

3.1  Cannabis/hallucinogens

Cannabis (marijuana, hash, grass, dope)
Lysergic acid diethylamide (LSD, acid)
Psilocybin (magic mushrooms)
Datura (angel’s trumpet)
Anticholinergic drugs, (benztropine, benzhexol, orphenadrine)

Cannabis is by far the most commonly used hallucinogen. Cannabis is derived from the hemp plant and contains the active substrate tetrahydrocannabinol (THC). THC exerts its effects via the central nervous system producing a mixture of hallucinogenic, delusional and depressant effects along with other centrally-mediated peripheral autonomic effects.

The drug is often used in group settings as it enhances sociability and at low doses causes a high that includes feelings of relaxation and happiness. In larger doses (larger amounts, parts of the plant with higher concentration, more potent cultivars), cannabis may produce effects similar to LSD.

LSD is a hallucinogenic or psychedelic drug. LSD and regular cannabis use can trigger underlying mental disorders and produce delusions, paranoia and schizophrenia-like states, particularly in people with a family history. These substances can also produce extreme anxiety states or panic attacks, not only while the person is under the influence of the drug, but for some time after.

Psilocybin causes similar effects to LSD; however, these effects are not usually as intense or long lasting. Anticholinergic drugs, e.g. benztropine, benzhexol or orphenadrine may be prescribed to alleviate extrapyramidal symptoms in patients with psychosis. However, these drugs may themselves lead to hallucinations and are therefore sometimes sold for recreational use. Several psychotropic drugs have less marked anticholinergic effects, e.g. tricyclic antidepressants or thioridazine, and may interact with prescribed or illicit drugs to produce an anticholinergic psychosis.

Effects sought from the substance:

Cannabis: Relaxation, increased appetite (and antiemetic effects), feelings of happiness, analgesia, sleepiness as well as feelings of sharpened sensory awareness. Hallucinogens: (e.g. LSD and psilocybin): Perceptual changes such as hallucinations.

Associated harms:

Cannabis: Hallucinations, anxiety, panic attacks, paranoia, nausea, impaired judgment and motor coordination, dependence, reduced motivation, persistent cognitive impairment while using the drug, acute and chronic lung problems.
Hallucinogens (e.g. LSD and psilocybin): Dissociation, disordered thought, flashbacks and frightening hallucinations and delusions which can lead to violence.
Overdose:

*Cannabis*: Risk is small.

*Hallucinogens (e.g. LSD and psilocybin)*: LSD is not so much associated with overdose; however, a ‘bad trip’ may result in hallucinatory experiences which take on a menacing quality accompanied by paranoid delusions, often resulting in accidental or intentional self harm.

Withdrawal:

*Cannabis*: Unlikely although symptoms may include anorexia, disturbed sleep, irritability and moodiness.

*Hallucinogens (e.g. LSD and psilocybin)*: There is little evidence of dependence, and therefore withdrawal, as drugs tend to be aversive rather than reinforcing.

Psychological presentations commonly associated with use (likely to resolve on cessation of substance use):

*Cannabis*: Depression\(^{[4, 8]}\), anxiety\(^{[6-13]}\), precipitation of psychotic symptoms\(^{[2, 14, 15]}\).

*LSD*: Precipitation of schizophrenia.

### 3.2 Alcohol

Alcohol (ethanol) is one of the most commonly used and misused substances. It is a depressant drug that slows down body reactions and general brain function. Alcohol is readily available and its excessive consumption has become part of Australian culture.

In Australia, the 12 month prevalence of harmful use of alcohol is 3.0% while the prevalence of dependence is 3.5%. Men are twice as likely to experience dependence compared with women.

The secondary effects of alcohol make it dangerous with motor vehicle accidents, alcohol-related violence (including domestic violence) and liver disease which are all major causes of morbidity and mortality.

The depressive effects of alcohol make it a significant risk factor in the development of mental health problems, particularly depression.

**Effects sought from the substance**: Relaxation and reduced social inhibition.

**Associated harms**: Slurring of speech, reduced motor-coordination, reduced vision and consciousness, liver disease, gastrointestinal disease, anaemia, malnutrition (thiamine deficiency), central nervous system disturbances (from psychosis through to dementia) and heart disease.

**Overdose**: Moderate risk of overdose. Alcohol becomes particularly dangerous when used in conjunction with other depressant substances (e.g. benzodiazepines).

**Withdrawal**: If dependence is established, then withdrawal effects include nausea and vomiting, agitation, tremor, sweating, hallucinations, and seizures. Withdrawal can be life threatening.

**Psychological presentations commonly associated with use (likely to resolve on cessation of substance use)**: Depression\(^{[16, 17]}\) and anxiety\(^{[16, 18-23]}\).
### 3.3 Opioids

Heroin, codeine, morphine, oxycodone, hydromorphone, buprenorphine, pethidine, dextropropoxyphene, methadone, tramadol*

Opiates are analgesic drugs derived from the opium poppy. The term ‘opioids’ includes both opiates (based on naturally occurring compounds) and synthetic compounds that act on opiate receptors.

Opioids are generally taken to produce a sense of wellbeing and to reduce the effects of stress and pain.

Opioids are addictive and habit forming. Regular use quickly creates tolerance leading the user to seek increasingly larger doses of the drug to achieve the same effect. Physical withdrawal effects, while very unpleasant, are rarely life-threatening.

Opioids are frequently injected. Harmful chemical contaminants used as cutting agents may include talc, glucose, quinine and strychnine.

**Effects sought from the substance:** Sense of wellbeing, reduction in stress and pain relief.

**Associated harms:** Respiratory depression, clouded mental functioning, nausea, vomiting, sweating, itchy skin, constipation, and lung complications (due to aspiration in overdose).

Problems associated with injecting drug use include: scarred or collapsed veins, abscesses, bacterial infections, blood borne viruses (e.g. hepatitis B and C, Human Immunodeficiency Virus [HIV]), thrombophlebitis, intravascular sepsis, endocarditis, accidental arterial injection and peripheral ischaemia.

Long-term opioid use can result in gonadal suppression, reduced bone density and increased osteoporotic fracture risk.

**Overdose:** Opioids carry a high risk of lethal overdose. Overdose results in respiratory and cardiovascular depression and loss of consciousness which leads to death. Tolerance to opioids develops rapidly resulting in the use of increasing amounts of unknown drug purity, heightening the risk of accidental overdose.

**Withdrawal:** In the dependent person, withdrawal is uncomfortable, somewhat resembling influenza. Features include rhinorrhea, lacrimation, shivers and sweats, pilo-erection, sleeplessness, restlessness and agitation, abdominal and general muscular pains, diarrhoea and nausea.

**Psychological presentations commonly associated with use (likely to resolve on cessation of substance use):** Depression and anxiety.

*atypical opioid with significant serotoninergic effect

### 3.4 Stimulants (including methamphetamine)

Cocaine, dexamphetamine, methamphetamine (including crystal and ice), ecstasy (3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine, MDMA), methylphenidate, ephedrine, pseudoephedrine (Sudafed)

Stimulants are drugs that are purported to enhance sociability, confidence and alertness while reducing inhibition. The sensation of euphoria and wellbeing associated with the use of stimulants makes it highly sought after. However, these effects are usually only short lasting.
The physiological effects of stimulants include increased heart rate, blood pressure and temperature. Amphetamines, in general, reduce coordination, increase risk taking and are associated with an increase in the incident of road accidents.

**Effects sought by user:** Euphoria, empathy, enhanced sociability, increased energy level and stamina, and appetite suppression.

**Associated harms:** Tachycardia, hypertension, seizures, arrhythmias, increased risk taking including sexual activity and dangerous driving, paranoia, fear reduction, hallucinations, tremor, mydriasis, dehydration, diaphoresis, hyponatremia, acute renal failure, hypothermia, nausea, muscle cramping, jaw clenching, jitteriness, racing thoughts followed by periods of depression and low energy, anxiety, depression, paranoia and risk of suicide.

Injecting drug use is also associated with those risks described above for opioids. Problems associated with injecting drug use include: scarred or collapsed veins, abscesses, bacterial infections, blood borne viruses (e.g. hepatitis B and C, Human Immunodeficiency Virus [HIV]), thrombophlebitis, intravascular sepsis, endocarditis, accidental arterial injection and peripheral ischaemia.

Longer term structural brain changes result in memory problems, reduced problem solving ability, impaired concentration and personality change have been reported with heavy use.

**Overdose:** Low to medium risk of overdose for oral use. However, there is a high risk of overdose associated with smoking or injecting use.

**Withdrawal:** Depression, dysphoria, fatigue, exhaustion and somnolence and loss of appetite lasting up to two weeks.

Following prolonged use, insomnia, persistent craving, intense dreaming and irritability may ensue and last several weeks or months.

**Psychological presentations commonly associated with use (likely to resolve on cessation of substance use):** Psychosis, depression, and anxiety.

### 3.5 Benzodiazepines

Diazepam, temazepam, oxazepam, nitrazepam, alprazolam, flunitrazepam, lorazepam, clonazepam, midazolam

Benzodiazepines are sedative drugs that may be prescribed to reduce symptoms associated with anxiety, encourage sleep or act as a muscle relaxant. Both prescribed and non-prescribed use of these medications is extremely common. Short-acting or rapidly-absorbed varieties (for example, alprazolam) produce a more immediate feeling of intoxication, are the most sought after by doctor shoppers and can be sold or exchanged on the street.

Benzodiazepines are often used in combination with alcohol, other benzodiazepines or other illicit drugs. Dependence may occur when benzodiazepines are taken in an attempt to medicate (self-medicate) symptoms that are associated with an undiagnosed mental disorder such as anxiety.

**Effects sought by user:** Euphoria, anxiolysis, skeletal muscle relaxation and sedation.
Associated harms: Drowsiness, confusion, disinhibition, impaired coordination and increased driving risk, light and sound sensitivity, forgetfulness or memory impairment, nausea, depression, digestive problems, tachycardia, apnoea, ataxia, hypotension and seizures.

When injected intravenously vein damage is common along with those harms associated with injecting drug use mentioned earlier. Problems associated with injecting drug use include: scarred or collapsed veins, abscesses, bacterial infections, blood borne viruses (e.g. hepatitis B and C, Human Immunodeficiency Virus [HIV]), thrombophlebitis, intravascular sepsis, endocarditis, accidental arterial injection and peripheral ischaemia.

When accidentally injected arterially, benzodiazepines can result in peripheral ischaemia and gangrene.

Overdose: Risk is variable depending on the strength of particular formulations. However, taken in large amounts and/or in conjunction with other benzodiazepines or other substances (usually alcohol), there is a high risk of overdose, particularly in people with a high suicide risk. Overdose results in prolonged periods of sleep combined with respiratory and cardiovascular depression which can be fatal when combined with alcohol.

Withdrawal: There is an established withdrawal effect associated with the use of benzodiazepines. Likelihood of withdrawal is higher for stronger, shorter-acting types that are used for a long period of time. Withdrawal effects include anxiety, depression, problems with sleeping, irritability, palpitations and sensory disturbances. Seizures can occur with sudden withdrawal from more than 40mg/day of diazepam or diazepam equivalents.

Psychological presentations commonly associated with use (likely to resolve on cessation of substance use): Depression and rebound anxiety \[^{57-59}\].

3.6 Inhalants/solvents

Inhalants and solvents are psychoactive drugs that are part of a class of volatile substances that give off gas or vapours at room temperature. They include a wide range of substances including petrol, spray paints and some glues as well as other chemicals such as butane.

The effects of inhalants or solvents vary greatly and depend on which substance is inhaled or sniffed. Most are absorbed rapidly and produce short-term effects similar to those of anaesthetics by depressing the central nervous system. When inhaled in sufficient concentrations, inhalants can cause intoxication and feelings of stimulation that are intense but usually only last a few minutes. This effect of intoxication can be extended for several hours by breathing in inhalants repeatedly. Repeated inhalations make users feel less inhibited and less in control. Users can lose consciousness with continued use.

Young people may use inhalants as they are cheaper and more easily accessible than alcohol. Long-term chronic inhalant users become difficult to treat due to cognitive impairment combined with multiple social and psychological issues.

Effects sought by user: Excitement, dizziness, exhilaration and feelings of self confidence.
**Associated harms:** Visual and auditory hallucinations, nausea, dullness, disorientation, loss of self control, blurred vision, drowsiness, lack of muscle coordination and slurring, red and watery eyes, cough, runny nose, short-term memory loss, mental confusion, thinking and learning problems, irritability, hostility, extreme tiredness, trembling, loss of control of fine movements, slowed reaction time, dizziness, chronic headaches, sinusitis and nosebleeds, spots/rash around the mouth and nose, indigestion and stomach ulcers, liver and kidney damage and hearing loss.

Permanent brain injury can occur from the use of solvents.

**Overdose:** More likely to occur with high concentration substances such as butane and occurs after repeated sniffing/inhalations in a single session. Tachyarrhythmias, heart failure and death can be directly induced within minutes of a session of repeated inhalation.

Delirium, fever, hallucinations, restlessness, seizures, confusion and unconsciousness can all occur with repeated sniffing. Death from hypoxia can occur through the displacement of oxygen in the lungs or by effects on haemoglobin oxygen binding.

**Withdrawal:** Headache, nausea, stomach and other muscle cramps, fatigue, tremors, hallucinations and visual disturbances, anxiety, depression, loss of appetite, irritation, aggressive behaviour and dizziness.

Psychological presentations commonly associated with use (likely to resolve on cessation of substance use): Paranoia and psychosis\(^{60-62}\) and depression\(^{61, 64}\).

For further information please consult:

National Directions on Inhalant Abuse Final Report\(^{65}\).


### 3.7 Tobacco

Tobacco comes from the dried leaves of the tobacco plant with the majority of tobacco consumption in Australia being via cigarettes. After drying, the tobacco leaves are treated with a vast array of chemicals before being made into cigarettes. Many of the chemicals used to treat the tobacco leaves are carcinogenic.

Tobacco smoking is the leading preventable cause of premature death in Australia and causes more sickness and disease than any other drug, contributing to the death of over 15,000 Australians each year.

Nicotine is the pharmacologically active ingredient in tobacco. It is responsible for the addictiveness of cigarettes and other tobacco products and is highly toxic. Smoking tobacco delivers nicotine rapidly to the brain, contributing to its addictiveness. Its absorption causes nervous system stimulation, increased heart rate, raised blood pressure and constriction of small blood vessels.

**Effects sought by user:** Reduction of anxiety and tension and increased alertness.

**Associated harms:** Nausea, increased heart rate and blood pressure, cancer (especially lung, mouth and throat cancer), hypertension, ischaemic heart disease, chronic bronchitis, stroke and blindness.

Smoking harms nearly every organ in the body causing a wide range of diseases, many of which can result in the premature death of the smoker.
**Overdose:** Unlikely.

**Withdrawal:** Nicotine is highly addictive and produces a withdrawal syndrome characterised by craving, irritability, restlessness and anxiety, impaired performance of psychomotor tasks as well as difficulty concentrating, aggressiveness, frustration, sleep disturbances, depressed mood, decreased heart rate, increased appetite or weight gain.

Smokers claim they smoke to relax, reduce stress, increase alertness and concentration, regulate mood and control/lower body weight. However, it is difficult to separate these reported positive effects from the relief of nicotine withdrawal symptoms.

Withdrawal symptoms can last for two to three weeks; however, cravings may persist for months but at reduced frequency and intensity.

**Psychological presentations commonly associated with use (likely to resolve on cessation of substance use):** Not currently described.

For further information please consult:

*Smoking cessation guidelines for Australian general practice*\(^{(66)}\).

4 Tobacco

4.1 Comorbidity with tobacco

- Smoking is much more common amongst people with mental disorders compared with those without a mental disorder in Australia.
- Smoking cessation strategies that are effective in the general population can be implemented and are effective at the same time as treatment for mental disorders.
- People with mental disorders are able to quit smoking if their mental disorder is under control and other psychotropic medication dosing remains stable.

While tobacco smoking rates have dropped significantly in the general community, the rate amongst people with mental illness is still very high.

This has been highlighted by a number of studies:

- “People with psychotic illness: An Australian study 1997-98” found that 73% of males and 56% of females with psychotic illness smoke tobacco and over 80% of these people smoke more than 20 cigarettes per day(67).
- Conversely, the National Survey of Mental Health and Wellbeing(68) found that 35% of smokers between 18–39 years had a mental disorder(69).

The incidence of smoking amongst those with a mental disorder appears to be culturally related and varies between countries(70).

4.1.1 Effects of tobacco on mental disorder

There are many theories about why people with mental disorders have a high rate of tobacco use. Nicotine may help to:

- Relieve some of the symptoms of different mental disorders by:
  - Briefly improving auditory gating (the ability to block out irrelevant every day sounds, e.g. ticking clock) in smokers with schizophrenia(71) and other extrapyramidal side effects(2).
  - Reducing symptoms of anxiety and depression(72-75).
- Improve cognitive function(71, 76).
- Reduce side effects of some medications such as akathisia or restlessness(77).
- Suppress appetite in an attempt to lose weight in those with eating disorders(78-81).

Most of these effects are likely to be caused directly by nicotine. However, for some symptoms such as depression and anxiety, it can be difficult to separate the effects of nicotine from the relief of withdrawal(82-84).

While more recent research has enabled separation of withdrawal relief and direct effects of nicotine, nicotine withdrawal at times can present in a similar manner to some of the symptoms of the mental disorder (particularly depression and anxiety). As a result, it can occasionally be misinterpreted by the person with the mental disorder as well as the clinician and must be taken into consideration.
It is also likely that external social and environmental factors contribute significantly to, and account for, the high rates of smoking amongst individuals with mental disorders. These include higher rates of smoking amongst family, friends and within mental health services, the perceived role of smoking in relieving stress and as a coping mechanism, in aiding low self esteem and social mixing, and in dealing with boredom. In addition, people with mental disorders may have more difficulty quitting or reducing tobacco use because of limited support from health workers. They may have more difficulty coping with withdrawal symptoms and may lack the support and confidence needed to persist with their quitting efforts.

People with mental disorders have identified other effects of tobacco smoking including barriers to community involvement, accommodation difficulties, stigma and shame, the negative effects on appearance and other people, stained fingers and teeth, the smell of tobacco, and the added stress caused by living with an addiction.

As well as the serious impact on physical health, many people with mental disorders are spending a significant percentage of their income on cigarettes. As a result they have very little money for food, recreation, public transport, clothing and health care.

The affordability of nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) and other treatments may, therefore, be a significant factor in restricting access. While the cost of NRT has been implemented so that it is more economical than continuing to smoke, the cost may be viewed in the same manner that clients perceive costs associated with methadone and buprenorphine dispensing. That is, individuals with mental disorders and substance use disorders are often unable to prioritise aspects of their life which include the inability to budget and rationalise treatment benefits. Therefore, they may perceive the cost of NRT to be prohibitive despite being a cheaper alternative than continuing to smoke.

A history of mental disorders does not necessarily mean, however, that individuals do not wish to quit smoking. Evidence also suggests if the above mentioned factors such as demographics, diagnosis and concurrent medication are taken into account, smoking cessation strategies that are effective in the general population can be implemented and are effective at the same time as treatment for mental disorders. People with mental health disorders are able to quit smoking if their mental disorder is under control and other psychotropic medication dosing remains stable. Improvements in anxiety and depression have also been observed in those who cease smoking.

4.1.2 Tobacco use in combination with other substance-use disorders

Tobacco use amongst people with alcohol and other substance-use disorders may be as high as three times that of the general community. As comorbid tobacco consumption amongst individuals with other substance-use disorders (and mental disorders) is common, it is accepted as ‘normal’ by clinicians. As a result, individuals with other substance-use issues or mental disorders do not receive support to address tobacco consumption. These people are often not asked about their tobacco use and little information is provided to them on the risks of smoking and benefits of quitting. They are also rarely offered the opportunity to quit.

Traditionally, the drug treatment culture has explicitly excluded smoking cessation treatments and dissuaded individuals from attempting cessation out of concern that concurrent treatment of multiple drugs of abuse is too difficult and may compromise sobriety. Tobacco use has few immediate consequences (including lack of direct psychoactive effects), and thus has not been a priority for treatment.

Yet, the use of tobacco accounts for greater morbidity than alcohol and all other drugs combined. Among individuals treated for alcohol dependence, tobacco-related diseases were responsible for half of all deaths – greater than alcohol-related causes.
In a 24-year study of long-term users, the death rate among cigarette smokers was four times that of non-smokers. Although the magnitude of the problem of tobacco use in this patient population is clear, questions of when and how to best intervene remain\(^{(105)}\).

However, evidence suggests that smoking cessation strategies can be implemented and are effective at the same time as treatment for alcohol and other drug use if patients are established and stable in treatment\(^{(106)}\).

Studies have shown that people with substance-use disorders are able to quit smoking especially if their other substance use is abating. Quitting smoking may also help control their use of other substances\(^{(102, 107, 108)}\).

### 4.1.3 Interactions between tobacco and therapeutic agents used for mental disorders

The hydrocarbons in cigarette smoke (not the nicotine) have been shown to increase the body’s ability to metabolise some medications via the cytochrome P450 system, resulting in reduced plasma concentrations of these medications\(^{(109)}\). As a result, people who stop smoking may find that plasma levels of certain medications increase significantly.

Nicotine can significantly decrease plasma concentrations of antipsychotics, resulting in increased dosing requirements of these medications in known smokers\(^{(2)}\).

The metabolism of the following medications is known to be affected by cigarette smoke: clozapine\(^{(110, 111)}\), fluphenazine, haloperidol and olanzapine\(^{(112, 113)}\). Clinically, it is recommended that the plasma levels of these medications and the presence of side effects should be monitored before and after smoking cessation\(^{(114, 115)}\).

Antipsychotics are known to lower seizure threshold and bupropion has been known to induce seizures and psychosis. Individuals receiving these two combinations of substances are therefore more vulnerable to seizures and should be monitored closely\(^{(2)}\).

Please refer to P450 website to observe possible interactions between smoking and therapeutic agents:

http://medicine.iupui.edu/flockhart/table.htm

### 4.1.4 Implications for management

The World Health Organization (WHO) has recommended three broad strategies for assisting people to quit or reduce tobacco use\(^{(108)}\):

1. The routine delivery for all smokers of brief opportunistic intervention by health professionals.
2. Intensive support provided as a backup for brief intervention, including quit/reduce groups, coping skills information and support.
3. Pharmacological aids.

These three strategies are discussed in the following paragraphs.

All forms of treatment may require a degree of modification to accommodate those with mental disorders who have impaired cognitive ability.
1. Brief intervention

All smokers should be asked regularly about their tobacco use and offered at least brief intervention.

The *Smoking cessation guidelines for Australian general practice* (2004) recommended the 5As model for brief intervention[66]:

- **Ask** about smoking and keep an up-to-date record of smoking status.
- **Advise** smokers to stop smoking in a clear, straightforward and non-judgemental manner.
- **Assess** motivation to quit or reduce tobacco use.
- **Assist** the smoker by offering support and information about ways to quit/reduce, withdrawal symptoms, coping strategies, pharmacotherapy aids and other supports.
- **Arrange** follow-up if possible.

2. Support

Smokers need a range of cognitive and behavioural strategies to address their tobacco use. They may require:

- Support to plan their quitting attempt.
- Information regarding trigger situations and how to cope with them.
- Information regarding withdrawal symptoms and cravings.
- Help to identify and work through barriers to quitting.
- Relapse prevention skills.
- Coping skills.

Motivational interviewing is an effective way to help increase commitment to quitting.

The Stages of Change Model is a valuable model for assessing a person’s readiness to change and suggests interventions targeted to the person’s current stage in the Stage of Change. In the model the patient is at one of several stages relevant to changing their behaviour. The stages are:

- Precontemplation: the patient is not intending to change.
- Contemplation: the patient is thinking about changing.
- Determination: the patient has decided to change.
- Action: the patient is taking active steps towards changing.
- Maintenance: the changed behaviour has been established.
- Relapse: the patient has reverted to the previous behaviour.
Each stage requires a different intervention from the clinician.

For further information concerning motivational interviewing and stages of change models, please refer to:

*Putting prevention into practice: Guidelines for the implementation of prevention in the general practice setting*\(^{(116)}\)


3. Pharmacological aids

**Nicotine replacement therapy**

Nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) aims to replace some of the nicotine obtained from cigarettes. This reduces physical withdrawal symptoms when stopping smoking, helps the person resist the urge to smoke\(^{(84)}\) and is acceptable in those with mental disorders\(^{(93, 96)}\):

- It is available in patches, lozenges, inhalers, sublingual tablets and gum.
- It does not contain other toxic substances found in cigarettes, such as tar and carbon monoxide.
- It does not produce the dramatic surges of plasma nicotine concentrations.
- It does not produce dependence.
- It has been shown to increase quit rates by approximately 1.5–2 times, regardless of the setting\(^{(117)}\).

**Combination NRT or high dose NRT**

People who are heavy smokers (smoke more than 20 cigarettes a day) can use combined treatments if they are still experiencing withdrawal symptoms and are unable to quit using a single type of NRT. For example, using a combination of a patch with gum, lozenge or inhaler may be more effective.

NRT is contraindicated and medical advice is recommended for people who;

- Have had a recent heart attack or stroke.
- Have ischaemic heart disease with current active angina.
- Experience cardiac arrhythmias.
- Are under the age of 12 years.

In addition, specific products are not recommended for some people, including:

- **Patches**: for those with severe skin disease or an allergy to the patch.
- **Lozenges**: for those with phenylketonuria.
- **Inhalers**: for those who are hypersensitive to menthol.
- **Gum**: for those who have difficulties chewing gum\(^{(84)}\).

NRT for pregnant women and nursing mothers is indicated with precaution and medical advice should be sought regarding the appropriate product and dosage.
**Bupropion (Zyban)**

Bupropion is a non-nicotine oral therapy to assist smoking cessation. Its mechanisms of action are still unclear; however, it is thought to inhibit the reuptake of noradrenaline and dopamine. It has been shown to reduce the withdrawal symptoms associated with stopping smoking. In a number of clinical trials, bupropion demonstrated a significant effect in increasing long-term abstinence from smoking\(^6\) as well as proving its effectiveness and acceptability for use in those with mental disorders\(^94\-97, 99\).

The greatest risk associated with bupropion use is the lowering of the seizure threshold. Therefore, it should not be used by people with a predisposing risk factor for seizures unless the potential benefit of smoking cessation outweighs the increased risk of seizure\(^6,108\). Predisposing risk factors for seizure include:

- Any seizure disorder, past or current.
- Concomitant use of medications known to lower seizure threshold, for example, antipsychotics, antidepressants (including SSRIs and tricyclics), antimalarials, tramadol, theophylline, systemic steroids, quinolones, sedating antihistamines\(^\times\).
- Excessive alcohol or benzodiazepine consumption\(^\times\).
- Withdrawal from alcohol or benzodiazepines.
- History of recent head injury.
- Diabetes treated with oral hypoglycaemics or insulin.
- Use of stimulants or anorectic products.
- Known central nervous system tumours.

In addition, major drug interactions occur with *monoamine oxidase inhibitors* (MAO-I) (both reversible and non-reversible). Therefore, bupropion should be avoided if these have been taken within the last 14 days.

Bupropion is further contraindicated, or its use needs be taken under strict consideration, in the following situations:

- Allergy to bupropion.
- Current or previous history of anorexia nervosa.
- Pregnant or breast-feeding women.
- Opioid dependence.
- Bipolar affective disorder, psychosis.
- Cardiac disease, hypertension.
- Renal disease.
- Liver disease.

For full prescribing information on bupropion, please see the Pharmaceutical Benefit Scheme (PBS) website: http://www.pbs.gov.au/html/healthpro/home
**Varenicline (Chantix/Champix)**

Varenicline is a new non-nicotine oral therapy to assist smoking cessation and is the first approved nicotinic receptor partial agonist. It has been shown to be an efficacious and well-tolerated smoking-cessation pharmacotherapy that is superior to both placebo and bupropion in helping to maintain long-term abstinence from cigarettes\(^{118}\).

Varenicline interactions with other drugs appear to be minimal. However, the safety of the combination of varenicline and buproprion has not been established. The combination of varenicline and NRT has caused the discontinuation of treatment due to side effects such as nausea, headache, vomiting, dizziness dyspepsia and fatigue and should not be used.

The most common side effect of varenicline is nausea. This has been described as mild to moderate and often transient.

Varenicline is contraindicated, or its use needs be taken under strict consideration, in the following situations:

- Renal disease.
- Pregnant or breast-feeding women.

For full prescribing information on varenicline, please see the PBS website:


**Complementary therapies**

There is little evidence to suggest that complementary or alternative therapies such as acupuncture or hypnotherapy are effective in the management of smoking cessation.

There is no consistent evidence that shows that acupuncture (or any particular acupuncture technique) is superior in comparison to no treatment, other anti-smoking interventions, or sham acupuncture in the prevention of smoking\(^{81}\).

Different types of hypnotherapy are used to try and help people quit smoking. Some methods try to weaken people’s desire to smoke, strengthen their will to quit, or help them concentrate on a quit program. There are conflicting results for the effectiveness of hypnotherapy compared to no treatment or to advice against smoking. Hypnotherapy has not been shown to have a greater effect on quit rates than other interventions or no treatment at all.

For further information please consult:

*Smoking cessation guidelines for Australian general practice*\(^{66}\):

5 Depression and substance use

5.1 Depression

Depression is a debilitating disorder that disrupts relationships and daily lives and is one of the most common mental disorders\(^\text{119}\). Depression is more common in women than in men\(^\text{68, 119}\).

People with depression may present as tearful and report that they feel sad, empty, hopeless and discouraged. Children and adolescents may present as irritable. Adults may also present as irritable and report concentration problems. People with depression may report loss of interest or pleasure in most activities, trouble sleeping, fatigue and problems with weight. Feelings of worthlessness and guilt may be associated with suicidal ideation.

To be diagnosed with major depression, a person must either have a depressed mood or a loss of interest or pleasure in daily activities consistently for at least a two week period. This mood must represent a change from the person's normal mood. Social, occupational, educational or other important functioning must also be negatively impaired by the change in mood.

5.2 Comorbidity with depression

- People with depression have high rates of comorbidity with other mental disorders and substance use disorders\(^\text{17, 68, 119-122}\).

- Comorbidity in people with depression results in higher levels of impairment\(^\text{119, 123}\) and increased severity and recurrence of depression\(^\text{121, 122, 124}\).

- Depression and anxiety frequently co-exist\(^\text{68, 119, 121, 122, 124}\).

- Psychosocial effects such as stigma, poverty and isolation associated with substance use may contribute to depression; depression also has the potential to predispose people to poverty, isolation and substance use\(^\text{17}\).

5.2.1 General management approaches to comorbidity

- Clinicians often find treatment of depression in the presence of substance dependence difficult. It is often unclear what the relationship is between the conditions and whether the depression is brought about by the substance dependence itself, or whether it is a primary depressive disorder, and therefore how best to approach treatment\(^\text{125}\).

- Substance use or dependence should not preclude treatment of depression\(^\text{125}\).

- In ideal circumstances, the patient should be assessed for persistent depression after a few weeks of abstinence in order to exclude depression related to withdrawal or due to the substance use itself\(^\text{125}\).

- Antidepressants are more likely to be effective for primary depression in comparison to substance induced depression\(^\text{125}\).

- Improvements in depression may result in short-term reductions in substance use; however, these reductions do not necessarily persist. Therefore, specific interventions for the substance use are also needed to increase the likelihood of long-term abstinence\(^\text{125}\).
5.3 Major clinical issues with depression and cannabis/hallucinogen use

- Since cannabis use appears to be a predictor of depression, cessation of cannabis use is important as a first step so that depressive symptoms can be better evaluated.
- However, abstinence from cannabis is a difficult goal to achieve in cannabis-dependent people.
- In the absence of other proven forms of treatment, CBT is, at present, the most widely employed form of treatment for cannabis use.

5.3.1 Effect of cannabis and other hallucinogens on depression

- Depression is more common in people who use cannabis, particularly with those who commenced at a young age\(^4\)–\(^6\).
- There is little support for the self-medication hypothesis of cannabis being used to relieve symptoms of depression\(^4\) and a greater evidence base suggesting cannabis to be a predictor of depression\(^126\).
- The association between cannabis use and depression may be due to common factors that lead individuals to develop depression and use cannabis\(^4\).
- Higher quantities of cannabis use predict more severe depressive symptoms\(^4\).

5.3.2 Interactions between cannabis and hallucinogens and therapeutic agents for depression

- Cannabis can exacerbate the sedative effects of tricyclic antidepressants which increases the risk of impaired driving and injury as well as overdose\(^\star\).
- LSD may induce a serotonin syndrome (Appendix 1) therefore, caution should be exercised when prescribing SSRIs or MAO-I\(^127\).
- Cannabis and antidepressants are metabolised by CYP 450 enzymes which may result in the inhibition or induction of either drug group. Therefore, individuals should be monitored closely to ensure outcomes are appropriate\(^\star\).

5.3.3 Management approaches to comorbid depression and cannabis use

- Since cannabis use appears to be a predictor of depression, cessation of cannabis use is important as a first step wherever possible so that depressive symptoms can be better evaluated.
- However, abstinence from cannabis is a difficult goal to achieve in cannabis-dependent people\(^128\).
- In the absence of other proven forms of treatment, CBT is, at present, the most widely employed form of treatment for cannabis use\(^\star\)\(^\star\)\(^\star\)\(^128\).
5.4 Major clinical issues with depression and alcohol use

- Alcohol in large quantities has mood-depressant effects.
- A depression-like set of symptoms may emerge during or after alcohol withdrawal.
- In ideal circumstances, a period of abstinence should be trialled.
- Antidepressants are effective for the treatment of depression in those with alcohol-use disorders and have shown improvement in both depression and alcohol consumption.
- However, antidepressants are less effective in situations of continued heavy drinking or where depression is mainly alcohol induced.
- CBT in depressed alcohol dependent people is associated with decreased post-treatment alcohol use.
- Naltrexone or acamprosate can be used in combination with antidepressant medications and CBT.

5.4.1 Effect of alcohol on depression

- Heavy alcohol use\(^{(17)}\) and alcohol dependence\(^{(16)}\) are associated with high rates of depression.
- Women more commonly drink in response to primary depression\(^{(129)}\).
- Alcohol in large quantities has mood depressant effects and may worsen depressed mood if it is part of a Major Depressive Episode or a transient state in response to a stressor. Depression and alcohol use are therefore associated with increased risk of suicide\(^{(16, 129-131)}\).
- The course of alcohol dependence in people with alcohol-induced depression is more severe when compared to those with depression that is independent of alcohol dependence\(^{(129)}\).
- A depression-like set of symptoms may emerge during or after alcohol withdrawal\(^{(132)}\).

5.4.2 Interactions between alcohol and therapeutic agents for depression

- Alcohol can exacerbate the sedative effects of sedative antidepressants including tricyclics and mirtazepine used in the treatment of depression. Alcohol toxicity may occur through the inhibition of CYPs by antidepressants involved in the metabolism of alcohol\(^{(133)}\).
- Interactions between antidepressants and acamprosate used to treat alcohol dependence are minimal as are interactions between antidepressants and disulfiram and naltrexone, also used to treat alcohol dependence\(^{(134)}\).

5.4.3 Management approaches to comorbid depression and alcohol use

- In order to fully assess the extent of depression, in ideal circumstances, a period of abstinence from alcohol should be trialled. It is worth noting that depressive symptoms may emerge both during and after alcohol withdrawal\(^{(130, 135)}\).
Where it is not possible to trial a period of abstinence from alcohol, the use of antidepressants is indicated. However, antidepressants will be less effective in situations of continued heavy drinking or where depression is mainly alcohol induced.

Antidepressants will be most effective in those people with primary depression:

- Antidepressant are effective for the treatment of depression in those with alcohol use disorders and have shown improvement in both depression and alcohol consumption \(\text{***[130, 135-137]}\).
- SSRIs (fluoxetine and sertraline) are particularly well tolerated \(\text{***[130, 135, 136]}\) and may pose less risk of increased sedation than other forms of antidepressants.
- Some studies of SSRIs used to treat alcohol dependent people have shown a worsening effect on alcohol consumption in certain subtypes, in particular those with early onset problem drinking \(\text{**[138, 139]}\). Therefore, monitoring is required.

CBT in depressed alcohol-dependent people is associated with decreased post-treatment alcohol use \(\text{**[140]}\).

Treatments primarily aimed at alcohol dependence (in combination with SSRIs) are more effective under heavy drinking circumstances or when depression is alcohol induced \(\text{****[134, 141-143]}\).

- Acamprosate and naltrexone are both effective in the management of alcohol dependence and maintaining abstinence \(\text{****[141, 144]}\).
- Naltrexone may be more effective in those with higher depression scores \(\text{***[144]}\).
- Naltrexone has been shown to be effective in reducing alcohol consumption in individuals who have been unable to abstain from alcohol consumption despite antidepressant treatment \(\text{*[134]}\).
- Naltrexone in combination with antidepressants has been shown to reduce the number of days in which alcohol is consumed while in treatment \(\text{***[145]}\).

5.5 Major clinical issues with depression and opioid use

Rates of depression decrease once people enter treatment for opioid dependence, in particular, maintenance pharmacotherapies.

Fluvoxamine\(\times\times\times\), fluoxetine\(\times\times\), norfluoxetine\(\times\times\) and paroxetine\(\times\) can inhibit buprenorphine and methadone metabolism through inhibition of the CYPs involved in their metabolism.

Carbamazepine is a potent CYP inducer and will induce the metabolism of methadone and buprenorphine as well as reduce plasma concentrations.

If antidepressant medications are to be used, then non-sedating antidepressants (such as SSRIs) are preferable due to the risk of overdose mentioned above with tricyclic antidepressants.

CBT provides additional benefit in combination with a maintenance therapy program in the treatment of depression in opioid users.
5.5.1 Effects of opioids on depression

- Depression is common among illicit opioid users\(^{(24-27)}\). Heavier illicit opioid use is associated with more severe depression\(^{(26)}\).

- Rates of depression decrease once people enter treatment for opioid dependence, in particular maintenance pharmacotherapies\(^{(26,146)}\).

- Conversely, continued illicit opioid use affects adherence to treatment for depression in opioid dependent people\(^{(147)}\).

5.5.2 Interactions between opioids and therapeutic agents for depression

- Fluvoxamine\(\times\times\times\), fluoxetine\(\times\times\), norfluoxetine\(\times\times\) and paroxetine\(\times\) can inhibit buprenorphine and methadone metabolism through inhibition of the CYPs involved in their metabolism\(^{(148-150)}\). This can result in an increase in plasma opioid pharmacotherapy concentrations and potential overdose. This can be a particular issue during induction onto methadone; however, the risk may persist even after stabilisation has occurred\(\times\times\times\)\(^{(151-155)}\).

- Fluvoxamine is the most potent inhibitor of methadone and buprenorphine metabolism and is the most clinically relevant. Therefore, it should be avoided\(\times\times\times\)\(^{(150)}\).

- Fluoxetine and paroxetine should also be avoided\(\times\times\).

- Citalopram and sertraline are the least likely SSRIs to have cytochrome-mediated drug interactions; however, due to the theoretical potential for an interaction, caution should still be used and individuals monitored closely\(\times\)\(^{(156)}\).

- Carbamazepine is a potent CYP inducer and will induce the metabolism of methadone and buprenorphine as well as reduce plasma concentrations\(\times\times\)\(^{(149,157)}\). This has the potential to result in withdrawal and failure of retention in treatment\(\times\times\times\times\)\(^{(158-160)}\).

- There is an increase in sedation as well as risk of fatal overdose with opioid use and tricyclic antidepressants\(\times\times\)\(^{(161)}\).

5.5.3 Management approaches to comorbid depression and opioid use

- It is important to consider the variety of factors that may be contributing to depressed mood in this group of people, e.g. chronic psychosocial stressors, the effects of substance dependence, and long-standing personality-related mood disturbances.

- Entry into a maintenance pharmacotherapy (buprenorphine or methadone) is associated with improvement in depression\(\times\times\times\times\)\(^{(146)}\).

- There is conflicting evidence on the efficacy of antidepressant medication amongst maintenance therapy populations\(\times\times\times\times\)\(^{(162-165)}\).

- If antidepressant medications are to be used, then non-sedating antidepressants (such as SSRIs) are preferable due to the risk of overdose mentioned above with tricyclic antidepressants.

- CBT provides additional benefit in combination with a maintenance therapy program in the treatment of depression in opioid users.
• In individuals who adhere to medication plans, naltrexone in combination with antidepressants is effective in improving depression and reducing illicit opioid intake ***(142, 164, 166)**.

• In individuals who adhere to medication plans, naltrexone treatment is associated with reduced depression compared with methadone maintenance treatment ***(164, 166)**.

5.6 Major clinical issues with depression and stimulant (including methamphetamine) use

- Depression is common amongst stimulant users, both in the days following heavy use and during withdrawal.

- Tolerance develops quickly to the positive effects of stimulant drugs when used to self medicate for depression, leaving the person at risk of dose escalation and dependence.

- Stimulant effects on sleep may worsen sleep-wake cycle disturbances associated with depression.

- Monoamine Oxidase Inhibitors (MAO-I) (either irreversible or reversible) are contraindicated in people using amphetamines or MDMA

- Reductions in stimulant use improve symptoms of depression.

- If depression persists despite adequate withdrawal from stimulants, then treat as for primary depression.

5.6.1 Effects of stimulants on depression

- Depression is common amongst stimulant users ***(40, 41, 43, 45, 49-51, 53, 54)**.

- In the days following use of stimulants, users report rebound depression, most likely due to monamine depletion ***(39, 47, 48)**.

- Depression is also present during the withdrawal phase from stimulants as well as for a significant period of time following abstinence ***(42, 44, 46)**.

- There is an association between depression and severity of stimulant use and dependence, with higher levels of use being more indicative of greater severity of depression ***(41, 44, 50, 52, 53, 56, 167, 168)**.

- Evidence suggests that depression precedes MDMA use in particular in most instances, supporting the self medication hypothesis in this case ***(40, 53, 55, 169-171)**.

- Evidence from animal studies suggests that serotonin producing neurons are damaged by heavy MDMA use ***(172)** and that it is likely that at least some of these levels are achieved in humans who use MDMA ***(173-177)**.

- Tolerance develops quickly to the positive effects of these stimulant drugs ***(178)** leaving the person at risk of dose escalation and dependence.

- Stimulant effects on sleep ***(179)** may worsen sleep-wake cycle disturbances associated with depression.
5.6.2 Interactions between stimulants and therapeutic agents for depression

- Stimulant drugs are likely to exacerbate the effects of SSRI and SNRI antidepressants in particular (and vice versa) and may result in serotonin syndrome (Appendix 1). Patients should be warned of signs of serotonin syndrome and be monitored.

- MAO-Is (either irreversible or reversible) are contraindicated in people using amphetamines or MDMA. Deaths have been associated with concurrent use of moclobemide and MDMA.

- Fluoxetine, norfluoxetine, paroxetine and sertraline are potential inhibitors of CYP 2D6 which metabolises MDMA and methamphetamine. This may result in elevated plasma concentrations leading to toxicity.

5.6.3 Management approaches to comorbid depression and stimulant use

- Reductions in stimulant use improve symptoms of depression. Therefore, reductions and cessation of stimulant use should be encouraged.

- Treatment for depression should be commenced if use of stimulants is only occasional and there is established coexisting depression.

- If depression persists despite adequate withdrawal from stimulants, then treat as for primary depression.

- Formal drug detoxification should be considered if the person is dependent.

- There is little consistent evidence that antidepressants are beneficial in management of stimulant withdrawal.

- CBT can be used to address stimulant use and is effective.

- Care should be taken to select an appropriate antidepressant in order to minimise chances of drug interactions.

5.7 Major clinical issues with depression and benzodiazepine use

- Sedative and depressive actions as well as long-term use of benzodiazepines exacerbate the negative symptoms of depression such as lack of energy, negative cognitions and anhedonia.

- Benzodiazepine use should be restricted to a few days with a long acting benzodiazepine.

- Psychological and behavioural treatment can be effective in treating insomnia.

- CBT for depression is more effective if there is minimal sedation and anxiolysis due to the benzodiazepine use.

- If long-term benzodiazepine use is being considered, then this should be administered under close supervision.
5.7.1 Effects of benzodiazepines on depression

- Benzodiazepines are often prescribed to relieve some of the symptoms of depression such as insomnia\(^{(185)}\) and agitation during the acute treatment phase.
- However, benzodiazepines also cause disruptions to and reductions in Rapid Eye Movement (REM) sleep\(^{(186, 187)}\).
- Sedative and depressive actions as well as long-term use of benzodiazepines exacerbate the negative symptoms of depression such as lack of energy, negative cognitions and anhedonia\(^{(59)}\).

5.7.2 Interactions between benzodiazepines and therapeutic agents for depression

- There is an increased risk of sedation and overdose with the combination of benzodiazepines and sedative antidepressants such as tricyclics and mirtazepine\(^{x}\).
- Benzodiazepines and antidepressants are both metabolised by CYP 450 enzymes which may result in the inhibition or induction of either drug group. Therefore, individuals should be monitored closely to ensure outcomes are appropriate\(^{x}\).
- Fluvoxamine will inhibit the metabolism of alprazolam, midazolam, triazolam and diazepam causing increased sedation and potential toxicity\(^{x}\).
- Citalopram and sertraline are the least likely SSRIs to have cytochrome-mediated drug interactions\(^{x}\).

5.7.3 Management approaches to comorbid depression and benzodiazepines

- Benzodiazepine use should be discouraged and cessation should be a long-term goal.
- Antidepressant medication (SSRIs or other non-sedating antidepressants) can be commenced with the patient still taking benzodiazepines.
- Tolerance quickly develops to the effects of benzodiazepines used during the treatment of depression (acute agitation, anxiety, panic and insomnia)\(^{(188)}\).
- Benzodiazepine use should be restricted to a few days with a long-acting benzodiazepine\(^{(189)}\).
- Psychological and behavioural treatment can be effective in treating insomnia\(^{**}(190-192)\) associated with psychiatric disorders\(^{(193)}\) and may reduce the need for benzodiazepine use during depression.
- CBT for depression will be more effective if there is minimal sedation and anxiolysis due to the benzodiazepine use.
- If large quantities of benzodiazepines (e.g. 40mg diazepam daily equivalent or more) are being consumed, then inpatient withdrawal to lower levels should be considered to avoid and manage seizure risk\(^{(194)}\).
- If dependence has developed, then graduated withdrawal through slow reduction of dosage should be commenced\(^{****}(194-196)\), possibly after transferring the patient onto a long acting benzodiazepine.
• If long-term benzodiazepine use is indicated, then:
  – This should be subject to a contract with the patient.
  – Authorities should be advised, including registration with the relevant local government health authority.
  – The seeking of additional benzodiazepines from other prescribers should be monitored (e.g. using the Authority to release personal PBS claims information to a third party form).
  – Daily or weekly dispensing of benzodiazepines should be considered and may assist with controlling use.

5.8 Major clinical issues with depression and inhalant/solvent use

• Depression and inhalant use often co-exist and both increase suicide risk.
• Inhalants can exacerbate the sedative effects of some antidepressants.
• Most antidepressants reduce seizure threshold and tricyclic antidepressants can cause cardiac arrhythmias – both complications of inhalant use.
• As with most other substances, inhalant users should be encouraged to try and reduce or cease use to observe whether depressive symptomatology resolves.

5.8.1 Effects of inhalants/solvents on depression
• Depression and use of inhalants are positively correlated, particularly amongst adolescents.⁶³,⁶⁴
• Depression and inhalant use, and inhalant use alone are associated with increased risk of suicide.⁶⁴,¹⁹⁷-²⁰⁰

5.8.2 Interactions between inhalants/solvents and therapeutic agents for depression
• Inhalants can exacerbate the sedative effects of some antidepressants including tricyclic antidepressants and mirtazepine.
• Most antidepressants reduce seizure threshold and tricyclic antidepressants can cause cardiac arrhythmias. Therefore, risks should be appraised prior to commencement.

5.8.3 Management approaches to comorbid depression and inhalant/solvent use
• There appears to be no literature that sheds light on managing people with both depression and inhalant/solvent use related problems.
• As with most other substances, inhalant users should be encouraged to reduce or cease use to observe whether depressive symptomatology resolves.
• In general, with respect to inhalant/solvent use:⁶⁵:
  – Outline to users the harms associated with inhalant/solvent use.
  – Investigate polysubstance use as this is common.
• Standard CBT approaches to both sets of issues should be used, with particular attention to the development of:
  – Assertiveness skills (refusal skills).
  – Coping skills for controlling and managing emotions such as anger and sadness.
• Offer alternatives to inhalant use, for example, recreational activities.
• Community reinforcement approaches should be developed by mobilising the local health and welfare service system in individual care plans.
• Family interventions need to be considered, for example, increasing communication between the person and the family.
• Assertive outreach and follow-up may be required.
6 Anxiety disorders and substance use

6.1 Anxiety

In contrast to fear, which is a response to a realistic immediate danger, anxiety is a fearful response occurring in the absence of a specific danger or real threat. According to the National Survey of Mental Health and Wellbeing, anxiety disorders are the most common form of mental disorder in the population with a one-year prevalence of 9.7% in Australian adults\textsuperscript{68}. The fear and worry associated with anxiety arises in response to a variety of specific triggers (fear of heights) more general triggers (e.g. crowds, shopping centres, being in trains or buses, meeting new people, or having to speak in public) or sometimes in response to general issues including finances, health or relationships and personal safety. In some cases, anxiety can arise suddenly and spontaneously without a discernable trigger, as is the case with panic disorder.

People with anxiety may find it hard to relax, concentrate and sleep, and may suffer physical symptoms such as heart palpitations, tension and muscle pain, sweating, hyperventilation, dizziness, faintness, headaches, nausea, indigestion, bowel disturbance and loss of sexual pleasure. These symptoms are accompanied by changes in thoughts, emotions and behaviour that substantially interfere with the person's ability to live and work.

More women than men experience anxiety disorders\textsuperscript{68, 119, 121, 201}, Anxiety usually begins in early adulthood and is often, but not always, triggered by a series of significant life events.

6.1.1 Anxiety disorder subtypes

Panic disorder

- This is characterised by recurrent panic attacks, which occur unexpectedly over at least a month. Panic attacks are diagnosed if there is a period in which there is a sudden onset of intense apprehension, fearfulness or terror commonly associated with feelings of impending doom. Symptoms such as shortness of breath, palpitations, chest pain or discomfort, smothering or choking sensations along with fear of losing control are experienced during these attacks.

Agoraphobia

- This is characterised by anxiety about, or avoidance of, places and situations from which escape may be difficult (e.g. elevators, buses, trains or trams or shopping centres), or in which help may not be available in the instance of experiencing a panic attack or panic like symptoms.

Social phobia

- This is characterised by clinically significant anxiety provoked by being exposed to certain types of social situations, commonly leading to avoidance of situations requiring socialising.

Obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD)

- This is characterised by obsessions that cause significant anxiety or distress and compulsions which serve to neutralise the associated anxiety or distress.
**Post traumatic stress disorder (PTSD)**

- This is characterised by re-experiencing of an extremely traumatic event accompanied by symptoms of increased arousal and avoidance of stimuli associated with the trauma.

**Generalised anxiety disorder (GAD)**

- This is characterised by at least six months of persistent and excessive anxiety or worry.

**6.1.2 Management approaches to anxiety disorders**

- Anxiety disorders are treatable conditions, although as with all medical disorders, there is a spectrum of severity. Some are chronic. Anxiety disorders have a generally unappreciated high rate of morbidity and mortality (196).

- Discussions with the patient regarding treatment should involve both short- and long-term outcome goals (196).

- Treatment of the anxiety disorders vary depending on the nature of the condition and the circumstances of the individual. In most cases, CBT is first-line treatment (202) and is cheaper and more effective than medication, especially in those individuals who have had little or no previous exposure to benzodiazepines.

- In the majority of situations, however, by the time people seek advice from a clinician they are not generally benzodiazepine naïve and the issue expands to management of anxiety as well as controlling benzodiazepine use.

- Patients taking benzodiazepines do not develop tolerance to their anxiolytic effects (196) which significantly contributes to the desire to continue with their use. Benzodiazepines are particularly best avoided as a long-term medication treatment in the elderly because of the risk of adverse effects.

- CBT is effective in reducing symptoms of anxiety (202) and will be more effective if there is minimal sedation and anxiolysis due to benzodiazepine use (196).

- However, CBT can be and is effective when administered concurrently with benzodiazepine dose reductions (202-204). CBT has been shown to improve the likelihood of patients successfully tapering and ceasing benzodiazepine use when they also have an anxiety disorder (204).

- Tricyclic antidepressants and SSRIs are equally effective and preferable to benzodiazepines because of problems with sedation and associated dependence and withdrawal.

- People with social phobias may show some treatment response with antidepressants, in particular SSRIs (205-213). People with OCD also respond well but require higher than normal doses (214-218).

- Benzodiazepines may be more useful than antidepressants for GAD, panic disorder and agoraphobia (196). However, both anti-depressants and/or benzodiazepines should only be used after other treatment approaches have been unsuccessful. That is, they should only be used as a third or fourth line of treatment when patient responses to other forms of management have been unsuccessful.
6.2 **Comorbidity with anxiety disorders**

- Anxiety frequently occurs in conjunction with other mental disorders, in particularly depression\(^{10, 28, 58, 68, 123, 201, 219-224}\).

- Anxiety has also been shown to co-occur frequently with suicidality\(^{28, 219}\) and somatisation disorders\(^{123}\).

- The presence of anxiety as a comorbidity increases the level of impairment associated with the primary disorder\(^{58, 123}\).

- Anxiety (particularly PTSD) is commonly seen in association with substance use\(^{10, 11, 23, 28, 29, 68, 121, 196, 221, 224}\).

- The causal relationship between anxiety disorders and substance use (self-medication theories, substance-induced anxiety) are not clearly established\(^{196}\).

- Anxiety is also a common feature of substance withdrawal\(^{46, 194, 196}\).

6.3 **Major clinical issues with anxiety disorders and cannabis/hallucinogen use**

- Cannabis can induce anxiety or panic attacks especially in naive users.

- In chronic users, cannabis tends to have the opposite effect and act more as an anxiolytic at the time of use.

- Individuals should be encouraged to reduce or cease using so that anxiety symptoms can be better evaluated. In many cases this will result in an overall reduction in anxiety symptoms once withdrawal has passed.

- Cannabis withdrawal commonly includes insomnia which can be prolonged. The longer term use of hypnotics to assist with sleeping is problematic due to tolerance development.

- In the absence of other proven forms of treatment, CBT is, at present, the most widely employed form of treatment for cannabis use.

6.3.1 **Effects of cannabis and other hallucinogens on anxiety disorders**

- Anxiety is common in people who use cannabis and other hallucinogens, particularly for those who commenced use at a young age\(^{6-13}\).

- Heavier or more frequent use of cannabis is a greater predictor of anxiety\(^{6, 8, 12, 13}\).

- Cannabis can induce anxiety or panic attacks\(^{6, 12, 13, 225}\) especially in naive users.

- In chronic users, cannabis tends to have the opposite effect and act more as an anxiolytic at the time of use.

- It is also thought that anxiety may predispose people to cannabis use problems\(^{7, 8}\).
6.3.2 Interactions between cannabis and other hallucinogens and therapeutic agents for anxiety disorders

- Cannabis can exacerbate the sedative effects of tricyclic antidepressants and benzodiazepines, which increases the risk of impaired driving and injury as well as overdose.

- LSD may induce a serotonin syndrome (Appendix 1); therefore caution should be exercised when prescribing SSRIs or MAO-I (127).

- Cannabis and antidepressants are metabolised by CYP 450 enzymes which may result in the inhibition or induction of either drug group. Therefore, individuals should be monitored closely to follow clinical response and to ensure that toxicity does not occur.

6.3.3 Management approaches to comorbid anxiety disorders and cannabis use

- Cannabis can induce anxiety symptoms, particularly in first time users. The effect is dose related. In addition, anxiety is a feature of cannabis withdrawal. People should be encouraged to reduce or cease using so that anxiety symptoms can be better evaluated. In many cases this will result in an overall reduction in anxiety symptoms once withdrawal has passed.

- Abstinence from cannabis is a difficult goal to achieve in cannabis dependent people (128).

- In the absence of other proven forms of treatment, CBT is, at present, the most widely employed form of treatment for cannabis use (128).

- CBT is effective in reducing symptoms of anxiety (196, 203). CBT that focuses on coping mechanisms will be most effective if the individual has been using cannabis or other hallucinogens to self-medicate and cope with social anxiety situations.

- Treatment of acute anxiety associated with cannabis withdrawal can be treated with benzodiazepines; however, use should be minimised as those with substance use disorders are at a greater risk of abusing benzodiazepines (196).

- Cannabis withdrawal commonly includes insomnia which can be prolonged. The longer term use of hypnotics to assist with sleeping is problematic due to tolerance development (198).

- Psychological and behavioural treatment can be effective in treating insomnia (190-192) associated with psychiatric disorders (193) and may reduce the need for benzodiazepine use during depression.
6.4 Major clinical issues with anxiety disorders and alcohol use

- Alcohol use and anxiety disorders frequently co-occur and exacerbate each other.
- Individuals should be encouraged to reduce or cease alcohol use so that anxiety symptoms can be better evaluated.
- Anxiety associated with alcohol withdrawal should be allowed to subside, before making a diagnosis of anxiety disorder.
- However, anxiety may be a feature of the post-withdrawal state lasting for several months (up to 12 months).
- SSRIs are also effective in alcohol dependent people with anxiety.
- CBT should be given prior consideration over benzodiazepine therapy.
- Disulfiram, naltrexone and acamprosate used to treat alcohol dependence are unlikely to interact with antidepressants if these are being used.
- Acamprosate, naltrexone and benzodiazepines do not appear to interact with one another.
- Successful treatment of either anxiety or alcohol use disorder with CBT does not necessarily result in a positive outcome for the accompanying comorbid disorder.
- Pharmacotherapies such as naltrexone, acamprosate and disulfiram are effective in the management of alcohol dependence and maintaining abstinence and are effective in individuals with comorbid anxiety.

6.4.1 Effects of alcohol on anxiety disorders

- Alcohol use and anxiety disorders frequently co-occur\(^{(10, 18-23)}\).
- Problematic alcohol use and anxiety exacerbate each other, leading to increased severity of both the anxiety disorder and alcohol use\(^{(226)}\).
- The short-term relief in symptoms that alcohol gives people with anxiety is a strong motivator for continued alcohol use\(^{(10, 18, 22, 226)}\).
- However, as dependence develops, this ultimately leads to increased anxiety:
  - Alcohol withdrawal produces anxiety.
  - Excessive alcohol use can result in environmental situations or disruptions that cause anxiety\(^{(226)}\).
- Higher anxiety sensitivity (people with increased levels of sensitivity to anxiety who do not have a diagnosable anxiety disorder) is highly predictive of alcohol use disorders\(^{(227)}\).
- Higher levels of anxiety are more indicative of relapse to drinking alcohol\(^{(228)}\).
6.4.2 Interactions between alcohol and therapeutic agents for anxiety disorders

- Alcohol can exacerbate the sedative effects of any sedative agents (including tricyclic antidepressants, mirtazepine, and benzodiazepines used in the treatment of anxiety).

- Alcohol toxicity may occur through:
  - The inhibition of CYPs by sedative antidepressant involved in the metabolism of alcohol \( \times \) \( ^{(133)} \).
  - An increase in sedation as a result of combinations of alcohol and benzodiazepines \( \times \).

- Disulfiram used to treat alcohol dependence will increase the plasma concentrations of diazepam leading to possible increases in sedation and overdose \( \times \).

- Disulfiram, naltrexone and acamprosate used to treat alcohol dependence are unlikely to interact with antidepressants if these are being used \( \times \) \( ^{(229)} \).

- Acamprosate, naltrexone and benzodiazepines do not appear to interact with one another \( \times \) \( ^{(230)} \).

6.4.3 Management approaches to comorbid anxiety disorders and alcohol use

- Due to the anxiety-provoking effect of alcohol, and vice versa, individuals should be encouraged to reduce or cease alcohol use so that anxiety symptoms can be better evaluated \( ^{(226)} \).

- Clinicians should allow sufficient time for anxiety associated with alcohol withdrawal to subside, before making a diagnosis of anxiety disorder \( ^{(226, 231)} \).

- However, anxiety may be a feature of the post-withdrawal state lasting for several months (up to 12 months).

- If large quantities of alcohol are being consumed, then inpatient withdrawal or detoxification with benzodiazepines should always be considered to avoid and manage seizure risk. Concerns about benzodiazepine dependence should not prevent controlled prescribing for withdrawal states.

- Benzodiazepine use should be monitored and minimised as those with substance use disorders are at a greater risk of abusing benzodiazepines \( ^{(196)} \).

- Successful treatment of either anxiety or alcohol use disorder with CBT does not necessarily result in a positive outcome for the accompanying comorbid disorder \( ^{(231)} \). That is outcomes for the two sets of problems are somewhat independent. However, CBT can be effective in:
  - Improving alcohol-related outcomes in people with anxiety and alcohol dependence and is more effective in those who drink less \( ^{(226, 231, 232)} \).
  - Reducing symptoms of anxiety \( ^{(196, 202)} \) in those with additional alcohol-related problems \( ^{(231)} \).

  CBT should be given prior consideration over benzodiazepine therapy.

- SSRIs may be effective in reducing anxiety \( ^{(205-218)} \).

- SSRIs are also effective in alcohol dependent people with anxiety \( ^{(233)} \) in situations where behavioural therapy is not possible or unsuccessful.

- Consistent with the situation with depression and alcohol dependence, SSRIs may even improve drinking outcomes in those with less severe alcohol dependence \( ^{(220, 234)} \).
• However, some studies of SSRIs used to treat alcohol dependent people have shown a worsening effect on alcohol consumption in certain subtypes, in particular those with early onset problem drinking***(138, 139) and therefore requires monitoring.

• Pharmacotherapies such as naltrexone, acamprosate and disulfram are effective in the management of alcohol dependence and maintaining abstinence, and are effective in individuals with comorbid anxiety****(141, 144, 235-237).

6.5 **Major clinical issues with anxiety disorders and opioid use**

• While opioids do not possess anxiolytic effects in the manner that benzodiazepines do, they do have the ability to enable the person to forget about the issues that may be causing them to feel anxious. An indirect short-term reduction in symptoms of anxiety may be a strong motivator for opioid use in those with anxiety disorders.

• Methadone itself has been shown to inhibit CYP3A4 which also metabolises many benzodiazepines. This has the potential to increase plasma concentrations of benzodiazepines and increase their sedative effects.

• Several deaths have been reported due to benzodiazepine use in conjunction with high dose buprenorphine and may be a result of similar metabolic interactions.

• Fluvoxamine***, fluoxetine**, norfluoxetine** and paroxetine* can inhibit buprenorphine and methadone metabolism through inhibition of the CYPs involved in their metabolism.

• Citalopram and sertraline are the least likely SSRIs to have cytochrome mediated drug interactions.

• If long-term benzodiazepine use is unable to be avoided, this should be monitored very closely.

• Acute opioid withdrawal is best managed using buprenorphine.

• If treatment of anxiety with antidepressants is required, this should involve non-sedating antidepressants such as SSRIs, taking into consideration their interaction effects.

• Longer acting maintenance pharmacotherapies such as methadone and buprenorphine potentially stabilise opioid plasma concentrations and reduce fluctuations in plasma concentration and levels of anxiety.

6.5.1 **Effects of opioids on anxiety disorders**

• Those with anxiety disorders are significantly more likely to use opioids than those without anxiety disorders**(11, 25, 28, 29).

• While opioids do not possess anxiolytic effects in the manner that benzodiazepines do, they do have the ability to enable the person to forget about the issues that may be causing them to feel anxious. An indirect short-term reduction in symptoms of anxiety may be a strong motivator for opioid use in those with anxiety disorders.
• People with anxiety disorders report more severe opioid dependency than those without anxiety\(^{28}\).
• There is some debate as to whether anxiety can potentially have an adverse impact on the effectiveness of opioid maintenance pharmacotherapy\(^{238, 239}\).

6.5.2 Interactions between opioids and therapeutic agents for anxiety disorders

• Methadone itself has been shown to inhibit CYP3A4\(^{240, 241}\) which also metabolises many benzodiazepines. This has the potential to increase plasma concentrations of benzodiazepines and increase their sedative effect\(^{242, 243}\).

• Several deaths have been reported due to benzodiazepine use in conjunction with high dose buprenorphine and may be a result of similar metabolic interactions\(^{244-246}\).

• Fluvoxamine\(^{\star \star \star}\), fluoxetine\(^{\star \star}\), norfluoxetine\(^{\star \star}\) and paroxetine\(^{\star}\) can inhibit buprenorphine and methadone metabolism through inhibition of the CYPs involved in their metabolism\(^{148-150}\). This can result in an increase in plasma opioid pharmacotherapy concentrations and potential overdose. This can be a particular issue during induction onto methadone; however, the risk may persist even after stabilisation has occurred\(^{\star \star \star}\)\(^{151-155}\).

• Fluvoxamine is the most potent inhibitor of methadone and buprenorphine metabolism and is the most clinically relevant. Therefore, it should be avoided\(^{\star \star \star}\)\(^{150}\).

• Fluoxetine and paroxetine should also be avoided due to their possible effects on methadone metabolism\(^{\star \star}\).

• Citalopram and sertraline are the least likely SSRIs to have cytochrome-mediated drug interactions; however, due to the theoretical potential for an interaction, caution should still be used and individuals monitored closely\(^{1156}\).

6.5.3 Management approaches to comorbid anxiety disorders and opioid use

• Fluctuating plasma concentrations of short acting opioids such as heroin can exacerbate anxiety disorders due to the effects of withdrawal at times of low plasma concentration. Therefore, patients should be encouraged to reduce their use and, if possible, cease.

• Longer-acting maintenance pharmacotherapies such as methadone and buprenorphine potentially stabilise opioid plasma concentrations and reduce fluctuations in plasma concentration and levels of anxiety. However, relatively small changes in maintenance therapy concentrations can result in significant mood changes\(^{247}\).

• Acute opioid withdrawal is best managed using buprenorphine\(^{****}\)\(^{248}\). However, benzodiazepines can be used if there is continuing residual anxiety. Their use should be minimised due to risk of misuse\(^{116}\).

• If long-term benzodiazepine use cannot be avoided:
  – This should be subject to a contract with the patient.
  – Authorities should be advised, including registration with the relevant local government health authority.
  – The seeking of additional benzodiazepines from other prescribers should be monitored (e.g. using the Authority to release personal PBS claims information to a third party form).
– Daily or weekly dispensing of benzodiazepines in conjunction with collection of methadone or buprenorphine doses should be considered and may assist with controlling use.

• If treatment of anxiety with antidepressants is required, this should involve non-sedating antidepressants such as SSRIs, taking into consideration their interaction effects.

### 6.6 Major clinical issues with anxiety disorders and stimulant (including methamphetamine) use

- Anxiety is common amongst stimulant users.
- MAO-Is (either irreversible or reversible) are contraindicated in people using amphetamines or MDMA due to risk of serotonin syndrome. Deaths have been associated with concurrent use of moclobemide and MDMA.
- Individuals should be encouraged to reduce or cease stimulant use so that anxiety symptoms can be better evaluated. In many cases this will result in an overall reduction in anxiety symptoms.
- CBT is also effective in reducing general symptoms of anxiety.
- CBT that focuses on coping mechanisms will be most effective in situations where the individual has been using stimulants to self medicate and cope with social anxiety situations.

#### 6.6.1 Effects of stimulants on anxiety disorders

- Anxiety is common amongst stimulant users\(^{(11, 29, 43, 50, 53, 54, 56)}\).
- Anxiety also presents during withdrawal from stimulants\(^{(46)}\).
- The incidence of anxiety increases following stimulant use\(^{(53)}\).
- Anxiety and its severity is significantly associated with the extent of stimulant use, with higher stimulant use predicting greater severity of anxiety\(^{(50, 53, 55, 56)}\).
- Individuals with childhood anxiety may have increased tendency to use stimulants, in particular ecstasy\(^{(55)}\).

#### 6.6.2 Interactions between stimulants and therapeutic agents for anxiety disorders

- MAO-Is (either irreversible or reversible) are contraindicated in people using amphetamines or MDMA due to risk of serotonin syndrome (Appendix 1). Deaths have been associated with concurrent use of moclobemide and MDMA\(^{(181, 182)}\).
- Stimulant drugs are likely to exacerbate the effects of SSRI and SNRI antidepressants (and visa versa) and may result in serotonin syndrome (Appendix 1)\(^{(127, 179, 180)}\). Patients should be warned of signs of serotonin syndrome and be monitored.
- Fluoxetine, norfluoxetine, paroxetine and sertraline are potential inhibitors of CYP 2D6 which metabolises MDMA and methamphetamine. This may result in elevated plasma concentrations leading to toxicity\(^{x}\).
6.6.3 Management approaches to comorbid anxiety disorders and stimulant use

- Due to the anxiety-provoking effect of stimulants and the relationship to heavy use, individuals should be encouraged to reduce or cease stimulant use so that anxiety symptoms can be better evaluated. In many cases this will result in an overall reduction in anxiety symptoms.

- Treatment of acute anxiety associated with stimulant withdrawal can be treated with benzodiazepines. However, use should be minimised as those with substance use disorders are at a greater risk of misusing benzodiazepines\(^{(196)}\).

- CBT is also effective in reducing general symptoms of anxiety\(^{***}(196, 202, 203)\).

- CBT that focuses on coping mechanisms will be most effective in situations where the individual has been using stimulants to self medicate and cope with social anxiety situations.

- Citalopram and sertraline have the least CYP mediated drug interactions; however, all SSRIs are potential precipitators of serotonin syndrome in people using stimulants.

6.7 Major clinical issues with anxiety disorders and benzodiazepine use

- Tolerance to the sedative effects of benzodiazepines and dependence develops within a short period of time.

- It would appear that tolerance to the anxiolytic effects of benzodiazepines does not develop.

- Graded exposure is a highly effective component in the treatment of anxiety disorders. Patients taking benzodiazepines are unable to benefit from this approach if taking doses greater than 10mg diazepam equivalence and doses below this level may interfere with the person’s ability to habituate.

- Benzodiazepine use should be discouraged and reduced, with cessation being a long-term goal, and alternative management strategies introduced.

- CBT is effective in reducing symptoms of anxiety and will be more effective if there is minimal sedation and anxiolysis due to benzodiazepine use.

6.7.1 Effects of benzodiazepines on anxiety disorders

- Due to their anxiolytic effects, benzodiazepines are one of the most commonly prescribed forms of pharmacotherapy in the treatment of anxiety symptoms\(^{(57, 58)}\). They are not, however, the recommended first-line treatment for anxiety disorders.

- Tolerance to the sedative effects of benzodiazepines and dependence develops within a short period of time.

- It would appear that tolerance to the anxiolytic effects of benzodiazepines does not develop\(^{(196)}\).

- If short-acting benzodiazepines are used (e.g. alprazolam, oxazepam, temazepam), rapidly fluctuating drug plasma concentrations may exacerbate the symptoms of the anxiety disorder.

- Patients prescribed benzodiazepines for anxiety disorders may be less responsive to and less willing to accept psychological therapies than those who are not.
6.7.2 **Interactions between benzodiazepines and therapeutic agents for anxiety disorders**

- There is an increased risk of sedation and overdose with the combination of benzodiazepines and sedative antidepressants such as tricyclics and mirtazapine.®

- Benzodiazepines and antidepressants are both metabolised by CYP 450 enzymes which may result in the inhibition or induction of either drug group. Therefore, individuals should be monitored closely to ensure they are experiencing the appropriate therapeutic effect.®

- Fluvoxamine will inhibit the metabolism of alprazolam, midazolam, triazolam and diazepam, causing increased plasma concentrations, sedation and potential toxicity.®

- Citalopram and sertraline are the least likely SSRIs to have cytochrome mediated drug interactions.®

6.7.3 **Management approaches to comorbid anxiety disorders and benzodiazepine use**

- Graded exposure is a highly effective component in the treatment of anxiety disorders. Patients taking benzodiazepines are unable to benefit from this approach if taking doses greater than 10mg diazepam equivalence and doses below this level may interfere with the person’s ability to habituate to anxiety triggers.

- Benzodiazepine use should be discouraged and reduced, with cessation being a long-term goal and alternative management strategies introduced.

- If large quantities of benzodiazepines (e.g. 40mg diazepam daily equivalent or more) are being consumed then inpatient withdrawal to lower levels should be considered to avoid and manage seizure risk.®

- If dependence has developed then graduated withdrawal through slow reduction of dosage should be commenced.®, possibly after transferring the patient onto a long acting benzodiazepine.

- Lower levels of baseline anxiety at the time of benzodiazepine withdrawal are the best predictor of successful taper.®

- If long-term benzodiazepine use is indicated, then:
  - This should be subject to a contract with the patient.
  - Authorities should be advised, including registration with the relevant local government health authority.
  - The seeking of additional benzodiazepines from other prescribers should be monitored (e.g. using the Authority to release personal PBS claims information to a third party form).
  - Daily or weekly dispensing of benzodiazepines should be considered and may assist with controlling use.

- CBT is effective in reducing symptoms of anxiety and will be more effective if there is minimal sedation and anxiolysis due to benzodiazepine use.®

- CBT has been shown to improve the likelihood of patients successfully tapering and ceasing benzodiazepines when they also have an anxiety disorder.®
• Antidepressant medication (SSRIs or other non-sedating antidepressants) can be commenced with the patient still taking benzodiazepines.

• Citalopram and sertraline are the least likely SSRIs to have cytochrome mediated drug interactions.

6.8 **Major clinical issues with anxiety disorders and inhalant/solvent use**

- Inhalant users have higher rates of anxiety disorders.
- The sedative effects of antidepressants and benzodiazepines may be exacerbated by inhalants and may possibly result in severe sedation and overdose.
- As with most other substances, inhalant users should be encouraged to reduce or cease use to observe whether anxiety symptoms resolve.

6.8.1 **Effects of inhalants/solvents on anxiety disorders**

- Inhalant users have higher rates of anxiety disorders. Causal relationships are unclear\(^{249, 250}\).

6.8.2 **Interactions between inhalants/solvents and therapeutic agents for anxiety disorders**

- The sedative effects of antidepressants and benzodiazepines may be exacerbated by inhalants and may possibly result in severe sedation and overdose\(^*\).
- Most antidepressants lower seizure threshold and tricyclic antidepressants can cause cardiac arrhythmias. Therefore, risks should be appraised prior to commencement\(^*\).

6.8.3 **Management approaches to comorbid anxiety disorders and inhalant/solvent use**

- There is no literature that sheds light on managing people with both anxiety and inhalant/solvent use related problems.

- As with most other substances, inhalant users should be encouraged to reduce or cease use to observe whether anxiety symptoms resolve.

- In general with respect to inhalant/solvent use\(^{65}\):
  - Outline the harms associated with inhalant/solvent use.
  - Investigate polysubstance use as this is common.

- Standard CBT approaches to both sets of issues should be used, with particular attention to the development of:
  - Assertiveness skills (refusal skills).
  - Coping skills for controlling and managing emotions such as anger and sadness.
Offer alternatives to inhalant use, for example, recreational activities.

- Community reinforcement approaches should be developed by mobilising the local health and welfare service system in individual care plans.

- Family interventions need to be considered, for example, increasing communication between the person and the family.

- Assertive outreach and follow-up may be required.
7 Psychosis (schizophrenia and bipolar disorder) and substance use

7.1 Psychosis

Psychosis is characterised by a loss of connectedness with reality. A person may develop false ideas or beliefs about reality (delusions) which in themselves may be based on false perceptions (hallucinations).

People experiencing psychosis also have characteristic flaws in the ways they think. These are termed ‘thought disorders’. Examples are tangential thinking, loose associations between ideas, and incoherence.

Psychosis significantly impairs work, family and social functioning. People with psychoses often experience poorer physical health. The worse the psychotic symptoms are, the higher the associated level of impairment(251).

Psychotic symptoms can occur in response to physical conditions, e.g. acute delirium with septicaemia. Alternatively, psychoses can be functional. There are two broad classes of functional psychotic disorders: schizophrenia and bipolar disorder.

Generally, schizophrenia is a chronic condition with exacerbations, but always with some background symptoms. Bipolar disorder is generally an intermittent condition with the expectation of full recovery between episodes. There is considerable overlap between the two conditions and fluidity of diagnosis.

Symptoms of schizophrenia are sometimes grouped into two categories:

- Positive symptoms such as hallucinations and delusions.
- Negative symptoms such as social withdrawal and lack of energy and motivation that are similar to those found in depression.

While the clinician may realise that the psychosis could be drug-induced and is cautious in the prescription of neuroleptics or sedatives to control the symptoms, they may be under pressure to respond to the manifestation of bizarre or potentially destructive thinking or behaviour. On the other hand, alterations to the way the person behaves and thinks may be subtle in the early stages when early intervention may be most appropriate.

Shortening the period of untreated psychosis (whether this be substance induced or the early stages of psychotic disorders) has the potential to have a positive impact on treatment outcomes.

7.1.1 Management approaches to psychosis

Schizophrenia

- Antipsychotics have shown their effectiveness in treating psychosis. The newer, so called atypical agents are effective at managing symptoms of psychosis****(252, 253), produce fewer extrapyramidal side effects****(252), are possibly associated with fewer relapses****(253), show possible improvements in cognitive deficits***(254-256) and have improved tolerability compared to typical antipsychotics(1, 2).
- Adjunctive benzodiazepines may be required for breakthrough anxiety and agitation and should be restricted to short-term use and gradual dose reduction(2).
- Antidepressants may also be useful for the treatment of associated depression(5).
Bipolar disorder

- Bipolar disorders are best managed with mood stabilising drugs such as lithium ****(257-259), sodium valproate ****(259), carbamazepine ****(259, 260) and lamotrigine for depression ****(257, 258, 261) with atypical antipsychotics such as olanzapine and risperidone being used in manic phases ****(259, 262).

- Mood stabilisers such as sodium valproate, carbamazepine and lamotrigine are hepatically metabolised and liver function is particularly pertinent when prescribing these classes of medication.

- People with bipolar disorder and comorbid substance use are at a greater risk of contracting blood borne viruses due to increased risk taking, and are more likely to consume large quantities of alcohol. Therefore, liver function should be assessed in patients with bipolar and comorbid substance use disorders.

7.2 Comorbidity with psychosis

7.2.1 Schizophrenia

- There are few differences in acute symptoms between schizophrenia with substance use and substance-induced psychosis. Distinction is primarily made on the basis of resolution of symptoms after withdrawal from the substance(2).

- Prodromal, or early non-specific symptoms of schizophrenia such as subtle personality changes, social withdrawal, reduced self-care and odd thinking, prior to the start of substance use and psychotic symptoms, may help make the distinction between a functional illness such as schizophrenia and substance-induced psychotic symptoms.

- Comorbid substance-use disorders are more common in people with psychosis than the general population(1, 2, 263).

- The number of injecting drug users is increasing in the general population. The rates of those with psychosis who are injecting drugs is increasing at a similar rate(2).

- Problematic substance use has been associated with earlier onset of psychosis(263).

- Even moderate use of substances can exacerbate psychotic symptoms which can make motivation for reduction of substance use difficult(1, 2, 263).

- Reasons for increased substance use in schizophrenia are dominated by self-medication hypotheses. The hypothesis is that people use substances in an effort to deal with their symptoms(15).
  - Those with substance-use disorders and schizophrenia report fewer negative symptoms(1, 264, 265).
  - Self medication does explain some but not all of the reasons for comorbid substance use and schizophrenia(266).

- Comorbid substance use and schizophrenia are associated with increased morbidity and poorer outcome(1, 2, 15, 263) and, in the past, people with this combination have generally not responded as well to treatment as those without substance-use disorders(265).

- Substance use is highly associated with treatment non-compliance(1, 15, 263) and longer duration of untreated schizophrenia(260).

- Decreases in substance use due to treatment retention is associated with reduced overall symptoms in people with psychosis(267).
7.2.2 Bipolar disorder

- Large amounts of alcohol and other substance use frequently occur during the manic phase of bipolar illness.

- Manic symptoms are likely to be exacerbated by concurrent substance use, particularly stimulant and cannabis use.

- During the depressed phase of the illness period, there is also increased substance use with alcohol exacerbating depression, and the use of stimulants and cannabis having the risk of precipitating a manic swing or mixed symptoms.

- During periods of recovery, the person typically returns to limited use. Care is needed not to misdiagnose and attribute all problems to the substance intake.

7.2.3 General

- Comorbidity with other mental disorders is common amongst those with psychosis, in particular anxiety and depression\(^{(15, 253, 268)}\).

- Coexisting personality disorder\(^{(251, 263)}\) can lead to poorer prognosis of substance use disorders in patients with schizophrenia\(^{(15, 263)}\).

- Very little evidence is available to allow advice concerning safe levels of alcohol or substance use in patients with psychotic illnesses. The assumption can be made that any use during the active phase of illness will have a deleterious effect.

- Psychosis is associated with suicidal ideation and attempts which are exacerbated when comorbid substance use is involved\(^{(15, 251, 263, 268)}\).

7.2.4 General management approaches to comorbidity

Assessment

- The person experiencing psychosis who is using substances presents diagnostic and management challenges for the clinician.

- It is important to differentiate between three different phenomena with regard to psychosis and substance use:
  - People can experience an acute psychotic episode in response to substance intoxication, withdrawal and use due to the effects of the substance.
  - Substances can precipitate a psychotic disorder in predisposed individuals which can persist in the absence of the psychoactive substance.
  - Some people have an underlying psychotic disorder that is exacerbated by concurrent use of substances, in particular cannabis and amphetamines.

- The use of substances can exacerbate symptoms in people with a chronic psychotic disorder, exacerbating the condition and interfering with rehabilitation.
• Non-response to medication for psychosis may be indicative of substance use. This should be investigated before attempting to change the antipsychotic medication\(^\text{(2, 262)}\).

• Comorbid substance use in people with psychosis has the potential to affect cognitive ability\(^\text{(269)}\). This may impact on treatment approaches and prolong the time it takes to observe a positive response to treatment.

**Treatment: Pharmacotherapy**

• Most research has occurred in relation to schizophrenia and substance use, rather than bipolar disorder.

• There is little difference between schizophrenia and substance-induced psychosis in the treatment of acute symptoms. However, substance-induced psychosis does not normally require long-term maintenance with antipsychotic medication\(^\text{(2)}\).

• Despite a lack of controlled trials, it appears that people with comorbid substance use and schizophrenia fare better on newer atypical antipsychotics\(^\text{***(1, 2, 267, 270-274)}\).

• Clozapine stands out as the most valuable treatment so far for comorbid substance use and schizophrenia\(^\text{***(1, 2, 267, 270, 272, 274, 275)}\).

• Clozapine for schizophrenia appears to be as effective in people with substance use issues as it does in non-substance users\(^\text{**(276)}\). Additional substance use does not appear to interfere with the efficacy of clozapine for psychosis\(^\text{(276)}\).

• As well as controlling psychotic symptoms, clozapine also shows evidence of reducing substance use in those with psychosis\(^\text{***(1, 2, 267, 270, 272, 274, 275)}\).

**Treatment: Psychotherapy**

• The efficacy of CBT as a single treatment for psychosis is not affected by substance use\(^\text{**(277)}\).

• Integrated care for both disorders (including pharmacotherapy, motivational interviewing, CBT and caregiver interventions) significantly improves both psychotic positive symptoms and substance use\(^\text{***(1, 15, 267, 278)}\).
7.3 Major clinical issues with psychosis and cannabis/hallucinogen use

- Cannabis can induce or cause a temporary psychotic state that clears within several days in individuals with no prior diagnosis of psychosis.
- Cannabis can trigger psychosis in individuals who are at risk of psychosis.
- Cannabis can worsen psychotic symptoms in those individuals who have a current diagnosis of psychosis.
- People with psychotic disorders should avoid cannabis and be counselled against its use. Brief interventions should be delivered for people with psychosis who may be using even small amounts of cannabis.
- In an acute psychotic episode caused by cannabis use, cessation of use will result in resolution of the episode.
- Psychoeducation and CBT orientated programs have shown promise in reducing cannabis use in first-episode psychosis patients.
- In the absence of other proven forms of treatment, CBT is, at present, the most widely employed form of treatment for cannabis use.

7.3.1 Effects of cannabis and other hallucinogens on psychotic disorders

- One of the most commonly used substances in individuals with psychosis is cannabis, with individuals with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder quite often receiving an additional diagnosis of cannabis dependence\(^\text{[1, 2, 264, 265, 268, 279, 280]}\).
- Duration of cannabis use in people with bipolar disorder is associated with the duration of mania\(^\text{[281]}\).
- Cannabis increases the risk of tardive dyskinesia\(^\text{[2]}\).
- There is growing evidence that cannabis use is a significant contributory factor in psychosis\(^\text{[126, 282-285]}\):
  - Cannabis can induce or cause a temporary psychotic state that clears within several days in individuals with no prior diagnosis of psychosis\(^\text{[2, 14, 19]}\).
  - Cannabis can trigger psychosis in individuals who are at risk of psychosis\(^\text{[286, 287]}\).
  - Cannabis can worsen psychotic symptoms in those individuals who have a current diagnosis of psychosis\(^\text{[15, 286-291]}\).
  - Cannabis use is associated with an earlier onset of psychosis\(^\text{[264, 265, 279]}\).
  - There is an association between cannabis and psychosis. However, when rates of cannabis use were increasing in Australia, no increase in the rates of schizophrenia was observed\(^\text{[286]}\).
- People with psychosis generally do not use cannabis in a self-medicating manner to reduce psychotic symptoms. Reported reasons for use include social isolation, lack of emotion or feeling for others, lack of energy, difficulty sleeping, depression, anxiety, agitation, tremor or shaking and boredom. These symptoms may occur as part of the psychotic illness or may be due to additional anxiety or depressive illnesses or side effects of medication\(^\text{[15, 292, 293]}\).
7.3.2 Interactions between cannabis and other hallucinogens and therapeutic agents for psychotic disorders

- It is unclear whether chronic cannabis consumption induces the metabolism of the antipsychotics and reduces plasma concentrations in a similar manner to tobacco (294).

- Cannabis can exacerbate the sedative effects of antipsychotics and mood stabilisers such as carbamazepine, lithium and sodium valproate.

- Cannabis will exacerbate the sedative effects of tricyclic antidepressants and benzodiazepines used to treat breakthrough depression(2) and anxiety in psychosis which increases the risk of overdose.

7.3.3 Management approaches to comorbid psychotic disorders and cannabis use

Prevention

- In general, people with psychotic disorders should avoid cannabis and be counselled against its use. Brief interventions should be delivered for people with psychosis who may be using even small amounts of cannabis.

Initial assessment

- Despite the common diagnosis of comorbid psychosis and cannabis dependence, there has been little research to define specific unique management approaches. It is generally thought that the best outcomes are achieved when treatment for both conditions is integrated.

- An attempt should be made to distinguish between people with:
  - An acute psychotic episode caused by cannabis use.
  - A first episode of a psychotic disorder.
  - An acute episode which has been precipitated by cannabis use in someone with an established chronic psychotic disorder.

- In an acute psychotic episode caused by cannabis use, cessation of use will result in resolution of the episode. The short-term use of an antipsychotic medication or benzodiazepines may be indicated, depending on the level of distress. The duration of use should be titrated against the symptoms.

Treatment

- Psychoeducation and CBT orientated programs have shown promise in reducing cannabis use in patients experiencing their first episode of psychosis (295).

- Abstinence from cannabis is a difficult goal to achieve in cannabis dependent people (128). This is particularly the case with people with psychosis due to the social isolation they often experience. This social isolation has been reported as a major motivator for continued use (15, 292, 293).

- In the absence of other proven forms of treatment, CBT is, at present, the most widely employed form of treatment for cannabis use (128).

- Preliminary studies have shown clozapine to be more effective than risperidone in reducing cannabis use in people with psychotic disorders (296).
• Early preliminary data in one trial also shows some benefit for olanzapine in cannabis induced psychotic disorder \(^{297}\).

• Benzodiazepine use for acute symptom control should be minimised as those with substance use disorders are at a greater risk of abusing benzodiazepines\(^{196}\).

### 7.4 Major clinical issues with psychosis and alcohol use

- People with psychosis have high rates of alcohol use disorders.
- As alcohol has several negative effects on psychosis and interacts with medications used for the treatment of psychosis, its use should be minimised.
- There is evidence suggesting that clozapine is effective in reducing alcohol consumption as well as controlling psychosis in those with comorbid alcohol use and psychosis.
- Individuals with psychosis also respond well to adjunctive treatment for alcohol dependence.

#### 7.4.1 Effects of alcohol on psychotic disorders

• People with psychosis have high rates of alcohol use disorders. Alcohol is one of the most commonly used substances in people with psychosis\(^{1, 2, 21, 265, 268, 298}\).

• Alcohol may worsen or increase psychotic symptoms\(^{15, 298, 299}\).

• The duration of alcohol use is associated with depression in people who have bipolar disorder\(^{281}\).

• Disinhibition together with poorly controlled psychotic symptoms may lead to inappropriate or dangerous behaviours.

• There is increased general morbidity in those with psychosis and alcohol use disorders \(^{267}\):
  - Alcohol can increase the risk of tardive dyskinesia\(^{2}\).
  - Alcohol impairs delayed recall, attention, working memory, and vigilance to a greater extent in those with psychosis compared to people without psychosis \(^{299, 300}\).

#### 7.4.2 Interactions between alcohol and therapeutic agents for psychotic disorders

• Alcohol can exacerbate the sedative effects of antipsychotics\(^\times\)^{2}.

• There do not appear to be any safety issues for the use of acamprosate in this population and, at present, there are no known interactions with antipsychotics\(^\times\)^{2}.

• Disulfram at high doses may trigger psychotic symptoms\(^\times\times\)^{301}.

• Alcohol can exacerbate the sedative effects of any sedative agents (including tricyclic antidepressants and mirtazapine, and benzodiazepines) used to treat associated depression\(^2\) and anxiety in psychosis\(^\times\).
Alcohol toxicity may occur through:

- The inhibition of CYPs by sedative antidepressant involved in the metabolism of alcohol\textsuperscript{133}.
- An increase in sedation as a result of combinations of alcohol and benzodiazepines\textsuperscript{X}.

7.4.3 Management approaches to comorbid psychotic disorders and alcohol use

- People with psychosis should be discouraged from using alcohol for the above mentioned reasons.
- There is evidence suggesting that clozapine is effective in reducing alcohol consumption as well as controlling psychosis in those with comorbid alcohol use and psychosis\textsuperscript{267, 270, 296}.
- Individuals with psychosis also respond well to adjunctive treatment of alcohol dependence\textsuperscript{302}:
  - Naltrexone as an adjunctive therapy has been shown to reduce drinking in individuals with psychosis and does not appear to have a negative impact on the actions of concurrently administered antipsychotics\textsuperscript{303}.
  - As people with psychosis and comorbid substance use are at increased risk of morbidity and are more prone to risk taking, individuals need to be aware of the implications of using naltrexone for emergency pain management\textsuperscript{2}.
  - Patients on naltrexone therapy should be advised to carry a medical warning card or bracelet which states they will not respond to opioid analgesia (obtainable from Bristil Myers Squibb on 1800 067 567).
  - Preliminary studies suggest that disulfiram can result in decreasing alcohol consumption in those living with psychosis and may be an effective adjunctive therapy to concurrently administered antipsychotics\textsuperscript{2, 15, 304}.
  - Naltrexone and disulfiram appear to be equally efficacious in reducing alcohol consumption when used as an adjunctive therapy to antipsychotics in people with psychosis\textsuperscript{302}.
  - Acamprosate is yet to be studied in individuals with comorbid psychosis and alcohol dependence. However, there do not appear to be any safety issues for its use in this population and its use is worth considering\textsuperscript{1, 2}.
- Benzodiazepines used for acute alcohol withdrawal should be monitored closely and minimised for outpatient use\textsuperscript{2}. Benzodiazepine use should be restricted to short-term symptomatic use only, as those with an existing substance use disorder are at a greater risk of misusing benzodiazepines\textsuperscript{196}.
7.5 Major clinical issues with psychosis and opioid use

- Concurrent opioid dependence and psychotic disorders are often associated with high levels of dysfunction.
- Opioids (including methadone and buprenorphine) will exacerbate the sedative effects of antipsychotics.
- Carbamazepine is a potent CYP inducer and will induce the metabolism of methadone and buprenorphine as well as reduce plasma concentrations.
- Early studies show olanzapine, in combination with opioid maintenance pharmacotherapies, to be effective in controlling illicit opioid use and symptoms of psychosis.
- Combined daily dispensing of psychotropic medication at the same time as daily dispensing of opioid maintenance pharmacotherapy may improve treatment compliance for the psychotic disorder.

7.5.1 Effects of opioids on psychotic disorders

- The prevalence of comorbid psychosis and opioid use is generally low\(^{(263)}\).
- However, comorbid psychosis and opioid use is associated with increased mortality\(^{(305)}\).
- Concurrent opioid dependence and psychotic disorders are often associated with high levels of dysfunction.

7.5.2 Interactions between opioids and therapeutic agents for psychotic disorders

- Opioids (including methadone and buprenorphine) will exacerbate the sedative effects of antipsychotics\(^*\).

- Carbamazepine is a potent CYP inducer and will induce the metabolism of methadone and buprenorphine as well as reduce plasma concentrations\(^*\)\(^*\)\(^{(149, 157)}\). This has the potential to result in withdrawal and failure of retention in treatment\(^*\)\(^*\)\(^*\)\(^{(158-160)}\).

- There do not appear to be any interactions between naltrexone and antipsychotics.
- Opioids can exacerbate the sedative effects of tricyclic antidepressants and benzodiazepines used to treat breakthrough depression\(^23\) and anxiety in psychosis which increases the risk of overdose\(^*\).

- Methadone itself has been shown to inhibit CYP3A4\(^*\)\(^*\)(\(^{(240, 241)}\) which also metabolises many benzodiazepines. This has the potential to increase both the plasma concentrations of benzodiazepines and their sedative effects\(^*\)\(^{(242, 243)}\).

- Several deaths have been reported due to benzodiazepine use in conjunction with high dose buprenorphine and may be a result of similar metabolic interactions\(^*\)\(^*\)(\(^{(244-246)}\).
- Fluvoxamine, fluoxetine, norfluoxetine, and paroxetine can inhibit buprenorphine and methadone metabolism through inhibition of the CYPs involved in their metabolism. This can result in an increase in plasma opioid pharmacotherapy concentrations and potential overdose. This can be a particular issue during induction onto methadone; however, the risk may persist even after stabilisation has occurred.

- Fluvoxamine is the most potent inhibitor of methadone and buprenorphine metabolism and is the most clinically relevant. Therefore, it should be avoided.

- Fluoxetine and paroxetine should also be avoided.

- Citalopram and sertraline are the least likely SSRIs to have cytochrome mediated drug interactions; however, due to the theoretical potential for an interaction, caution should still be used and individuals monitored closely.

7.5.3 Management approaches to comorbid psychotic disorders and opioid use

- There is little research assessing the management of opioid use and psychosis. However, those with psychosis who participate in methadone treatment do not appear to experience any more side effects than those without comorbid psychosis and can benefit from opioid maintenance therapy.

- Buprenorphine has yet to be studied in people with comorbid opioid dependence and schizophrenia.

- Early studies show olanzapine, in combination with opioid maintenance pharmacotherapies, to be effective in controlling illicit opioid use and symptoms of psychosis.

- Close liaison between the prescriber and the pharmacist dispensing the opioid maintenance will assist with gaining insight into adherence to treatment, levels of self care and general stability.

- While there have been no studies to assess the impact on psychosis treatment compliance, combined daily dispensing of psychotropic medication at the same time as daily dispensing of opioid maintenance pharmacotherapy may improve treatment compliance for the psychotic disorder.

- Benzodiazepines prescribed for acute opioid withdrawal in individuals with psychosis should be monitored closely and minimised for outpatient use.

- The use of benzodiazepines should be restricted to short-term symptomatic use only, as those with substance-use disorders are at greater risk of abusing benzodiazepines.
7.6  Major clinical issues with psychosis and stimulant (including methamphetamine) use

- Psychostimulants can induce or precipitate psychotic states.
- Stimulant induced psychosis can often be indistinguishable from acute or chronic schizophrenia.
- Longer and heavier use of stimulants delays recovery and worsens the prognosis for stimulant induced psychosis.
- In an acute psychotic episode caused by a substance, treatment should involve efforts to encourage abstinence from stimulants which should result in the resolution of psychotic symptoms.
- Benzodiazepines (preferably oral but parenteral, if necessary) should be first-line agents in acute stimulant induced psychosis.
- Antipsychotics are useful second-line agents if benzodiazepines do not settle the agitation sufficiently.
- Limited ongoing antipsychotic use is justified if psychotic symptoms persist.

7.6.1  Effects of stimulants on psychotic disorders

Prevalence
- Stimulants are amongst the most commonly used substances in individuals with psychosis\(^1,2,265\).
- Stimulants may be used to reduce the apathy and lack of energy associated with schizophrenia\(^308\).

Stimulant induced disorders
- Psychostimulants can induce or precipitate psychotic states\(^32-38\).
- Stimulants can induce brief positive and negative psychotic symptoms even in a healthy control group\(^13\) and, irrespective of an individual’s mental state, a large enough dose of stimulant can produce a brief psychotic disorder\(^30\).
- Stimulant-induced psychotic states develop during the chronic stage of intoxication and clear within days to a week of ceasing use\(^2,32,309\).
- However, repetitive use of stimulants may involve prolonged psychotic states that can last up to several months after cessation of use\(^33,37\).
- Stimulant-induced psychosis involves both positive and negative symptoms including paranoid hallucinatory (auditory and visual) states, bizarre ideas as well as volitional disturbances and can often be indistinguishable from acute or chronic schizophrenia\(^32,37\).
- After complete recovery, acute reappearance of paranoid states or relapse of psychosis can be induced by a single use of stimulant in people with a history of stimulant-induced psychosis, years after the initial psychosis has resolved\(^35,37\).
• Spontaneous reoccurrence of stimulant-induced paranoid hallucinatory states (flashbacks) can also occur in response to stress (as well as continued use) in subjects with history of stimulant induced psychosis\(^{36, 37}\). This appears to be similar to how stress can induce a relapse in people with schizophrenia\(^{34, 37}\).

• Longer and heavier use of stimulants delays recovery and worsens the prognosis for stimulant induced psychosis\(^{35}\).

• The risk of stimulant-induced psychosis increases with increasing duration of stimulant use\(^{35}\) and usually develops gradually with repeated episodes of stimulant use\(^{310}\).

• Symptoms resembling both positive and negative symptoms of psychosis may continue after withdrawal and patients with persisting stimulant induced psychosis can develop long lasting residual symptoms resembling negative symptoms of schizophrenia\(^{310}\).

• Acute stimulant-induced psychosis usually disappears shortly after the discontinuation of stimulant consumption and at the beginning of neuroleptic treatment\(^{35}\).

**Stimulant use in people with chronic psychosis**

• People with an established psychotic disorder can experience an exacerbation of symptoms after acute exposure to psychostimulants, possibly due to an increase in monoamines\(^{15, 30, 34}\).

• The presence of positive symptoms makes an individual more likely to experience a worsening of psychotic symptoms in response to a single administration of stimulant\(^{30}\).

• There is debate as to whether compliance with antipsychotic medication will prevent relapse or worsening of symptoms if stimulants are used\(^{30, 310}\).

### 7.6.2 Interactions between stimulants and therapeutic agents for psychotic disorders

• As stimulants act in an antagonistic manner, their combinations with antipsychotics that act as antagonists, particularly at dopamine receptors, are unlikely to result in more pronounced pharmacodynamic outcomes than if they were taken alone\(^{\times}\).

• Stimulant drugs are likely to exacerbate the side-effects of SSRI and SNRI antidepressants (and vice versa) used in the treatment of breakthrough depression in psychosis\(^{2}\) and may result in serotonin syndrome (Appendix 1)\(^{\times}\). Patients should be warned of signs of serotonin syndrome and be monitored.

• MAO-Is (either irreversible or reversible) are contraindicated in people using amphetamines or MDMA. Deaths have been associated with concurrent use of moclobemide and MDMA\(^{\times \times}\).\(^{181, 182}\)

• Fluoxetine, paroxetine and norfluoxetine can inhibit the metabolism of MDMA through inhibition of the CYPs involved in its metabolism and may therefore cause toxicity\(^{\times}\).
7.6.3 Management approaches to comorbid psychotic disorders and stimulant use

Assessment

• It is important to attempt to distinguish between people with an acute psychotic episode caused by substance use, a first episode of a psychotic disorder or an acute episode in someone with an established chronic psychotic disorder.

Treatment

Acute psychotic episode

• In an acute psychotic episode caused by a substance, treatment should involve efforts to encourage abstinence from stimulants which should result in the resolution of psychotic symptoms.
• Benzodiazepines (preferably oral but parenteral if necessary) should be first-line agents in acute stimulant induced psychosis. Antipsychotics are useful second-line agents if benzodiazepines do not settle the agitation sufficiently.
• The use of benzodiazepines should be minimised for outpatient use as those with a history of substance use are at increased risk of benzodiazepine use.
• Antipsychotics may be added if benzodiazepines are unsuccessful. However, for acute stimulant induced psychosis, their use is as an adjunctive tranquilliser.
• Limited ongoing use is justified if psychotic symptoms persist.

Longer term psychotic episode

• There are currently no pharmacotherapies for stimulant dependence.
• As soon as the person has recovered, they should be regularly reviewed in order to reduce and cease antipsychotic medication.
• In those who have experienced more than one episode of psychosis, regular low dose use of antipsychotics may be necessary.
• There is some evidence that clozapine is effective in individuals with psychosis and comorbid stimulant use.
• Olanzapine has also shown promising results when used by people with psychosis and stimulant-use disorders. It has been shown to reduce stimulant use and both positive and negative psychotic symptoms related to stimulant use, and improve overall functioning.
• Follow up of the psychotic episode is important to ensure that the patient has not developed an underlying functional psychotic disorder.

For further information please consult:

Guidelines for the medical management of patients with methamphetamine-induced psychosis:
7.7 Major clinical issues with psychosis and benzodiazepine use

- Benzodiazepines may be required for breakthrough anxiety and agitation in psychosis.
- Benzodiazepines should be restricted to short-term use particularly in outpatient settings.
- If dependence has developed, then graduated withdrawal through slow reduction of dosage should be commenced, possibly after transferring the patient onto a long-acting benzodiazepine.
- If long-term benzodiazepine use is indicated, then this should be monitored closely.

7.7.1 Effects of benzodiazepines on psychotic disorders

- Benzodiazepines may be required for breakthrough anxiety and agitation in psychosis[2].
- Benzodiazepine use should be restricted to short-term use particularly in outpatient settings as those with substance-use disorders are at a greater risk of abusing benzodiazepines[2, 196].
- Benzodiazepines may be used by patients to self-manage positive psychotic symptoms.
- Benzodiazepines will enhance the sedative effects of tricyclic antidepressants used to treat associated depression[16] with schizophrenia, which increases the risk of overdose.
- Benzodiazepines may exacerbate negative symptoms such as depression and psychomotor retardation as well as slowing of cognitions.

7.7.2 Interactions between benzodiazepines and therapeutic agents for psychotic disorders

- Benzodiazepines will increase the sedative effects of antipsychotics.
- When used with clozapine, benzodiazepines may induce delirium, severe sedation and respiratory depression.

7.7.3 Management approaches to comorbid psychotic disorders and benzodiazepine use

- Due to their sedative effects, benzodiazepines, in conjunction with major tranquilisers, e.g. lorazepam, clonazepam and diazepam, can be useful for the acute management of psychotic episodes.
- If large quantities of benzodiazepines (e.g. 40mg diazepam daily equivalent) are being consumed, then inpatient withdrawal to lower levels should be considered to avoid and manage seizure risk[194].
- If dependence has developed, then graduated withdrawal through slow reduction of dosage should be commenced[194-196], possibly after transferring the patient onto a long acting benzodiazepine.
If long-term benzodiazepine use is indicated, then:

- This should be subject to a contract with the patient.
- Authorities should be advised, including registration with the relevant local government health authority.
- The seeking of additional benzodiazepines from other prescribers should be monitored (e.g. using the Authority to release personal PBS claims information to a third party form).
- Daily or weekly dispensing of benzodiazepines should be considered and may assist with controlling use.

### 7.8 Major clinical issues with psychosis and inhalant/solvent use

- Chronic inhalant use can produce persistent psychotic symptoms in susceptible individuals.
- Clozapine has been linked to cardiomyopathy and fatal myocarditis.
- As with most other substances, inhalant users should be encouraged to reduce or cease use to observe whether psychotic symptoms resolve.

#### 7.8.1 Effects of inhalants/solvents on psychotic disorders

- Chronic inhalant use can produce persistent psychotic symptoms in susceptible individuals\(^{60, 62}\).
- Chronic inhalant use also has the potential to induce psychotic symptoms in those who are not susceptible to psychosis\(^{61, 62}\).
- Inhalant use can induce a brief psychotic disorder that can last from a few hours up to a few weeks beyond the time of intoxication\(^{61}\).

#### 7.8.2 Interactions between inhalants/solvents and therapeutic agents for psychotic disorders

- The sedative effects of antipsychotics may be exacerbated by inhalants and may possibly result in severe sedation and overdose\(^{\times}\).
- Clozapine has been linked to cardiomyopathy and fatal myocarditis\(^{\times}\). Therefore, risks should be appraised prior to commencement.
- Inhalants will enhance the sedative effects of tricyclic antidepressants and benzodiazepines used to treat breakthrough depression\(^{20}\) and anxiety with schizophrenia, which increases the risk of overdose\(^{\times}\).
7.8.3 Management approaches to comorbid psychotic disorders and inhalants/solvent use

- As with most other substances, inhalant users should be encouraged to try and reduce or cease use to observe whether psychotic symptomatology resolves.

- A case study reports the effectiveness of clozapine in reducing psychotic symptoms as well as glue sniffing\(^{62}\).

- In general, with respect to inhalant/solvent use\(^{65}\):
  - Outline the harms associated with inhalant/solvent use.
  - Investigate polysubstance use as this is common.

- Standard CBT approaches to both sets of issues should be used, with particular attention to the development of:
  - Assertiveness skills (refusal skills).
  - Coping skills for controlling and managing emotions such as anger and sadness.

- Offer alternatives to inhalant use, for example, recreational activities.

- Community reinforcement approaches should be developed by mobilising the local health and welfare service system in individual care plans.

- Family interventions need to be considered, for example, increasing communication between the person and the family.

- Assertive outreach and follow-up may be required.
8 Personality disorders and substance use

8.1 Personality disorders

A personality disorder is an enduring pattern of inner experience, of seeing the world and relating to others in a manner that markedly deviates from cultural expectations, and includes, and results in, problematic and habitual behaviours that are pervasive and inflexible.

The onset of personality disorders occurs in adolescence or early adulthood, is stable over time, leads to impairment or distress and is not due to mental disorder or substance use.

Personality disorders are long-standing and maladaptive patterns of perceiving and responding to other people and to stressful circumstances.

Personality traits are conspicuous features of personality and are not necessarily pathological, although certain styles of personality traits may cause interpersonal problems. Personality disorders are not regarded as illnesses. However, some dominant personality traits and personality disorders can be modified and some managed on a systemic level.

8.1.1 Personality disorder subtypes

Cluster A personality disorder

Includes paranoid, schizoid and schizotypal types. Individuals display odd and eccentric behaviour.

Paranoid

Person displays patterns of distrust and suspiciousness such that others’ motives are interpreted as malevolent.

Schizoid

Person displays a pattern of detachment from social relationships and a restricted range of emotional expression.

Schizotypal

Person displays a pattern of acute discomfort in close relationships, cognitive or perceptual distortions, and eccentricities of behaviour.
Cluster B personality disorder

Includes antisocial, borderline, histrionic and narcissistic types. Individuals display dramatic, erratic and emotional behaviour.

**Antisocial**

Person displays a pattern of disregard for, and violation of, the rights of others.

**Borderline**

Person displays patterns of instability in interpersonal relationships, self image and effects as well as marked impulsivity.

**Histrionic**

Person displays patterns of excessive emotionality and attention-seeking behaviour.

**Narcissistic**

Person displays patterns of grandiosity, need for admiration and lack of empathy.

Cluster C personality disorder

Includes avoidant, dependent and obsessive compulsive types. Individuals display anxious and fearful behaviours.

**Avoidant**

Person displays patterns of social inhibition, feelings of inadequacy and hypersensitivity to negative evaluation.

**Dependent**

Person displays patterns of submissive and clinging behaviour relating to the excessive need to be taken care of.

**Obsessive compulsive**

Person displays patterns of preoccupation with orderliness, perfectionism and control.

Personality disorders not otherwise specified

Personality disorders not otherwise specified are those where:

- The individual’s personality pattern meets the general criteria for a personality disorder and traits of several different personality disorders are present, but the criteria for any specific personality disorder are not met.

- The individual’s personality pattern meets the general criteria for a personality disorder, but the individual is considered to have a personality disorder that is not included in the classification.
Of all the different types of personality disorders, Cluster B personality disorders (including narcissistic, histrionic, borderline and antisocial) come to the attention of health providers and authorities the most. People with antisocial personality disorders frequently end up in the criminal justice system\(^{312, 313}\).

### 8.1.2 Management approaches

- Limit setting and the use of therapeutic contracts are extremely important in this client group.
- It is important that clinicians remain vigilant when dealing with people who have personality disorders in order to avoid being manipulated.
- There is no specific pharmacological treatment for personality disorders. Personality disorders are not normally an indication for medication which adds to their management remaining controversial. A variety of medications have been reviewed for some types of behaviours associated with personality disorders such as impulsivity and aggression. However, good quality data relating to efficacy is limited.
- Antidepressants and mood-stabilising drugs such as carbamazepine, lithium, sodium valproate and other SSRIs are among those that have been studied. They do not provide a cure, but have assisted with some symptom control for some Cluster B personality traits\(^{314, 315}\).
- Scheduling of brief, structured and frequent visits to primary care providers is recommended. Restriction of access to emergency services and last minute appointments may be helpful in the management of personality disorders\(^{316}\).
- A balance must be ensured between the fostering of dependency and providing the support and crisis intervention that is required.
- Early case management with other primary care providers (emergency department staff, locum services, after hours staff, emergency services and mental health staff) is indicated.

### 8.2 Comorbidity with personality disorders

People with personality disorders have:

- High rates of additional mental disorders\(^{317}\).
- Higher rates of psychotic symptoms and psychotic disorders than controls and those with other mental disorders\(^{316}\).
- Significant psychosocial impairment\(^{312, 316}\).
- Higher rates of impulsivity compared with those who do not have a personality disorder\(^{312, 316}\).
- Higher rates of suicidal ideation and suicidal behaviour than the general population\(^{312, 316}\).

Substance use is common in people with personality disorders\(^{120, 312, 317-319}\).

The term ‘borderline’ was first used to capture the features of the personality disorder that is borderline between psychosis and neurosis and characterised by extremes of mood and thinking.

Substance use is most common in those with Cluster B type personality disorder, in particular, borderline and antisocial personality disorder\(^{120, 313, 318-320}\).
Conduct disorder in childhood (a necessary prerequisite to conclude that an adult has an antisocial personality disorder) is predictive of substance-use disorders between adolescence and early adulthood^{320}.

People with comorbid personality disorder and substance use:
- Have more problematic symptoms of substance use than those without a personality disorder^{320}.
- Are more likely to participate in risky substance-injecting practices that predispose them to blood borne viruses^{312}.
- Are more likely to engage in risky sexual practices^{312} and other disinhibited behaviours.
- May have difficulty staying in treatment programs and complying with treatment plans^{312, 316}.

Treatment for substance use in people with personality disorders is associated with a reduction in substance use^{313}.

Treatment for substance use is also associated with a reduction in the likelihood of being arrested^{313}, suggesting a reduction in criminal activity.

### 8.2.1 General management approaches to comorbidity
- People with personality disorders are difficult to manage. Often, the underlying disorders will only become apparent after previous attempts to treat comorbidities have failed.
- People with personality disorders should be counselled about substance use and the problems that arise from substance use, given their particular personalities.
- However, many clients have difficulty even recognising that their substance use is problematic.

### 8.3 Major clinical issues with personality disorders and cannabis/hallucinogen use
- People with personality disorders display more symptoms of cannabis use disorders than those who do not have a personality disorder.
- Advice regarding cannabis usage in these disorders depends on the degree of dysfunction associated with use.
- In the absence of other proven forms of treatment, CBT is, at present, the most widely-employed form of treatment for cannabis use.

### 8.3.1 Effects of cannabis and other hallucinogens on personality disorders
- Conduct disorder in adolescence increases the risk of initiating marijuana use^{320}.
- Age of first use of cannabis is earlier in people with personality disorders compared to whose without personality disorder^{320}.
People with personality disorders display more symptoms of cannabis dependence than those who do not have a personality disorder\(^\text{320}\).

Symptoms associated with cannabis dependence increase over time in those with personality disorders\(^\text{320}\).

### 8.3.2 Interactions between cannabis and other hallucinogens and therapeutic agents for personality disorders

- Cannabis can exacerbate the sedative effects of carbamazepine, lithium and sodium valproate\(^\text{X}\).
- Cannabis can exacerbate the sedative effects of antidepressants such as tricyclics\(^\text{X}\).
- LSD may induce a serotonin syndrome (Appendix 1); therefore, caution should be exercised when prescribing SSRIs or MAO-I\(^\text{127}\).
- Cannabis and antidepressants are metabolised by CYP 450 enzymes which may result in the inhibition or induction of either drug group. Therefore, individuals should be monitored closely to ensure outcomes are appropriate\(^\text{X}\).

### 8.3.3 Management approaches to comorbid personality disorders and cannabis use

- Advice regarding cannabis use for people with these disorders depends on the degree of dysfunction associated with use.
- Overall approach depends on the person’s readiness for change.
- Abstinence from cannabis is a difficult goal to achieve in cannabis dependent people\(^\text{128}\).
- In the absence of other proven forms of treatment, CBT is, at present, the most widely-employed form of treatment for cannabis use\(^***\text{128}\).

### 8.4 Major clinical issues with personality disorders and alcohol use

- Personality disorders (in particular antisocial and borderline) and alcohol use disorders frequently co-exist.
- Alcohol can exacerbate the sedative effects of some antidepressants such as tricyclics and mirtazepine.
- Alcohol can exacerbate the sedative effects of carbamazepine, lithium, and sodium valproate.
- Acamprosate or naltrexone can be considered for long-term abstinence with naltrexone showing effectiveness in moderating drinking in those with antisocial personality traits.

### 8.4.1 Effects of alcohol on personality disorders

- Personality disorders (in particular antisocial and borderline) and alcohol use disorders frequently co-exist\(^\text{116, 120, 317, 319-322}\).
- Personality disorders are associated with an earlier age of onset of alcohol use disorders\(^\text{323}\).
• Symptom severity of alcohol dependence continues to increase over time in those with personality disorders\textsuperscript{(320)}.

• Personality disorders (in particular anti-social characteristics) are associated with\textsuperscript{(320, 324, 325)}:
  – More severe alcohol disorders.
  – Poorer long-term drinking outcome.
  – Poorer outcomes for treatment of alcoholism.

• Personality disorders and alcohol use disorders are associated with:
  – More criminal convictions\textsuperscript{(321)}.
  – High levels of novelty-seeking behaviour and impulsivity\textsuperscript{(323, 326)}.

• Alcohol use also significantly complicates personality disorders\textsuperscript{(121)}.

8.4.2 Interactions between alcohol and therapeutic agents for personality disorders

• Alcohol can exacerbate the sedative effects of carbamazepine, lithium, and sodium valproate\textsuperscript{\texttimes}.

• Alcohol can exacerbate the sedative effects of some antidepressants such as tricyclics and mirtazepine. Alcohol toxicity and risk of overdose may occur through the inhibition of CYPs involved in the metabolism of alcohol\textsuperscript{\texttimes}\textsuperscript{(133)}.

• Interactions between antidepressants and acamprosate used to treat alcohol dependence are minimal, as are interactions between antidepressants and disulfiram and naltrexone also used to treat alcohol dependence\textsuperscript{\texttimes}\textsuperscript{\texttimes}\textsuperscript{(134)}.

8.4.3 Management approaches to comorbid personality disorders and alcohol use

• Carbamazepine\textsuperscript{***}\textsuperscript{(327)} and sodium valproate\textsuperscript{***}\textsuperscript{(328, 329)} can be used in alcohol withdrawal to reduce the risk of seizures.

• While studies are yet to confirm this, cabamazepine has been discussed as being useful in the prevention of relapse to drinking\textsuperscript{(330, 331)}.

• Acamprosate or naltrexone can be considered for long-term abstinence\textsuperscript{***}\textsuperscript{(141, 144, 235, 236)}, with naltrexone showing effectiveness in moderating drinking in those with antisocial personality traits\textsuperscript{**}\textsuperscript{(332)}. However, medication adherence may be problematic.

• As people with comorbid personality disorders and substance use are more prone to risk taking and subsequent injury, individuals prescribed with naltrexone need to be aware of its implications for emergency pain management.

• Patients prescribed with naltrexone should be advised to carry a medical warning card or bracelet which states they will not respond to opioid analgesia (obtainable from Orphan Australia).

• Disulfiram may be problematic as these patients may drink alcohol impulsively despite being warned of the risks.
8.5 **Major clinical issues with personality disorders and opioid use**

- A significant number of people with opioid dependence also have personality disorders.
- Particularly in the opioid dependent population, it is important to try to determine whether the behaviours are due to the opioid dependence or due to antisocial personality disorder.
- The presence of a personality disorder does not appear to impact on the effectiveness of opioid treatment.
- Methadone maintenance appears to be effective in people with personality disorders.
- Carbamazepine is a potent CYP inducer and will induce the metabolism of methadone and buprenorphine.
- Opioids can increase the sedative effects of carbamazepine, lithium and sodium valproate.

8.5.1 **Effects of opioids on personality disorders**

- A significant number of people with opioid dependence also have personality disorders\(^{(312, 333)}\). Particularly in the opioid dependent population, it is important to try to determine whether the behaviours are due to the opioid dependence or the antisocial personality disorder.
- Opioid dependent people with personality disorders have more severe substance dependence as well as polydrug dependencies\(^{(312)}\).
- Individuals with comorbid personality disorders and opioid dependence\(^{(312, 333)}\):
  - Participate in more criminal activities (likely related to procurement of drugs).
  - Participate in more risky injecting behaviour.
  - Have higher rates of suicidality and overdose.
  - Have more psychological distress compared to opioid dependent individuals without personality disorders.
- The presence of a personality disorder does not appear to impact on the effectiveness of opioid treatment; however, it may affect retention and result in continual switching between treatment regimes\(^{(312, 334)}\):
  - Treatment reduces participation in crime and improves injecting behaviour as well as risk of overdose and psychological distress. However, rates still remain above those without personality disorders\(^{(334)}\).
  - Treatment improves risk of suicide to a level that is comparable to those without personality disorders\(^{(334)}\).
- As with others who have initial legitimate needs for opioids to control pain, people with personality disorders may go on to develop dependence to opioids and feel a need to increase their dosage. This may be a contributor to the above-mentioned switching of treatment regimes or treatment providers in order to obtain subsequent increases in opioid dose.
8.5.2 **Interactions between opioids and therapeutic agents for personality disorders**

- Opioids can increase the sedative effects of carbamazepine, lithium and sodium valproate.
- Carbamazepine is a potent CYP inducer and will induce the metabolism of methadone and buprenorphine as well as reduce plasma concentrations. This has the potential to result in withdrawal and failure of retention in treatment.
- Opioids can exacerbate the sedative effects as well as increase the risk of overdose with tricyclic antidepressants.

8.5.3 **Management approaches to comorbid personality disorders and opioid use**

- Methadone maintenance appears to be effective in people with personality disorders.
- Close liaison between the prescribing clinician and the pharmacist dispensing the opioid maintenance will assist with gaining insight into adherence to treatment, levels of self care and general stability.
- Considering the potential of personality disorders to impact on treatment retention, oral naltrexone is less likely to be effective in individuals with personality disorder.

8.6 **Major clinical issues with personality disorders and stimulant (including methamphetamine) use**

- Personality disorders are frequently observed in stimulant users.
- Use of stimulants may exacerbate impulsivity, mood disturbance and anger in people with Cluster B type personality disorders.
- MAO-Is (either irreversible or reversible) are contraindicated in people using amphetamines or MDMA. Deaths have been associated with concurrent use of moclobemide and MDMA.
- CBT can be used to address stimulant use and is effective.
- Assistance with coping skills may assist with impulsive use of stimulants.

8.6.1 **Effects of stimulants on personality disorder**

- Personality disorders are frequently observed in stimulant users, in particular cocaine and ecstasy users.
- Use of stimulants may exacerbate impulsivity, mood disturbance and anger in people with Cluster B type personality disorders.

8.6.2 **Interactions between stimulants and therapeutic agents for personality disorders**

- Stimulant drugs are likely to exacerbate the effects of SSRI and SNRI antidepressants (and vice versa) and may result in serotonin syndrome. Patients should be warned of signs of serotonin syndrome and be monitored.
• MAO-Is (either irreversible or reversible) are contraindicated in people using amphetamines or MDMA. Deaths have been associated with concurrent use of moclobemide and MDMA\textsuperscript{181, 182}.

• Fluoxetine, paroxetine and norfluoxetine can inhibit the metabolism of MDMA through inhibition of the CYPs involved in its metabolism and may therefore cause toxicity\textsuperscript{X}.

8.6.3 Management approaches to comorbid personality disorders and stimulant use

• The adverse behavioural, psychological and physical effects of stimulants should be discussed with the patient.

• CBT is effective at reducing stimulant use\textsuperscript{**[49, 183]. In particular, assistance with coping skills may assist with impulsive use of stimulants.}

8.7 Major clinical issues with personality disorders and benzodiazepine use

- Benzodiazepines have been associated with reduced impulse control, disinhibition and increased levels of violence, particularly in people with Cluster B type personality disorders.

- There is an increased risk of sedation and overdose with the combination of benzodiazepines and sedative antidepressants.

- Benzodiazepines can increase the sedative effects of carbamazepine, lithium and sodium valproate.

8.7.1 Effects of benzodiazepines on personality disorders

- Benzodiazepines are thought to have a negative effect on many of the problematic behaviours associated with these disorders.

- Benzodiazepines have been associated with reduced impulse control, disinhibition and increased levels of violence, particularly in people with Cluster B type personality disorders.

8.7.2 Interactions between benzodiazepines and therapeutic agents for personality disorders

- Benzodiazepines can increase the sedative effects of carbamazepine, lithium and sodium valproate\textsuperscript{X}.

- There is an increased risk of sedation and overdose with the combination of benzodiazepines and sedative antidepressants such as tricyclics and mirtazapine\textsuperscript{X}.

- Benzodiazepines and antidepressants are both metabolised by CYP 450 enzymes which may result in the inhibition or induction of either drug group. Therefore, individuals should be monitored closely to ensure they are experiencing the appropriate therapeutic effect\textsuperscript{X}.

- Fluvoxamine will inhibit the metabolism of alprazolam, midazolam, triazolam and diazepam causing increased sedation and potential toxicity\textsuperscript{X}.

- Citalopram and sertraline are the least likely SSRIs to have cytochrome mediated drug interactions\textsuperscript{X}. 
8.7.3 Management approaches to comorbid personality disorders and benzodiazepine use

- If benzodiazepine dependence has developed, then graduated withdrawal through slow reduction of dosage should be commenced\(^{194-196}\), possibly after transferring the patient onto a long acting benzodiazepine.

- If benzodiazepine use is indicated or to occur, then:
  - This should be subject to a contract with the patient.
  - Authorities should be advised, including registration with the relevant local government health authority.
  - The seeking of additional benzodiazepines from other prescribers should be monitored (e.g. using the Authority to release personal PBS claims information to a third party form).
  - A more direct liaison approach between clinicians who are dealing with individuals with suspected comorbid personality disorders and benzodiazepine use may help to minimise unnecessary prescribing.
  - Daily or weekly dispensing of benzodiazepines should be considered and may also assist with controlling use.

- If large quantities of benzodiazepines (e.g. 40mg diazepam daily equivalent) are being consumed, then inpatient withdrawal to lower levels should be considered to avoid seizures\(^{194}\).

8.8 Major clinical issues with personality disorders and inhalant/solvent use

- Inhalant users have high rates of personality disorders with early-onset inhalant use in particular being strongly associated with personality disorders.
- Inhalants will exacerbate the sedative effects of carbamazepine, lithium, sodium valproate and antidepressants such as tricyclics.
- Inhalant users should be encouraged to try and reduce or cease use.

8.8.1 Effects of inhalants/solvents on personality disorders

- Inhalant users have high rates of personality disorders with early onset inhalant use, in particular, being strongly associated with personality disorders\(^{250}\).

8.8.2 Interactions between inhalants/solvents and therapeutic agents for personality disorders

- Inhalants will exacerbate the sedative effects of carbamazepine, lithium, sodium valproate and antidepressants such as tricyclics\(^{x}\).
8.8.3 Management approaches to comorbid personality disorders and inhalant/solvent use

- As with most other substances, inhalant users should be encouraged to try and reduce or cease use.
- In general, with respect to inhalant/solvent use\(^65\):
  - Outline the harms associated with inhalant/solvent use.
  - Investigate polysubstance use as this is common.
- Standard CBT approaches to both sets of issues should be used, with particular attention to the development of:
  - Assertiveness skills (refusal skills).
  - Coping skills for controlling and managing emotions such as anger and sadness.
- Offer alternatives to inhalant use, for example, recreational activities.
- Community reinforcement approaches should be developed by mobilising the local health and welfare service system in individual care plans.
- Family interventions need to be considered, for example, increasing communication between the person and the family.
- Assertive outreach and follow-up may be required.
9 Eating disorders and substance use

9.1 Eating disorders

Eating disorders are more common in women than in men\textsuperscript{119, 120}. However, it is important that these disorders are not overlooked in men\textsuperscript{3}.

Eating disorders are a group of disorders that include anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa and eating disorders not otherwise specified.

9.1.1 Anorexia nervosa

Anorexia nervosa is characterised by a significant weight loss as a result of compromised eating, obsessive fears of being overweight and the voluntary pursuit of thinness. Anorexia is a chronic relapsing illness with one of the highest rates of mortality among psychiatric disorders.

Two main sub-types of anorexia nervosa include the:

- Restricting type where the individual restricts food intake.
- Binge eating/purging type where the individual alternates between binge eating and self induced vomiting, laxative or diuretic misuse\textsuperscript{340, 341}.

A ‘binge’ is defined as the consumption of an excessive amount of food in a short period of time, during which the person experiences loss of control of their behaviour.

Management approaches

Studies into the pharmacological treatment of anorexia are sparse and results for those that have assessed atypical antipsychotics and antidepressants are inconsistent.

In general, approaches to the management of people with anorexia nervosa involve\textsuperscript{3, 342}:

- Restoring weight to a normal range and medical monitoring of physical status. Specialist input may be required in the resuscitation of low weight individuals.
- Reducing the distorted perception of body image and related consequences.
- Medications can be prescribed if indicated. However, there is good evidence for non-drug treatment of anorexia.

For further information please consult:

\textit{Anorexia nervosa: A treatment guide for consumers and carers:}


9.1.2 **Bulimia**

Bulimia is characterised by episodes of binge eating followed by compensatory behaviours to rid the body of calories and an obsession with weight and shape.

There are two main types of bulimia (reflecting the compensatory behaviours)\(^{[342]}\):

- Purging type where the individual resorts to vomiting or uses laxatives, diuretics or enemas \(^{[340, 341]}\).
- Non-purging type involving excessive exercising or fasting.

**Management approaches**

In general, approaches to the management of people with bulimia involve:

- Medical monitoring of medical status, particularly for electrolyte disturbance and the consequences of repeated purging behaviours.
- CBT which has been shown to be useful in the treatment of bulimia and is generally regarded as the first line of treatment \(^{[343, 344]}\).
- Different classes of antidepressants (TCAs, SSRIs, MAOIs) have shown good efficacy and tolerability in the treatment of bulimia\(^{[3, 342, 344]}\):
  - SSRIs (fluoxetine being the most widely studied) reduce bulimic symptoms by reducing the frequency of binge eating and purging as well as anxious and depressive symptoms \(^{[344]}\).
  - High doses may be required to be effective with inadequate dosing responsible for discontinuation of treatment \(^{[344, 345]}\).
- Preliminary evidence exists for the additional efficiency of combined CBT and medication management although further studies are required to confirm this \(^{[344]}\).

9.2 **Comorbidity with eating disorders**

9.2.1 **Comorbidity with substance use**

- People with bulimia or bingeing/purging behaviours are more likely to use substances or have a substance use disorder than people with anorexia (in particular the restricting type) or the general population\(^{[346-351]}\).
- Results from studies of bulimia and anorexia populations suggest that those people who use pharmacological methods of weight control (including laxatives, diet pills and diuretics) are more likely to use more traditional substances such as stimulants\(^{[352]}\).
- A variant of eating disorders has been described where people have difficulty with ‘multi-impulse control’\(^{[353]}\). These people are more prone to problems in a variety of areas of impulse control in the setting of their bulimic illness, including substance use. People with comorbid bulimia and substance use problems are more likely to attempt suicide, be impulsive and have personality disorders\(^{[350, 351, 354-356]}\).
- The risk of substance use disorder in people with eating disorders continues over time and should be an ongoing part of assessment of these people\(^{[347, 354, 357, 358]}\). However, age-related tapering of substance use may decrease the incidence\(^{[347, 359]}\) as does retention in treatment \(^{[347]}\).
• Drug use may assist with weight control and may be a part of impulsiveness and loss of control\textsuperscript{(360)}. It may also be a part of a risk taking or self harming pattern of behaviour\textsuperscript{(361)}.

9.2.2 Comorbidity with other mental disorders

• People with eating disorders across all types have higher rates of mental disorders in general (reported up to 97\% comorbidity\textsuperscript{(346, 347)}, in particular, mood and anxiety disorders\textsuperscript{(346, 347, 354, 362)}). These other mental disorders increase the severity and chronicity of the eating disorder as well as impact on the willingness to accept treatment\textsuperscript{(346, 363)}.

• People with bulimia or bingeing behaviours have high rates of other impulse control disorders (e.g. compulsive buying and pathological gambling) and, combined with personality disorders, show high rates of novelty seeking behaviour\textsuperscript{(364-367)}.

• In addition, consistent personality differences exist between people with anorexia and bulimia\textsuperscript{(351, 356)}. It has been suggested that the less inhibited and more impulsive personality style associated with bulimia may predispose people to substance use\textsuperscript{(341, 346, 351, 363)}.

• People with anorexia (particularly the restricting type) have higher rates of obsessive compulsive disorders\textsuperscript{(346, 368)}.

9.2.3 General management approaches to comorbidity

• Practitioners should always anticipate mental disorders and substance use comorbidity in people with eating disorders\textsuperscript{(346, 347)}, particularly those with binge/purging types.

• Treatment and prevention should be directed towards assisting individuals at risk in understanding the nature of their emotions and subsequently developing positive coping strategies to handle them\textsuperscript{(350)}.

• The disruptive symptoms of eating disorders can interfere with therapy for substance use disorders \textsuperscript{**(357)} and vice versa.

• When assessing people with eating disorders, a detailed drug history should be elicited and should include specific inquiry about alcohol and stimulants as well as diuretics, laxatives and thyroxine.

9.3 Major clinical issues with eating disorders and cannabis/hallucinogen use

• Cannabis has been shown to be one of the most commonly used substances across eating disorders and its frequency of use has been correlated with the frequency of bulimia.

• Management of cannabis use should be determined by the level of impact associated with its use.

• In the absence of other proven forms of treatment, CBT is, at present, the most widely employed form of treatment for cannabis use.
9.3.1 Effects of cannabis and other hallucinogens on eating disorders

- Cannabis has been shown to be one of the most commonly-used substances across eating disorders and its frequency of use has been correlated with the frequency of bulimia\(^{341, 351, 352, 360}\).

- As cannabis has been shown to cause appetite stimulation\(^{369}\), its role in people with eating disorders is complex.

- Use of LSD in people with eating disorders has been shown to be of low frequency\(^{347}\).

9.3.2 Interactions between cannabis and other hallucinogens and therapeutic agents for eating disorders

- Cannabis can exacerbate the sedative effects of antidepressants such as tricyclics\(^{\star}\).

- LSD may induce a serotonin syndrome (Appendix 1), therefore caution should be exercised when prescribing SSRIs or MAO-I\(^{127}\).

- Cannabis and antidepressants are metabolised by CYP 450 enzymes which may result in the inhibition or induction of either drug group. Therefore, individuals should be monitored closely to ensure outcomes are appropriate\(^{\star}\).

9.3.3 Management approaches to comorbid eating disorders and cannabis or other hallucinogen use

- Management of cannabis use should be determined by the level of impact associated with its use.

- Abstinence from cannabis is a difficult goal to achieve in cannabis dependent people\(^{128}\).

- In the absence of other proven forms of treatment, CBT is, at present, the most widely employed form of treatment for cannabis use\(^{****128}\).

9.4 Major clinical issues with eating disorders and alcohol use

- Alcohol is one of the most commonly used substances amongst people with eating disorders, in particular, those with purging behaviours.

- Alcohol can exacerbate the sedative effects of some antidepressants such as tricyclics and mirtazepine, which may be used in the management of some eating disorders.

- Alcohol dependence and the eating disorders need to be addressed in an integrated manner.

- CBT is effective for treatment of eating disorders (in particular bulimia) and there is no evidence that alcohol dependence affects the efficacy of CBT negatively.

- Assistance with stress management (structured problem solving, coping skills therapy) has been found to be effective in the treatment of alcohol use and is useful for people with eating disorders.
9.4.1 **Effects of alcohol on eating disorders**

- Alcohol is one of the most commonly used substances amongst people with eating disorders, in particular, those with the purging behaviours (351, 352).

- People with bulimia or bingeing/purging behaviours have higher rates of alcohol use than people with anorexia (up to twice as likely in people with the restrictive type of anorexia) and control groups without eating disorders (341, 346, 349-351, 354, 355, 362, 363, 370, 371).

- People with anorexia have reported avoiding alcohol to prevent weight gain from the calories it contains (341).

- The majority of people affected by eating disorders and alcohol-use disorders report that the eating disorder developed first (363). This may explain the fact that eating disorder symptoms (vomiting and exercise) seem to be predictive of the course of alcohol-related problems in people with comorbid alcohol use and eating disorders (357).

- People with both bulimia and alcohol dependency have higher rates of self-harm, and borderline personality disorders, and poorer outcomes than those without alcohol-related problems (370, 371).

9.4.2 **Interactions between alcohol and therapeutic agents for eating disorders**

- Alcohol can exacerbate the sedative effects of some antidepressants such as tricyclics and mirtazepine which may be used in the management of some eating disorders. Alcohol toxicity and risk of overdose may occur through the inhibition of CYPs involved in the metabolism of alcohol (133).

- Interactions between antidepressants and acamprosate used to treat alcohol dependence are minimal as are interactions between antidepressants and disulfiram and naltrexone also used to treat alcohol dependence (134).

9.4.3 **Management approaches to comorbid eating disorders and alcohol use**

- Alcohol dependence and the eating disorder need to be addressed in an integrated manner (3, 372).

- Antidepressants have been shown to reduce depressive symptoms and alcohol consumption in depressed people with alcohol dependence (130). However, there have been no specific studies of the role of SSRIs in people with eating disorders and alcohol dependence.

- CBT is effective for treatment of eating disorders (in particular bulimia) (343, 344). There is no evidence that alcohol dependence affects the efficacy of CBT negatively. However, no available studies have reported effectiveness of CBT in managing alcohol use and eating disorders (3, 372).

- Naltrexone has been shown to be effective in the treatment of alcohol dependence (141, 235, 236) and in early studies shows some efficacy in reducing bingeing/purging behaviours (3, 372). However, it has not been rigorously tested for the combination of eating disorders and alcohol use (372, 373).

- Assistance with stress management (structured problem solving, coping skills therapy) has been found to be effective in the treatment of alcohol use, is useful for people with eating disorders and can be easily integrated with pharmacological approaches (3).
9.5 Major clinical issues with eating disorders and opioid use

- People with eating disorders do not commonly use opioids.
- Fluvoxamine, fluoxetine, norfluoxetine and paroxetine can inhibit buprenorphine and methadone metabolism through inhibition of the CYPs involved in their metabolism.
- If the person is opioid dependent, stabilise the use of opioids preferably using opioid pharmacotherapy such as buprenorphine or methadone.
- Where possible, it is important to avoid the use of opioid antagonists due to their appetite suppressing effects.

9.5.1 Effects of opioids on eating disorders

- People with eating disorders do not commonly use opioids.
- Endogenous opioid peptides have been shown to play a role in food intake. The use of opioid agonists on a regular basis (in contrast with the acute setting) generally results in an increase in food intake, whereas opioid antagonists decrease food intake.

9.5.2 Interactions between opioids and therapeutic agents for eating disorders

- Fluvoxamine, fluoxetine, norfluoxetine and paroxetine can inhibit buprenorphine and methadone metabolism through inhibition of the CYPs involved in their metabolism. This can result in an increase in plasma opioid pharmacotherapy concentrations and potential overdose. This can be a particular issue during induction onto methadone; however, the risk may persist even after stabilisation has occurred.
- Fluvoxamine is the most potent inhibitor of methadone and buprenorphine metabolism and is the most clinically relevant. Therefore, it should be avoided.
- Fluoxetine and paroxetine should also be avoided.
- Citalopram and sertraline are the least likely SSRIs to have cytochrome mediated drug interactions, however, due to the theoretical potential for an interaction, caution should still be used and individuals monitored closely.
- There is an increase in sedation as well as risk of fatal overdose with opioid use and tricyclic antidepressants.

9.5.3 Management approaches to comorbid eating disorders and opioid use

- If the person is opioid dependent, stabilise the use of opioids, preferably using opioid pharmacotherapy such as buprenorphine or methadone which may inadvertently also help to stimulate appetite.
- Counselling for the opioid dependence should be integrated with standard approaches to the eating disorder.
- Where possible, it is important to avoid the use of opioid antagonists due to their appetite suppressing effects when treating opioid dependence in people with eating disorders.
9.6 Major clinical issues with eating disorders and stimulant (including methamphetamine) use

- People with eating disorders may use stimulants to control appetite and to provide energy for exercise.
- MAO-Is (either irreversible or reversible) are contraindicated in people using amphetamines or MDMA. Deaths have been associated with concurrent use of moclobemide and MDMA.
- The use of stimulants at any level should be discouraged.
- CBT can be used to address stimulant use and the eating disorder.
- In particular, assistance with coping skills may assist with impulsive use of stimulants and bingeing behaviours.

9.6.1 Effects of stimulants on eating disorders

- People with eating disorders may use stimulants to control appetite and to provide energy for exercise. Consequently, dependence can develop.
- High rates of cocaine and amphetamine use have been observed in people with eating disorders.
- Appetite suppression and weight loss have been reported to be the reason for the commencement and continuation of cocaine.
- Severity of bulimia has been shown to correlate with the frequency of MDMA and amphetamine use, with users reporting that ecstasy aids in weight loss.

9.6.2 Interactions between stimulants and therapeutic agents for eating disorders

- Stimulant drugs are likely to exacerbate the effects of SSRI and SNRI antidepressants in particular (and vice versa) and may result in serotonin syndrome (Appendix 1). Patients should be warned of signs of serotonin syndrome and be monitored.
- MAO-Is (either irreversible or reversible) are contraindicated in people using amphetamines or MDMA. Deaths have been associated with concurrent use of moclobemide and MDMA.
- Fluoxetine, paroxetine and norfluoxetine can inhibit the metabolism of MDMA through inhibition of the CYPs involved in its metabolism and may therefore cause toxicity.

9.6.3 Management approaches to comorbid eating disorders and stimulant use

- The use of stimulants at any level should be discouraged due to the risk of dependence and, most importantly, the possibility of increased chances of toxicity.
- CBT can be used to address stimulant use and the eating disorder. In particular, assistance with coping skills may assist with impulsive use of stimulants and bingeing behaviours.
9.7 Major clinical issues with eating disorders and benzodiazepine use

- People with eating disorders do not commonly use benzodiazepines.
- Benzodiazepine use should be discouraged.
- If dependence has developed, then graduated withdrawal through slow reduction of dosage should be commenced.
- If long-term benzodiazepine use is indicated, then this should be monitored closely.

9.7.1 Effects of benzodiazepines on eating disorders

- People with eating disorders do not commonly use benzodiazepines\(^{(349)}\).
- Benzodiazepines have been shown to increase the palatability of food and often result in the increased consumption of food\(^{(376)}\).

9.7.2 Interactions between benzodiazepines and therapeutic agents for eating disorders

- There is an increased risk of sedation and overdose with the combination of benzodiazepines and sedative antidepressants such as tricyclics and mirtazepine\(^{\times}\).
- Benzodiazepines and antidepressants are both metabolised by CYP 450 enzymes which may result in the inhibition or induction of either drug group. Therefore, individuals should be monitored closely to ensure they are experiencing the appropriate therapeutic effect\(^{\times}\).
- Fluvoxamine will inhibit the metabolism of alprazolam, midazolam, triazolam and diazepam causing increased sedation and potential toxicity\(^{\times}\).
- Citalopram and sertraline are the least likely SSRIs to have cytochrome mediated drug interactions\(^{\times}\).

9.7.3 Management approaches to comorbid eating disorders and benzodiazepines use

- Benzodiazepine use should be discouraged.
- If large quantities of benzodiazepines (e.g. 40mg diazepam daily equivalent or more) are being consumed, then inpatient withdrawal to lower levels should be considered to avoid and manage seizure risk\(^{(194)}\).
- If dependence has developed, then graduated withdrawal through slow reduction of dosage should be commenced\(^{****}(194-196)\), possibly after transferring the patient onto a long acting benzodiazepine.
- If long-term benzodiazepine use is indicated, then:
  - This should be subject to a contract with the patient.
  - Authorities should be advised, including registration with the relevant local government health authority.
The seeking of additional benzodiazepines from other prescribers should be monitored (e.g. using the Authority to release personal PBS claims information to a third party form).

- Daily or weekly dispensing of benzodiazepines should be considered and may assist with controlling use.

- Standard management of the eating disorder should commence if the patient is willing and engaged.

### 9.8 Major clinical issues with eating disorders and inhalant/solvent use

- People with eating disorders do not commonly use inhalants or solvents.

- There is no literature that sheds light on managing people with comorbid eating disorders and problems relating to inhalant/solvent use.

#### 9.8.1 Effects of inhalants/solvents on eating disorders

- People with eating disorders do not commonly use inhalants or solvents\(^{(347)}\).

#### 9.8.2 Interactions between inhalants/solvents and therapeutic agents for eating disorders

- Inhalants can exacerbate the sedative effects of some antidepressants including tricyclic antidepressants and mirtazepine\(^x\).

- Most antidepressants reduce seizure threshold and tricyclic antidepressants can cause cardiac arrhythmias. Therefore, risks should be appraised prior to commencement\(^x\).

#### 9.8.3 Management approaches to comorbid eating disorders and inhalant/solvent use

- There appears to be no literature that sheds light on managing people with comorbid eating disorders and problems relating to inhalant/solvent use.

- As with most other substances, inhalant users should be encouraged to try and reduce or cease use.

- In general with respect to inhalant/solvent use\(^{[65]}\):
  
  - Outline the harms associated with inhalant/solvent use.
  
  - Investigate polysubstance use as this is common.
• Standard CBT approaches to both sets of issues should be used, with particular attention to the development of:
  – Assertiveness skills (refusal skills).
  – Coping skills for controlling and managing emotions such as anger and sadness.
• Offer alternatives to inhalant use, for example, recreational activities.
• Community reinforcement approaches should be developed by mobilising the local health and welfare service system in individual care plans.
• Family interventions need to be considered, for example, increasing communication between the person and the family.
• Assertive outreach and follow-up may be required.
10 Somatoform disorders and substance use

10.1 Somatoform disorders

The common feature of somatoform disorders is the presence of physical symptoms that suggest a general medical condition. However, these symptoms are not adequately explained by a general medical condition by the direct effects of a substance, or by another mental disorder (such as anxiety producing palpitations or breathlessness, depression causing lack of energy).

Symptoms cause significant distress or impairment in social, occupational or other areas of functioning. There is no diagnosable medical condition that can fully account for the physical symptoms. People with somatoform disorders are reluctant to accept that psychological factors may be contributing to their physical symptoms.

This expression of physical symptoms is not a conscious deception by the patient.

There are a number of diagnostic subtypes of somatoform disorders.

In addition, unexplainable physical symptoms can be seen in situations where the symptoms are intentionally expressed. If the motivator behind this is the adoption of the sick role, then the condition is termed a ‘factitious disorder’; if the intent is some external gain, then the condition is termed ‘malingering’.

It is important to note that while unexplained physical symptoms are extremely common, most people with such symptoms do not have a somatoform disorder – most of the ‘somatizing’ presentations to general practice settings would not meet the criteria for one of these disorders.

Similarly, most people presenting with pain do not have a ‘pain disorder’ or form a somatoform disorder, but rather, have pain of organic origin that may be expressed in a range of ways.

10.1.1 Somatization disorder

This disorder is a polysymptomatic disorder commencing before the age of 30 and extending over a period of years. It is characterised by multiple somatic symptoms in a combination of pain, gastrointestinal, sexual and pseudoneurological symptom areas.

10.1.2 Undifferentiated somatoform disorder

This disorder is characterised by unexplained physical complaints that last for at least six months and are below the threshold for a diagnosis of somatization disorder.

10.1.3 Conversion disorder

This disorder involves unexplained symptoms or deficits affecting voluntary motor or sensory function that suggests a neurological or other general medical condition. Psychological factors are judged as being associated with the symptoms or deficits.

10.1.4 Pain disorder

Pain is the predominant focus of clinical attention in this disorder. Additionally, psychological factors are judged to play an important role in the onset, severity, exacerbation and maintenance of the disorder.
10.1.5 Hypochondriasis

This disorder involves a preoccupation with the fear of having, or the idea that a person has, a serious disease based on the individuals misinterpretation of bodily symptoms or functions.

10.1.6 Body dysmorphic disorder

This disorder involves a preoccupation with an imagined or exaggerated defect in physical appearance.

The following disorders are not within the group known of somatoform disorders, but may present with physical symptoms for which there is no adequate physical cause.

10.1.7 Chronic fatigue syndrome

This syndrome refers to a symptom complex of marked and prolonged fatigue for which no identifiable physical cause can be found.

10.1.8 Factitious disorder

This disorder is characterised by physical or psychological symptoms that are intentionally produced in order to assume the sick role (psychological reasons assumed).

10.1.9 Malingering

- This involves intentional production of false or grossly exaggerated physical or psychological symptoms motivated by external incentives such as financial compensation, avoidance of work or obtaining drugs.
- Malingering disorders differ from factitious disorders in that external incentives are absent in factitious disorders.

Management approaches to somatoform disorders

- Patients with somatoform disorders present considerable diagnostic difficulty and treatment can be challenging. Somatisation should be best viewed as a process rather than a diagnosis. Many GPs report difficulties in dealing with somatising patients.
- Referral times for clients to attend pain clinics, psychologists and psychiatrists equipped to manage clients with somatoform disorders are often long or unavailable. Frequently, patients fail to attend.
- It is important to develop a good working relationship with the patient. However, the major challenge is developing a common understanding of the problem.
- Somatoform disorders are best managed behaviourally and with cognitive therapy which has shown reductions in somatic symptoms and improved functioning.
• Emphasis should always be placed on non-medication management of the somatoform or chronic pain disorder as a first line of treatment\(^\text{[377]}\). While non-medication management can be extremely challenging at times, if the resources are available in the general practice setting and the client is willing to engage in behavioural therapy, then this should be offered.

• To engage in treatment, the patient needs to have some insight into the problem and be willing to try to approach the problem differently. If this insight cannot be achieved, then a health-system based containment strategy is required.

For further information please refer to:

*Understanding somatisation and somatisation disorders: A handbook for health care workers*\(^\text{[387]}\).

### 10.2 Comorbidity with somatoform disorders

• Somatic presentations of underlying mental disorder or distress are extremely common in general practice with somatisation frequently being a way of presenting anxiety and depression\(^\text{[388]}\). Many of these presentations are easy to sort out for the clinician, with the underlying stressor or disorder quickly coming to the fore and the patient willing to attribute the physical symptoms to the underlying problem.

• There is a linear relationship between the severity of anxiety and depression and frequency and severity of somatic symptoms\(^\text{[389-392]}\).

• There is a high degree of comorbidity with depression and anxiety amongst people with somatoform disorders\(^\text{[389, 392-398]}\). A combination of anxiety and depression and a somatoform disorder results in more somatic symptoms than if anxiety or depression alone occurs with the somatoform disorder\(^\text{[392, 396, 398]}\). Individuals with somatoform disorders and comorbid depression may use both organic and psychological explanations for their symptoms\(^\text{[399]}\).

• Overlap of symptoms of diagnostic criteria for somatoform disorders and anxiety and depression may explain the high prevalence of somatic symptoms in those with anxiety and depression\(^\text{[392, 400]}\).

• Anxiety and depression are more likely than somatoform disorders to be the source of medically unexplained symptoms\(^\text{[401]}\). Regardless, depression and anxiety make pain feel worse and individuals present with a higher level of functional somatic symptoms\(^\text{[392, 402]}\).

• There is obvious scope for inappropriate substance use in these individuals as the medical practitioner attempts to deal with some of the symptomatology before the correct diagnosis is recognised\(^\text{[377, 403]}\).

• There are strong correlations between pain disorders and opioid dependence and misuse. However, this does not suggest that all people with pain and opioid dependence have a somatoform disorder.

• Somatoform disorders also correlate with alcohol and benzodiazepine dependence and misuse\(^\text{[379, 404]}\).

• Delay in diagnosing these disorders may result in the individuals being prescribed opioids or benzodiazepines with subsequent increased risk of dependence\(^\text{[404]}\).
10.2.1 General management approaches to comorbidity

- The challenge is to recognise the diagnosis of somatoform disorder early.

- Psychosocial assessment should be performed prior to treatment with any form of pharmacological treatment\(^{(405)}\).

- Clinicians need to be aware of comorbid anxiety and depression in those with somatoform disorders and manage them accordingly\(^{(392, 398)}\).

- In determining whether the depression is a cause or an effect of chronic pain or somatoform disorders, it should be considered at least a comorbid condition that requires concurrent treatment\(^{(402)}\). Use of antidepressants has been shown to be effective in reducing somatic symptoms in those with depression and anxiety\(^{(406, 407)}\).

- Use of antidepressants may be required for comorbid depression and anxiety\(^{**406, 407}**\), with tricyclics being useful\(^{(377)}\) in aiding chronic tension headaches and fibromyalgia.

- Understanding the impact of fear, expectations and attention can help physicians deal more effectively with acute pain\(^{(402)}\).

- There is evidence that pain perception seems to be responsive to changes in patient mood, even in people with concomitant substance use\(^{**408}**\). This supports cognitive approaches to pain management even in substance dependent individuals.

- Cognitive approaches may result in improved quality of life in patients with long-term somatoform disorders\(^{(398)}\).

10.3 Major clinical issues with somatoform disorders and cannabis/hallucinogen use

- There is currently little rigorous evidence to indicate what the role of cannabis might be in managing pain.

- In the absence of other proven forms of treatment, CBT is, at present, the most widely employed form of treatment for cannabis use.

- Somatoform disorders are best managed behaviourally and with cognitive therapy.

10.3.1 Effects of cannabis and other hallucinogens on somatoform disorders

- Cannabis may have a beneficial effect on pain\(^{(409)}\). However, there is currently little rigorous evidence (by way of randomised controlled trials) to indicate what the role of cannabis might be in managing pain\(^{410-414}\).

- Despite this, cannabis is one of the most commonly used substances among individuals with somatoform disorders\(^{(415)}\). It may be used by individuals with somatoform or pain disorders in an attempt to alleviate pain or as an antiemetic to relieve nausea associated with opioid use\(^{(409, 412, 416)}\).
10.3.2 Interactions between cannabis and therapeutic agents for somatoform disorders

- Cannabis can exacerbate the sedative effects of tricyclic antidepressants and benzodiazepines which increase the risk of impaired driving and injury as well as overdose.

- Cannabis, benzodiazepines, opioids and antidepressants are metabolised by CYP 450 enzymes which may result in the inhibition or induction of each drug group. Therefore, individuals should be monitored closely to ensure outcomes are appropriate and people are not experiencing increased sedation.

10.3.3 Management approaches for comorbid somatoform disorders and cannabis and other hallucinogen use

- Abstinence from cannabis is a difficult goal to achieve in cannabis dependent people.

- In the absence of other proven forms of treatment, CBT is, at present, the most widely employed form of treatment for cannabis use.

- Somatoform disorders are best managed behaviourally and with cognitive therapy.

- There is currently no research that reports the effect of CBT on both cannabis use and somatoform disorders.

- Use of antidepressants may be required for comorbid depression and anxiety, with tricyclics being useful in aiding chronic tension headaches and fibromyalgia.

10.4 Major clinical issues with somatoform disorders and alcohol use

- Alcohol is one of the most commonly used substances by people with somatoform disorders.

- If alcohol consumption is hazardous or harmful, then the person should be counselled accordingly.

- Look for links between the patient’s symptomatology and alcohol use so that insight can be raised.

- Acamprosate and naltrexone are both effective in the management of alcohol dependence and maintaining abstinence.

10.4.1 Effects of alcohol on somatoform disorders

- Alcohol is one of the most commonly used substances by people with somatoform disorders.

- People with somatoform disorders may self medicate with alcohol to mask pain or to reduce anxiety symptoms, as do people with organic physical pain and primary anxiety disorders.

- Alcohol use and intermittent withdrawal may result in exacerbation of a variety of physical symptoms particularly associated with anxiety.
10.4.2 Interactions between alcohol and therapeutic agents for somatoform disorders

- Benzodiazepines, opioids and antidepressants are metabolised by CYP 450 enzymes which may result in the inhibition or induction of each drug group. Therefore, individuals should be monitored closely to ensure they are receiving the appropriate therapeutic effect and not experiencing increased sedation.

- Alcohol can exacerbate the sedative effects of any sedative agents (including tricyclic antidepressants and mirtazepine, benzodiazepines and opioids) used in the treatment of somatoform disorders. Alcohol toxicity and risk of overdose may occur through the inhibition of CYPs by sedative antidepressant involved in the metabolism of alcohol or increase in sedation as a result of combinations of alcohol and benzodiazepines or opioids.

- Disulfiram and acamprosate used to treat alcohol dependence are unlikely to interact with antidepressants or with opioids if these are being used.

- Acamprosate and benzodiazepines do not appear to interact with one another.

10.4.3 Management approaches to comorbid somatoform disorders and alcohol use

- Individuals with pain disorders may be taking benzodiazepines or opioids.

- If alcohol consumption is hazardous or harmful, then the person should be counselled accordingly.

- Try to raise patient awareness of any links between their symptoms and their alcohol use.

- Somatoform disorders are best managed behaviourally and with cognitive therapy.

- Alcohol intoxication will interfere with CBT and any structured problem solving or motivational therapy.

- Acamprosate and naltrexone are both effective in the management of alcohol dependence and maintaining abstinence.

- If the patient is taking opioid agonists for pain relief, the use of naltrexone to control alcohol consumption will block the therapeutic effect of opioid antagonists.

- Antidepressants may be required for comorbid depression and anxiety, with tricyclics being useful for aiding chronic tension headaches and fibromyalgia.
10.5 Major clinical issues with somatoform disorders and opioid use

- Emphasis should always be placed on non-medication management of the somatoform disorder as a first line of treatment.
- CBT has shown reductions in somatic symptoms and improved functioning in people with somatoform disorders.
- The patient should be managed with the assistance of a clinical psychologist with experience in the management of pain.
- If exercise and rehabilitation avoidance behaviours are present, then the help of a physiotherapist with experience in the area will be required.
- People with somatoform and pain disorders frequently use opioids. Due to the chronic nature of the pain and subsequent opioid use, dependence is common.
- However, long-term opioid use can result not only in tolerance but can actually cause pain hypersensitivity, potentially exacerbating somatic symptoms.
- Methadone itself has the potential to increase plasma concentrations of benzodiazepines and increase their sedative effects.
- Several deaths have been reported due to benzodiazepine use in conjunction with high dose buprenorphine and may be a result of similar metabolic interactions.
- Fluvoxamine, fluoxetine, norfluoxetine and paroxetine can inhibit buprenorphine and methadone metabolism through inhibition of the CYPs involved in their metabolism.

10.5.1 Effects of opioids on somatoform disorders

- People with somatoform and pain disorders frequently use opioids. Opioids have analgesic, hypnotic and sedative effects – characteristics that are often sought after or are rewarding for patients with somatoform disorders (particularly pain). There is also evidence that opioids are more likely to be prescribed to people with pain who demonstrate anxiety or depression during an interview.[417, 418]
- Due to the chronic nature of the pain and subsequent opioid use, dependence is common.[377, 403, 404]
- However, long-term opioid use can result not only in tolerance but can actually cause pain hypersensitivity,[419], potentially exacerbating somatic symptoms.

10.5.2 Interactions between opioids and therapeutic agents for somatoform disorders

- Opioids, benzodiazepines and antidepressants are metabolised by CYP 450 enzymes which may result in the inhibition or induction of each drug group. Therefore, individuals should be monitored closely to ensure they are receiving the appropriate therapeutic effect and not experiencing increased sedation which may result in impaired driving, injury and, in extreme cases, overdose.
- Combinations of sedative antidepressants such as tricyclics, opioids and benzodiazepines will increase the risk of sedation, overdose, impaired driving and injury.
• Methadone itself has been shown to inhibit CYP3A4, which also metabolises many benzodiazepines. This has the potential to increase plasma concentrations of benzodiazepines and increase their sedative effects.

• Several deaths have been reported due to benzodiazepine use in conjunction with high dose buprenorphine and may be a result of similar metabolic interactions.

• Fluvoxamine, fluoxetine, norfluoxetine and paroxetine can inhibit buprenorphine and methadone metabolism through inhibition of the CYPs involved in their metabolism. This can result in an increase in plasma opioid pharmacotherapy concentrations and potential overdose. This can be a particular issue during induction onto methadone; however, the risk may persist even after stabilisation has occurred.

• Fluvoxamine is the most potent inhibitor of methadone and buprenorphine metabolism and is the most clinically relevant. Therefore, it should be avoided.

• Fluoxetine and paroxetine should also be avoided.

• Citalopram and sertraline are the least likely SSRIs to have cytochrome mediated drug interactions; however, due to the theoretical potential for an interaction, caution should still be used and individuals monitored closely.

10.5.3 Management approaches to comorbid somatoform disorders and opioid use

• Emphasis should always be placed on non-medication management of the somatoform disorder as a first line of treatment when the resources are available and the client is willing to engage.

• CBT has shown reductions in somatic symptoms and improved functioning in people with somatoform disorders.

• The risk of dependence should be assessed if opioids are to be used. Risk factors for dependence include:
  – A personal history of substance dependence.
  – A family history of substance dependence.
  – Age less than 45 years.
  – History of pre-adolescent sexual abuse.
  – Current psychological problems.

• The advice of a specialist pain clinic while optimal, is not always readily available. However, this type of clinic will provide a multi-disciplinary approach to the management of the patient’s pain that is not readily available in general practice.

• If opioid dependence is identified, then this needs to be discussed with the patient and their readiness for change identified.

• The patient should be managed with the assistance of a clinical psychologist with experience in the management of pain.
• If exercise and rehabilitation avoidance behaviours are present, then the help of a physiotherapist with experience in the area will be required.

• If opioid analgesics are used, then:
  – Long-acting opioids are preferable.
  – Daily or weekly dispensing of opioids may assist with controlling use (observed single daily dosing may be required).
  – Use of methadone as part of a formalised program will allow probable control of the pain and the drug-seeking lifestyle.

• Use of antidepressants may be required for comorbid depression and anxiety with tricyclics being useful, for aiding with chronic tension headaches and fibromyalgia.

10.6 Major clinical issues with somatoform disorders and stimulant (including methamphetamine) use

• If stimulants are used to treat symptoms of chronic fatigue, tolerance frequently develops with continued use, and there is a significant risk of developing dependence.

• MAO-Is (either irreversible or reversible) are contraindicated in people using amphetamines or MDMA due to risk of serotonin syndrome. Deaths have been associated with concurrent use of moclobemide and MDMA.

• Brief interventions and motivational interviewing for stimulant use are recommended.

• CBT can be used to address both the stimulant use and the somatoform disorder.

• Antidepressants may be required for comorbid depression and anxiety.

10.6.1 Effects of stimulants on somatoform disorders

• Stimulants may cause a variety of sympathetic nervous system related symptoms that may be confused with symptoms of somatoform disorders. However, these are generally short lived, are related to drug intoxication and resolve as drug concentrations decline.

• Evidence regarding the effect of stimulants on fatigue in patients with chronic fatigue syndrome is inconsistent. However, as symptoms are generally long lived, and tolerance to stimulants frequently develops with continued use, there is a significant risk of developing dependence.

10.6.2 Interactions between stimulants and therapeutic agents for somatoform disorders

• MAO-Is (either irreversible or reversible) are contraindicated in people using amphetamines or MDMA due to risk of serotonin syndrome (Appendix 1). Deaths have been associated with concurrent use of moclobemide and MDMA.
• Stimulant drugs are likely to exacerbate the effects of SSRI and SNRI antidepressants (and vice versa) and may result in serotonin syndrome (Appendix 1)\(^\text{x(127, 179, 180)}\). Patients should be warned of signs of serotonin syndrome and be monitored.

• Fluoxetine, norfluoxetine, paroxetine and sertraline are potential inhibitors of CYP 2D6 which metabolises MDMA and methamphetamine. This may result in elevated plasma concentrations leading to toxicity\(^\text{127, 179, 180}\).

10.6.3 Management approaches to comorbid somatoform disorders and stimulant use

• The use of stimulants at any level should be discouraged due to the risk of dependence and most importantly the possibility of increased chances of toxicity. The patient needs to be guided towards this realisation and readiness for change determined.

• Brief interventions and motivational interviewing for stimulant use are recommended.

• CBT can be used to address both the stimulant use\(^\text{49, 183}\) and the somatoform disorder\(^\text{380-386}\).

• There are no available studies that have reported the effectiveness of CBT in managing both stimulant use and somatoform disorders.

• Use of antidepressants may be required for comorbid depression and anxiety\(^\text{406, 407}\) with tricyclics being useful\(^\text{377}\) for aiding with chronic tension headaches and fibromyalgia.

• Links between the stimulant use and the particular somatoform disorder should be sought.

10.7 Major clinical issues with somatoform disorders and benzodiazepine use

• People with somatoform disorders often use benzodiazepines to alleviate anxiety symptoms or to moderate pain, frequently resulting in benzodiazepine dependence.

• Emphasis should always be placed on non-medication management of the somatoform disorder as a first line of treatment.

• CBT has shown reductions in somatic symptoms and improved functioning in people with somatoform disorders.

• In general, use of benzodiazepines should be discouraged due to its dependence potential and psychomotor effects.

10.7.1 Effects of benzodiazepines on somatoform disorders

• People with somatoform disorders often use benzodiazepines to alleviate anxiety symptoms or to moderate pain, frequently resulting in benzodiazepine dependence\(^\text{377, 404}\).

• Short-acting benzodiazepines, in particular, may result in fluctuating plasma concentrations. These may result in exacerbation of anxiety symptoms when levels are low resulting in frequent attendances at health services.
10.7.2 Interactions between benzodiazepines and therapeutic agents for somatoform disorders

- Benzodiazepines, opioids and antidepressants are metabolised by CYP 450 enzymes which may result in the inhibition or induction of each drug group. Therefore, individuals should be monitored closely to ensure they are receiving the appropriate therapeutic effect and not experiencing increased sedation which may result in impaired driving, injury and, in extreme cases, overdose.

- Methadone itself has been shown to inhibit CYP3A4 (240, 241) which also metabolises many benzodiazepines. This has the potential to increase plasma concentrations of benzodiazepines and increase their sedative effects (242, 243).

- Several deaths have been reported due to benzodiazepine use in conjunction with high dose buprenorphine and may be a result of similar metabolic interactions (244-246).

- Fluvoxamine, fluoxetine, norfluoxetine and paroxetine can inhibit buprenorphine and methadone metabolism through inhibition of the CYPs involved in their metabolism (148-150). This can result in an increase in plasma opioid pharmacotherapy concentrations and potential overdose. This can be a particular issue during induction onto methadone; however, the risk may persist even after stabilisation has occurred (151-153).

- Fluvoxamine is the most potent inhibitor of methadone and buprenorphine metabolism and is the most clinically relevant, therefore, it should be avoided (150).

- Fluoxetine and paroxetine should also be avoided.

- Citalopram and sertraline are the least likely SSRIs to have cytochrome mediated drug interactions; however, due to the theoretical potential for an interaction, caution should still be used and individuals monitored closely (156).

10.7.3 Management approaches to comorbid somatoform disorders and benzodiazepine use

- Emphasis should always be placed on non-medication management of the somatoform disorder as a first line of treatment when the resources are available and the client is willing to engage.

- CBT has shown reductions in somatic symptoms and improved functioning in people with somatoform disorders (380-386).

- In general, use of benzodiazepines should be discouraged due to its dependence potential and psychomotor effects.

- If large quantities of benzodiazepines (e.g. 40mg diazepam daily equivalent) are being consumed, then inpatient withdrawal to lower levels should be considered to avoid and manage seizure risk (194).

- If dependence has developed, then graduated withdrawal through slow reduction of dosage should be commenced (194-196), possibly after transferring the patient onto a long acting benzodiazepine.

- If long-term benzodiazepine use is indicated, then:
  - This should be subject to a contract with the patient.
  - Authorities should be advised, including registration with the relevant local government health authority.
The seeking of additional benzodiazepines from other prescribers should be monitored (e.g. using the Authority to release personal PBS claims information to a third party form).

Daily or weekly dispensing of benzodiazepines should be considered and may assist with controlling use.

- If the person is ready for change and willing to engage in CBT specifically for their somatoform disorder, then minimisation of benzodiazepine dosage is required so that the CBT can have greater effectiveness.

- Benzodiazepines are frequently prescribed to induce ‘muscle relaxation’. However, large doses are often required (up to 40mg diazepam equivalents per day) which will not be conducive to rehabilitation.

- Use of antidepressants may be required for comorbid depression and anxiety**, with tricyclics being useful*** for aiding chronic tension headaches and fibromyalgia.

10.8 Major clinical issues with somatoform disorders and inhalant/solvent use

10.8.1 Effects of inhalants/solvents on somatoform disorders

- There is a dearth of information concerning reports of comorbid inhalant use and somatoform disorders.

- It is likely that the two conditions do not often co-exist because inhalant and solvent use occurs more commonly in younger people and somatoform disorders more frequently occur in older people.

10.8.2 Interactions between inhalants/solvents and therapeutic agents for somatoform disorders

- The sedative effects of antidepressants, opioids and benzodiazepines may be exacerbated by inhalants and may possibly result in severe sedation and overdose.

10.8.3 Management approaches to comorbid somatoform disorders and inhalant/solvent use

- There appears to be no literature that sheds light on managing people with both somatoform disorders and problems relating to inhalant/solvent use.

- As with most other substances, inhalant users should be encouraged to try and reduce or cease use.

- In general, with respect to inhalant/solvent use:
  - Outline the harms associated with inhalant/solvent use.
  - Investigate polysubstance use as this is common.
• Standard CBT approaches to both sets of issues should be used, with particular attention to the development of:
  – Assertiveness skills (refusal skills).
  – Coping skills for controlling and managing emotions such as anger and sadness.
• Offer alternatives to inhalant use, for example, recreational activities.
• Community reinforcement approaches should be developed by mobilising the local health and welfare service system in individual care plans.
• Family interventions need to be considered, for example, increasing communication between the person and the family.
• Assertive outreach and follow-up may be required.
11 Gambling and substance use

11.1 Gambling

Problem gambling affects a large proportion of the population\textsuperscript{426-429}. Pathological gambling is listed in the DSM-IV as an impulse control disorder. These disorders are initially driven by pleasure, arousal and gratification.

Recurrent gambling behaviour causes significant disruptions in personal, family, social and vocational pursuits\textsuperscript{426-429}. People preoccupied with gambling may report that they are seeking action or an aroused, euphoric state, more so than the money itself\textsuperscript{426, 428}.

The features of pathological gambling are persistent. Over time, patients develop unpleasant feelings, physiological activation and dysphoria which are relieved when the compulsive behaviour is undertaken.

In a manner similar to substance use disorders, as the opportunities for gambling increase (e.g. easily accessible internet gambling can be likened to an increase in the ‘supply’ of a substance of misuse), so does the proportion of the population that develops gambling associated problems. Hence, gambling disorders and problem gambling continue to rise\textsuperscript{426, 428-430}.

Gambling addictions typically begin in early adolescence in males and later in life for females\textsuperscript{428, 431}. Males are significantly more likely to experience gambling-related problems than females\textsuperscript{431-436}.

Gambling is a complex phenomenon and may also be viewed as:

- An addiction:
  - Tolerance can develop as people feel the need to spend increasing amounts of time and money in order to achieve the same level of excitement\textsuperscript{426, 428}.
  - Withdrawal symptoms can occur on cessation of gambling and can resolve on recommencement of gambling\textsuperscript{426, 428}.
  - Self medication theories of other mental disorders are also applied to gambling as they can be to chemical substances of addiction\textsuperscript{426, 428}.

There is evidence, however, that gambling behaviours persist over time in contrast to substance use related problems which become less prevalent as people age\textsuperscript{68, 432, 437}.

- An affective disorder.
- A specific type of obsessive compulsive disorder.

The above theories have provided the theoretical rationale for the use of certain pharmacological agents to treat people affected by problem gambling\textsuperscript{427, 438}.

The clinical course of gambling can be separated into three phases\textsuperscript{428}.

- **Winning:** Wins are greatly dramatised and losses are often forgotten about and even denied.
- **Losing:** The individual begins to gamble less cautiously in an attempt to win back lost finances and impending losses mount.
• **Desperation**: Gambling is frequently associated with criminality and legal problems in an attempt to gain more money to gamble\(^{428, 439, 440}\). Debts grow, individuals may be prosecuted and guilt and depression set in leading to suicide.

**Management approaches**

• Treatment of problem gambling is often delayed due to cognitive distortions and denial\(^{428}\).

• Few clinicians are skilled in the area of treatment of problem gambling\(^{430}\).

• CBT, in particular, exposure therapy is effective at reducing problem gambling\(^{441-443}\).

• Motivational approaches are also effective when used in the treatment of problem gambling\(^{444}\).

• Pharmacological treatments for gambling are more effective than no treatment or placebo\(^{445}\).

• There are three broad classes of pharmacological agents used in an attempt to treat and manage problem gambling. These are antidepressants, opioid antagonists and mood stabilisers\(^{438, 445, 446}\).

• There appears to be no significant difference in outcome between the three main classes of pharmacological interventions used. However, it may be that some people with problem gambling with specific comorbidities may benefit more from certain drug interventions than others\(^{445}\).

**Antidepressants**

**SSRIs**

• SSRIs have been used when problem gambling is viewed as an OCD or an affective disorder\(^{427}\).

• If there is any benefit, doses of SSRIs used in the treatment of gambling may need to be higher and require administration for longer periods of time before a response is observed\(^{428, 447}\).

• The effect of SSRIs appear to be independent of underlying depressive symptoms\(^{447-450}\).

• Fluvoxamine\(^{448, 451}\) and citalopram\(^{450}\) have been shown to significantly improve overall gambling severity [reduced urge to gamble, reduced number of days gambled, reduced amount of money lost]. Results for paroxetine are mixed\(^{449, 452}\).

• SSRIs are well tolerated when used for these indications\(^{448, 453}\).

**Other antidepressants**

• Bupropion is also effective in reducing gambling scale scores as well as global functioning scale scores and is as effective as naltrexone in producing full responders to gambling treatment\(^{454}\).

• Note: Bupropion is not currently indicated for problem gambling in Australia.

**Opioid antagonists**

The mechanism by which naltrexone may be effective in reducing problem gambling is by reducing the urge to gamble\(^{454-456}\).
Mood stabilisers and anticonvulsants

- A study of small numbers of people using mood stabilisers for the treatment of gambling alone (valproate and lithium) has shown promise in reducing gambling. However, few conclusions are able to be drawn from this single study (**457**).

- Topiramate is as effective in reducing gambling as fluvoxamine with even more individuals in full remission following topiramate than fluoxetine, as well as higher treatment compliance following topiramate ***458***.

- Note: Topiramate is not currently indicated for problem gambling in Australia.

11.2 Comorbidity with gambling

11.2.1 Gambling and substance use

- There is a high prevalence of current and lifetime substance use amongst people who are affected by problem gambling, exceeding that of the general population [426, 428, 431, 432, 435-437, 443, 439-462].

- There is also a strong correlation between the severity of substance use and the severity of problem gambling, with higher rates and severity of substance use being predictive of more severe gambling problems and vice versa [23, 365, 428, 429, 433, 463].

- Substance use can be a significant discriminator between people with problematic versus non-problematic gambling. The greater the number of substances used the more severe the gambling problems experienced [367, 463, 464].

- Problem gambling is often associated with increased impulsivity, antisocial tendencies as well as the inability to control anger [426, 465-468].

- Gambling at an earlier age increases the risk of multiple addictions and risky behaviour [437].

- People with comorbid substance use and gambling problems experience increased impulsivity which leads to further poor decision making [428].

- Impaired impulse control and poor risk assessment occurs with both gambling and substance use disorders [365, 428].

- Failure to treat comorbid substance use disorders in gambling may lead to higher gambling relapse rates [428].

- People with comorbid substance use and gambling problems are more likely to report other psychiatric histories [423].
11.2.2 Gambling and mental disorders

- Increased gambling is associated with reduced mental health status\(^\text{469}\). The majority of people with problem gambling have more than one psychiatric disorder\(^\text{459}\).

- The causative relationship between comorbid problem gambling and other mental disorders has not been clearly established:
  - Gambling may be pursued to relieve anxiety\(^\text{428}\) and depression\(^\text{429}\).
  - Early onset problematic gambling is associated with pre-existing depression\(^\text{470}\).
  - Mental disorders such as depression and anxiety may develop or be exacerbated by gambling\(^\text{428}\).
  - People most at risk for problem gambling are those with major mental disorders who often experience significant social isolation and separation\(^\text{429}\).
  - Use of psychiatric medication has shown a decline following behavioural and motivational treatment of problem gambling\(^\text{464}\). This suggests that anxiety and depression may be secondary to gambling, at least in some people.

- Women are more likely than men to have additional mood or anxiety disorders and use gambling to escape depressed moods\(^\text{428, 431, 471}\). Women with problem gambling are also significantly more likely to seek treatment for their mood or anxiety disorder\(^\text{431}\).

- Affective disorders are more common amongst people who are affected by problem gambling compared to the general population\(^\text{428, 429, 432, 434, 437, 440, 409, 462, 465, 466, 469, 471-478}\).

- Gambling is more common amongst people with bipolar disorder than the general population\(^\text{462, 479}\).

- Higher rates of anxiety are also correlated with more severe gambling problems\(^\text{23, 480}\).

- There are also increased rates of obsessive compulsive disorders in people with problem gambling\(^\text{427, 428, 438, 459, 460, 481}\).

- As mentioned above, problem gambling is also highly correlated with personality disorders, particularly antisocial, borderline and obsessive compulsive personality disorders\(^\text{428, 435, 437, 465, 470, 471, 482, 483}\).

- Problem gambling is associated with high comorbidity of other impulse control disorders (including kleptomania, impulsive shopping and impulsive sexual behaviour), as well as purging type eating disorders\(^\text{364-367, 432, 462, 484}\).

11.2.3 Gambling and suicide

- Problem gambling is often associated with increased suicidal ideation and attempts compared to the general population\(^\text{427-429, 432, 437, 472, 476, 477, 485}\).

- Early onset problem gambling increases lifetime risk of suicide\(^\text{470}\).

- However, gambling-related suicide attempts are usually made by older people with problem gambling\(^\text{485}\).

- Both comorbid substance use\(^\text{432, 433}\) and comorbid mental disorders increase the risk of suicide in people with problem gambling\(^\text{485}\).
11.2.4 General management approaches to comorbidity

- A history of past substance use and mental disorders does not lessen the effectiveness of current treatment for gambling^(464)^.

- People with current substance use as well as problem gambling must avoid replacing one addiction with the other^(436)^.

- People with problem gambling and bipolar spectrum disorder show significant improvement on gambling thoughts, urges and behaviour as well as in manic behaviour while taking lithium^***^(486)^.

- SSRIs as a combined treatment may be effective in treating co-occurring anxiety and gambling ^*^(487)^ as well as depression.

11.3 Major clinical issues with gambling and cannabis/hallucinogen use

- There is a significant association between cannabis use and problem gambling, with more severe problem gambling being associated with greater intensity of cannabis use and vice versa.

- CBT approaches that target both gambling and cannabis use may be effective in people with comorbid cannabis use disorders and problem gambling.

11.3.1 Effects of cannabis and other hallucinogens on problem gambling

- As is the case with the general population, cannabis is the most commonly used illicit substance amongst people with problem gambling^(432, 464, 488)^.

- There is a significant association between cannabis use and problem gambling with more severe problem gambling being associated with greater intensity of cannabis use and vice versa^(365, 436, 440, 442, 464, 468, 470)^.

- Additional hallucinogen use is also reported by people with problem gambling although not to the same extent as cannabis^(432, 464)^.

11.3.2 Interactions between cannabis and other hallucinogens and therapeutic agents for problem gambling

- Cannabis can exacerbate the sedative effects of tricyclic antidepressants and mood stabilisers (lithium and sodium valproate). This increases the risk of impaired driving and injury as well as overdose^x^.

- LSD may induce a serotonin syndrome (Appendix 1), therefore caution should be exercised when prescribing SSRIs or MAO-I^x^(127).

- Cannabis and antidepressants are metabolised by CYP 450 enzymes which may result in the inhibition or induction of either drug group. Therefore, individuals should be monitored closely to ensure responses are within the therapeutic range^x^.
11.3.3 **Management approaches to comorbid problem gambling and cannabis use**

- Abstinence from cannabis is a difficult goal to achieve in cannabis dependent people\(^\text{128}\).
- In the absence of other proven forms of treatment, CBT is, at present, the most widely employed form of treatment for cannabis use****\(^\text{128}\).****
- CBT, in particular, exposure therapy is effective at reducing problem gambling****\(^\text{441-443}\).****
- CBT approaches that target both gambling and cannabis use may be effective in people with comorbid cannabis use disorders and problem gambling. However, studies are yet to confirm this.

11.4 **Major clinical issues with gambling and alcohol use**

- Problem gambling and alcohol use frequently co-occur.
- Comorbid gambling and alcohol use increase the risk of suicide.
- Alcohol can exacerbate the sedative effects of tricyclic antidepressants and mood stabilisers (lithium and sodium valproate).
- Alcohol consumption will interfere with the effectiveness of exposure based treatments for gambling.
- As naltrexone has been shown to improve problem gambling, it may be an effective treatment to manage both problem gambling and alcohol consumption.
- CBT that addresses both gambling behaviour and alcohol use may also be an effective treatment for comorbid problem gambling and alcohol consumption.

11.4.1 **Effects of alcohol on problem gambling**

- Problem gambling and alcohol use frequently co-occur, with alcohol use being the most common substance used in people with problem gambling\(^{432, 433, 435, 460, 462, 464, 468, 478, 483, 488, 489}\).
- Increased severity of problem gambling is associated with heavy drinking\(^{23, 365, 431, 434, 440, 466, 467, 469, 470, 490}\).
- Alcohol consumption results in increased rates of play in gambling and prolongs gambling episodes\(^{491, 492}\).
- Comorbid gambling and alcohol use increase the risk of suicide\(^{493}\).

11.4.2 **Interactions between alcohol and therapeutic agents for problem gambling**

- Alcohol can exacerbate the sedative effects of tricyclic antidepressants and mood stabilisers (lithium and sodium valproate). This increases the risk of impaired driving and injury as well as overdose\(^\times\).
- Alcohol can exacerbate the sedative effects of some antidepressants including tricyclic antidepressants and mirtazepine. Alcohol toxicity and risk of overdose may occur through the inhibition of CYPs by sedative antidepressants involved in the metabolism of alcohol\(^\times\)\(^\text{133}\).
- Interactions between antidepressants and acamprosate used to treat alcohol dependence are minimal as are interactions between antidepressants and disulfiram and naltrexone also used to treat alcohol dependence\(^\text{134}\).
11.4.3 Management approaches to comorbid problem gambling and alcohol use

- Alcohol consumption will interfere with the effectiveness of exposure based treatments for gambling.

- If large quantities of alcohol are being consumed, then inpatient withdrawal or detoxification should always be considered to avoid and manage seizure risk. Concerns about benzodiazepine dependence should not prevent controlled prescribing for withdrawal states.

- Benzodiazepine use should be monitored and minimised as those with substance use disorders are at a greater risk of abusing benzodiazepines\(^{196}\).

- Acamprosate and naltrexone are both effective in the management of alcohol dependence and maintaining abstinence****\(^{141, 144, 235, 236}\).

- As naltrexone has been shown to improve problem gambling****\(^{454-456}\), it may be an effective treatment to manage both problem gambling and alcohol consumption****\(^{235, 236, 494}\). However, large scale trials are yet to confirm this.

- SSRIs may be effective in managing problem gambling***\(^{448, 450, 451}\), particularly in those people with comorbid alcohol use and depression***\(^{130, 135-137}\). However, large scale trials are yet to confirm this.

- CBT, in particular exposure therapy, is effective at reducing problem gambling****\(^{441-443}\).

- CBT that addresses both gambling behaviour and alcohol use may also be an effective treatment for comorbid problem gambling and alcohol consumption.

11.5 Major clinical issues with gambling and opioid use

- Heroin use is relatively rare among people with problem gambling. The use of prescription opioids is more common.

- However, problem gambling is prevalent among people on methadone maintenance.

- Buprenorphine impairs decision-making less than methadone maintenance in opioid dependent individuals and may therefore be more beneficial when used to manage people with opioid dependence and problem gambling.

11.5.1 Effects of opioids on problem gambling

- Heroin use is relatively rare among people with problem gambling\(^{464}\). The use of prescription opioids is more common\(^{452, 464}\).

- However, amongst people on methadone maintenance:
  - Problem gambling is prevalent\(^{495, 496}\).
  - People with problem gambling are more likely to use other substances when compared with people whose gambling is non-problematic\(^{499}\).
  - Problem gambling negatively impacts program retention rates\(^{495}\).
  - People with problem gambling report poorer mental health status than people whose gambling is not problematic\(^{496}\).
11.5.2 Interactions between opioids and therapeutic agents for problem gambling

- Opioids can exacerbate the sedative effects of tricyclic antidepressants and mood stabilisers (lithium and sodium valproate). This increases the risk of impaired driving and injury as well as overdose.

- Fluvoxamine, fluoxetine, norfluoxetine and paroxetine can inhibit buprenorphine and methadone metabolism through inhibition of the CYPs involved in their metabolism. This can result in an increase in plasma opioid pharmacotherapy concentrations and potential overdose. This can be a particular issue during induction onto methadone; however, the risk may persist even after stabilisation has occurred.

- Fluvoxamine is the most potent inhibitor of methadone and buprenorphine metabolism and is the most clinically relevant, therefore, it should be avoided.

- Fluoxetine and paroxetine should also be avoided.

- Citalopram and sertraline are the least likely SSRIs to have cytochrome mediated drug interactions. However, due to the theoretical potential for an interaction, caution should still be used and individuals monitored closely.

11.5.3 Management approaches to comorbid problem gambling and opioid use

- As naltrexone has been shown to improve problem gambling and be effective in the management of opioid dependence, it may be useful in the treatment of comorbid problem gambling and opioid dependence. However, there have been no studies to confirm this and compliance is likely to be an issue.

- Buprenorphine improves decision making compared to methadone maintenance in opioid dependent individuals and may therefore be more beneficial when used to manage people with opioid dependence and problem gambling.
11.6 Major clinical issues with gambling and stimulant (including methamphetamine) use

- Stimulant users are frequently affected by problem gambling.
- MAO-Is (either irreversible or reversible) are contraindicated in people using amphetamines or MDMA. Deaths have been associated with concurrent use of moclobemide and MDMA.

11.6.1 Effects of stimulants on problem gambling

- Rates of stimulant use are higher in people with problem gambling than the general population\(^4\). Stimulant users are frequently affected by problem gambling\(^3\).
- Stimulants may increase risk taking and impulsivity\(^3\) and are therefore likely to adversely affect people with problem gambling.
- Stimulants are amongst the most commonly used illicit substances by people with problem gambling\(^4\).

11.6.2 Interactions between stimulants and therapeutic agents for problem gambling

- Stimulant drugs are likely to exacerbate the effects of SSRI and SNRI antidepressants, in particular (and vice versa), and may result in serotonin syndrome (Appendix 1\(^3\)). Patients should be warned of signs of serotonin syndrome and be monitored.
- MAO-Is (either irreversible or reversible) are contraindicated in people using amphetamines or MDMA. Deaths have been associated with concurrent use of moclobemide and MDMA\(^3\).
- Fluoxetine, norfluoxetine, paroxetine and sertraline are potential inhibitors of CYP 2D6 which metabolises MDMA and methamphetamine. This may result in elevated plasma concentrations leading to toxicity\(^\times\).

11.6.3 Management approaches to comorbid problem gambling and stimulants use

- Formal drug detoxification should be considered if the person is dependent on stimulants.
- CBT is effective in reducing stimulant use\(^3\) as well as problem gambling\(^3\) and an approach that integrates treatments for both conditions may be more effective in managing comorbid stimulant use and problem gambling. This is yet to be investigated.
11.7 Major clinical issues with gambling and benzodiazepine use

- Benzodiazepines will interfere with response to psychological treatments in a dose-related manner.
- Benzodiazepine use should be discouraged and reduced. Cessation should be a long-term goal with the introduction of alternative management strategies.

11.7.1 Effects of benzodiazepines on problem gambling
- Comorbid benzodiazepine use and gambling is not commonly reported.
- High doses of benzodiazepines (or low doses combined with alcohol) can increase risk taking, impulsive behaviours\(^{[499]}\) and cognitive impairment, all of which are likely to result in adverse consequences in people with problem gambling.

11.7.2 Interactions between benzodiazepines and therapeutic agents for problem gambling
- Benzodiazepines can exacerbate the sedative effects of tricyclic antidepressants, and mood stabilisers (lithium and sodium valproate). This increases the risk of impaired driving and injury as well as overdose\(^\ast\).
- Benzodiazepines and antidepressants are both metabolised by CYP 450 enzymes which may result in the inhibition or induction of either drug group. Therefore, individuals should be monitored closely to ensure they are experiencing the appropriate therapeutic effect\(^\ast\).
- Fluvoxamine will inhibit the metabolism of alprazolam, midazolam, triazolam and diazepam causing increased sedation and potential toxicity\(^\ast\).
- Citalopram and sertraline are the least likely SSRIs to have cytochrome mediated drug interactions\(^\ast\).

11.7.3 Management approaches to comorbid problem gambling and benzodiazepine use
- Benzodiazepines will interfere with response to psychological treatments in a dose-related manner\(^{[196]}\).
- Benzodiazepine use should be discouraged and reduced. Cessation should be a long-term goal with the introduction of alternative management strategies.
- If large quantities of benzodiazepines (e.g. 40mg diazepam daily equivalent or more) are being consumed, then inpatient withdrawal to lower levels should be considered to avoid and manage seizure risk\(^{[194]}\).
- If dependence has developed, then graduated withdrawal through slow reduction of dosage should be commenced\(^{****}[194-196]\), possibly after transferring the patient onto a long acting benzodiazepine.
• If long-term benzodiazepine use is indicated, then:
  – This should be subject to a contract with the patient.
  – Authorities should be advised, including registration with the relevant local government health authority.
  – The seeking of additional benzodiazepines from other prescribers should be monitored (e.g. using the Authority to release personal PBS claims information to a third party form).
  – Daily or weekly dispensing of benzodiazepines should be considered and may assist with controlling use.

11.8 Major clinical issues with gambling and inhalant/solvent use

11.8.1 Effects of solvents/inhalants on problem gambling

Inhalant use is relatively rare among people with problem gambling\(^{464}\) and appears to be one of the least misused classes of substances reported by people with problem gambling\(^{432, 485}\).

11.8.2 Interactions between solvents/inhalants and therapeutic agents for problem gambling

• Inhalants can exacerbate the sedative effects of tricyclic antidepressants, and mood stabilisers (lithium and sodium valproate). This increases the risk of impaired driving and injury as well as overdose\(^*\).

• Most antidepressants reduce seizure threshold. Therefore, risks should be appraised prior to commencement\(^*\).

11.8.3 Management approaches to comorbid problem gambling and inhalant/solvent use

• As with most other substances, inhalant users should be encouraged to try and reduce or cease use.

• In general, with respect to inhalant/solvent use\(^{655}\):
  – Outline the harms associated with inhalant/solvent use.
  – Investigate polysubstance use as this is common.

• Standard CBT approaches to both sets of issues should be used, with particular attention to the development of:
  – Assertiveness skills (refusal skills).
  – Coping skills for controlling and managing emotions such as anger and sadness.

• Offer alternatives to inhalant use, for example, recreational activities.

• Community reinforcement approaches should be developed by mobilising the local health and welfare service system in individual care plans.

• Family interventions need to be considered, for example, increasing communication between the person and the family.

• Assertive outreach and follow-up may be required.
12 Brain injury, mental disorders and substance use

12.1 Brain injury

Brain injury can occur as a result of head trauma or through a variety of other physiological and biochemical mechanisms:

- Head trauma can result in both open and closed head injuries.
- Acceleration/deceleration forces on the brain can result in both macroscopic and microscopic lesions such as diffuse axonal injury.
- The brain's response to trauma can result in local metabolic changes that seem to worsen the initial trauma-related injury.
- Other physiological or biochemical changes to the brain that cause injury include direct toxicity from substances such as alcohol and volatile solvents, hypoxia and hypoglycaemia.

It is important to consider the impact of brain injury on each individual. Those who experience brain injury may be less resilient pre-injury and are frequently those who have the least ability to cope with the associated lifestyle changes following brain injury.

- Certain personality traits or disorders (e.g. those characterised by impulsivity or violence) can result in a higher risk of brain injury.
- Brain injury may occur as a result of attempted suicide related to psychosis or depression.
- There is a strong relationship between substance use and brain injury, but the order of causality remains unclear.
- Emotional and psychiatric disturbances, especially depression, are common after brain injury among those who have a past history of brain injury.
- People who have experienced traumatic brain injury have been shown to have increased rates of suicide, suicidal ideation, suicide attempts and completed suicide.
- There is some evidence that rates of post-brain injury substance use does not actually increase over the longer term and, in fact, may even decline.

12.2 Do mental disorders predispose individuals to brain injury?

Mental disorders have been associated with an increased risk of brain injury(500).

Certain personality traits or disorders can result in a higher risk of brain injury. For example(501).

- Impulsivity and risk taking or novelty seeking combined with low harm-avoidance behaviour may result in head trauma from falls or road traffic accidents.
- Impulsivity may result in unintentional overdose in inexperienced substance users(354).
- Tendency towards violence as a means of resolving conflict will result in a greater likelihood of head trauma.
Brain injury may occur as a result of attempted suicide related to psychosis or depression. Such suicide attempts may result in both head trauma from jumping from heights or intentional road crashes, through to drug overdose or poisoning-related direct toxicity.

12.3 Does substance use predispose individuals to brain injury?

Substance use (including alcohol, cannabis and other illicit substances) has been associated with brain injury. Up to 40% of individuals with a brain injury have been found to meet DSM-IV criteria for substance use disorders prior to the injury. Conversely, 68% of people with a substance use disorder have also been found to have had some sort of previous head injury.

While there is a strong relationship between substance use and brain injury, the order of causality remains unclear. Some debate also remains as to whether substance use or its severity is an actual predictor of brain injury.

The risk of brain injury as a result of increased impulsivity and risk taking or novelty seeking behaviour associated with underlying personality traits may be exacerbated by substance use. Combined with low harm avoidance, this increased impulsivity can result in head trauma from falls or accidents or unintentional overdose.

Substance dependence may result in attempted suicide due to the inability to cope with the negative consequences of such dependence.

Brain injury can also result from:

- The direct effects of substances on the brain (e.g. frontal lobe dysfunction, cerebellar dysfunction).
- Indirect effects such as hypertension resulting in stroke.
- Secondary nutritional deficiencies such as thiamine deficiency resulting in Wernicke-Korsakoff syndrome.

Patients with brain injury and alcohol use disorders have been shown to have significantly reduced grey matter volumes compared with brain injured patients without alcohol use disorders. The question is whether an initial brain injury predisposes people to an increased risk of subsequent alcohol or other substance-induced brain injury.

12.4 Does brain injury predispose individuals to mental disorders or to suicide?

12.4.1 Brain injury and mental disorders

- Emotional and psychiatric disturbances are common following brain injury, with those who have a past history of brain injury being diagnosed with a significantly greater number of psychiatric disorders. This association seems to apply to those within the general population who have been identified as having had a head injury, as well as specific patient populations attending brain injury rehabilitation services.
- There is controversy as to whether pre-brain injury psychiatric morbidity is predictive of post-brain injury psychiatric morbidity. Some authors have postulated that individuals with no pre-brain-injury psychiatric history may actually be more likely to develop post-brain injury psychiatric morbidity as they are likely to experience greater lifestyle changes.
• The most frequently reported psychological challenge following brain injury is depression, with increased rates observed in individuals with a history of brain injury (503, 505, 507, 512-516).

• Occurrence of depression appears to be unrelated to severity of brain injury (504, 505, 512, 514, 516, 517).

• Emotional disturbances following brain injury are disruptive both socially and occupationally and greatly affect daily functioning. Individuals who experience brain injury and depression have been observed to have generally poorer health outcomes (both physically and emotionally). They report higher levels of psychosocial dysfunction, psychological distress, neurobehavioural and cognitive dysfunction, including memory problems, and also have diminished life satisfaction (503, 506, 512, 516-518).

• There is significant debate as to whether depression following brain injury is an episodic event and perhaps a normal phase in the overall initial adjustment to brain injury, or whether depression following brain injury is a long-term disorder. There appears to be a lack of correlation between the time after injury and the onset of depression. It is suggested that depression may occur at any time following brain injury with no clearly defined time line for resolution (504, 505, 512-516).

• Resolution of depression following brain injury is accompanied by improvement in psychosocial functioning and perceived enhancement of one’s health (512).

• Increased rates of anxiety following brain injury have also been observed, most commonly in people with PTSD, panic and OCD (e.g. writing and checking lists to compensate for cognitive disabilities) (503, 506, 514).

• Anxiety disorders are more likely to present as comorbidity with other Axis 1 diagnoses (503, 504, 512).

• Comorbidity with mental disorders following brain injury is common, with more than one psychiatric disorder being identifiable in many individuals (503, 504, 512, 514).

• The incidence of psychiatric disorders such as bipolar disorder and schizophrenia following brain injury more closely resembles that of the general population and is much less prevalent than depression and anxiety following brain injury (503, 504, 506, 514).

**Implications for management**

• Considering that mental disorders are common following brain injury, post-brain injury management should routinely include a psychological assessment.

• Assessments should be undertaken at regular intervals following brain injury. It is important to try to establish what the mental health of the patient was prior to the injury and, particularly, to assess for the presence of anxiety and depression on an ongoing basis after the injury (504, 512, 514-516).

• People who have experienced brain injury, as well as their families, should be made aware of the risk of experiencing a mental disorder in the future. This should be done whether or not the patient had mental health problems prior to the injury (504).

• Individuals may present with hidden brain injury where the patient does not associate a connection between current problems and a previous brain injury. Brain injury-related symptoms such as problems with memory, attention, fatigue, the processing of multiple stimuli and impulse control may not be managed appropriately (506). Therefore, the possibility of brain injury in people presenting with non-specific cognitive type problems should be considered by the clinician.
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- Early identification and treatment of depression following a brain injury may directly improve outcome and quality of life. Considering the effects of depression on cognitive impairment in those with brain injury\(^5\)\(^1\), detection and appropriate management of depression may allow cognitive therapy approaches for brain injury to be more effective.

- A number of motor, sensory and cognitive deficits overlap with the vegetative features of depression and may lead to over-diagnosis of depression. Similarly, there is significant symptom overlap with post-concussive syndrome\(^5\)\(^0\). Feelings of hopelessness, worthlessness and anhedonia which are more specific features of depression that should be looked for by the clinician\(^5\)\(^1\),\(^5\)\(^9\).

12.4.2 Brain injury and suicide

- People who have experienced traumatic brain injury have been shown to have increased rates of suicidal ideation, suicide attempts and completed suicide. This increased risk has been attributed to both the presence of a mental disorder and to the problems associated with adjustment to living with a brain injury\(^5\)\(^0\),\(^5\)\(^5\),\(^5\)\(^6\),\(^5\)\(^1\).\(^0\).

- Individuals with brain injury employ a wide range of diverse methods to commit suicide\(^5\)\(^2\)\(^0\).

- Suicide following brain injury may be the result of multiple factors: the suddenness of onset of disability in previously healthy individuals, the global effects of disability, grief over the loss of a pre-injury lifestyle, the growing realisation of the effort involved in maintaining a similar level of functioning to that prior to brain injury, as well as a reduced level of neuropsychological coping mechanisms\(^5\)\(^1\),\(^5\)\(^2\)\(^0\).

- Emotional and psychiatric disturbances and suicide ideation following brain injury have been shown to be significant predictors of suicide attempts\(^5\)\(^1\)\(^0\).

- Thoughts of hopelessness may be a stronger predictor of suicide ideation than the presence of depression alone\(^5\)\(^0\),\(^5\)\(^1\).

- There is uncertainty about whether suicidal ideation is related to the severity of brain injury per se or whether it is related to the person's assessment of the effects of the injury.

- Pre-injury history of suicide attempts does not seem to confer additional increased risk post-injury\(^5\)\(^0\),\(^5\)\(^1\)\(^0\).

- In parallel with the observations of the timing of depression following brain injury, the risk of suicide does not diminish but may fluctuate over time following brain injury\(^5\)\(^0\),\(^5\)\(^6\),\(^5\)\(^1\)\(^0\). Years of disappointment can set in and the individual may have to face the confronting fact that they will not return to full premorbid functioning\(^5\)\(^0\).

Implications for management

- The main clinical concern is the prevention of suicide\(^5\)\(^2\)\(^0\).

- There are increased numbers of individuals accessing GP services following brain injury; therefore, the GP is in an ideal position to monitor the patient's mental state and suicide risk\(^5\)\(^1\)\(^9\).

- Individuals with brain injury may present for medical reasons and may not reveal suicidal ideation\(^5\)\(^1\)\(^9\).
• Assessment needs to involve seeking a history of pre-brain injury:
  – Suicidal behaviour.
  – Substance use.
  – Psychological disorders.

• Assessment needs to include a history of post-brain injury suicide attempts as these are far more predictive of suicide risk following brain injury.

• Post-brain injury management needs to include continuous and extended monitoring of warning signs for risk of suicide, including:
  – Symptoms of hopelessness and suicidal ideation\(^{510, 519}\).
  – Signs that the individual is not adjusting to life following brain injury.

• To prevent isolation, there is a need to continuously monitor the individual's support networks, including social and familial. There is also a need to provide education to individual and support networks in relation to difficulties that may be encountered following brain injury\(^{519, 520}\).

• As individuals with brain injury may attempt suicide using a range of methods. It is important to reduce lethality where possible by:
  – Identifying the safest approach for prescribing, dispensing and administering medications\(^{519}\).
  – Ensuring that people at risk have minimal access to weapons such as firearms\(^{519}\).

### 12.5 Does brain injury predispose individuals to substance use?

The relationship between brain injury and post-brain injury substance use (including alcohol, cannabis and other illicit substances) is still unclear and, as mentioned, causality remains uncertain. Those with a brain injury have been shown to have higher lifetime rates of substance use than those without\(^{506}\).

On the other hand, there is some evidence that rates of post-brain injury substance use does not increase\(^{508, 514}\) and, in fact, may even decline\(^{504}\). However, post-brain injury mood disorders are closely linked to post-brain injury alcohol use disorders and people with both have a poorer prognosis\(^{506}\).

Interestingly, several studies have shown increased rates of substance use within a year of brain injury that resolve in later years. It has been suggested that substance use may be used as an initial coping mechanism and may resolve itself as coping mechanisms improve over time\(^{511, 514}\).

There is also controversy as to whether the severity of brain injury is a predictor of post-brain injury substance use. Some patients who have relapsed back into substance use following brain injury have been observed to have significantly more frequent and more severe brain injuries than those who remained abstinent\(^{504, 508}\).

Substance dependent individuals with brain injury have more clinically-rated depressive symptoms and self-reported somatic symptoms and are more likely to attempt suicide\(^{501, 507}\). Therefore, it is important to ensure that substance use is managed following brain injury.
Implications for management

- In parallel to mental disorders after brain injury, there is a need for consumer-based education regarding long-term substance use risk after brain injury, regardless of the individual's previous substance use history\(^{504}\).
- Substance use should be monitored along with indicators of mental disorders and suicide risk. The clinician should intervene if the substance use becomes problematic.

### 12.6 Does brain injury impact on treatment approaches to mental disorders and substance use?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The treatment of mental disorders following brain injury is not well understood.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is vital to actively manage mental disorders following brain injury as individuals with post-brain injury history of emotional distress and substance use are the most likely to attempt suicide.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sertraline has been shown to be effective in treating depression following brain injury.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is important to minimise side effects as brain-injured clients may not have the coping mechanisms to deal with the side effects of psychotropic medications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBT has been shown to be effective in managing acute stress disorder following mild brain injury.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A level of substance use that may be regarded as ‘low risk’in the general population may carry a significantly higher risk for a person who has experienced a brain injury.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacotherapy treatments for substance use may also have a variable effect on individuals who have experienced brain injury.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 12.6.1 Approaches to substance use

Every brain injury affects individuals in different ways. It is difficult to predict the full extent of the injury and the effects it may have on different neurotransmitter and metabolic systems. The effect of continued substance use following brain injury is also difficult to predict. However, there is evidence that levels of dysfunction are worse in those who have had a history of pre-brain injury substance-use disorders, (for instance alcohol), who relapse following brain injury\(^{508}\).

In addition, a level of substance use that may be regarded as ‘low risk’in the general population may carry a significantly higher risk for a person who has experienced a brain injury:

- Individuals need to be counselled on the possible increased risk associated with substance use post-injury.
- It is important to consider the variable cognitive abilities, insight and degree of impulse control when counselling the person who has experienced brain injury\(^{512, 514, 516}\). These variables will influence the choice of psychological approaches to the person following brain injury.
• Similarly, pharmacotherapy treatments for substance use may also have a variable effect on individuals who have experienced brain injury due to altered metabolic or neurotransmitter pathways. Pharmacotherapies that may be effective in one individual may not be effective in another who has experienced brain injury.

• As the brain recovers following injury, the effectiveness of pharmacotherapies for substance use and the severity of side effects may change over time within the one individual.

• The sedative effects of benzodiazepines used for acute symptomatic relief during substance withdrawal and opioids such as methadone may be exacerbated in people with brain injury. Therefore, they may increase the risk of overdose.

12.6.2 Approaches to mental disorders

Available evidence on the treatment of mental disorders following brain injury is scarce. Studies are generally uncontrolled and have mixed results. An overlap between brain injury sequelae and the features of mental disorder will inevitably have implications for diagnosis and treatment planning. Treatment is usually based on what works best from clinical experience.

• Clinicians need to carefully assess individuals with mental disorders for past history of brain injury. Comorbid brain injury is a frequent problem for people with a mental disorder. Their risk of self harm is higher than for those people without comorbid brain injury.

• People with both a mental disorder and a brain injury may need additional cognitive and psychotherapeutic interventions that are not usually available to people who only have psychiatric diagnoses.

• In a similar fashion to management of individuals with substance use issues following brain injury, pharmacotherapy-based treatments for individuals with mental disorders and brain injury need to be assessed on an individual basis. The risk–benefit balance of drug-based treatments may be quite different in those with brain injury. There may be significant variation from one person to another due to altered metabolic or neurotransmitter pathways.

• In addition, the risk–benefit balance may, in fact, change over time within the individual as the healing process continues and the brain recovers from injury. Therefore, a medication that may have been effective in relieving depressive symptoms immediately following brain injury may not be effective after an extended period of time, or vice versa. This would account for the overall inconsistencies observed in the treatment effectiveness of psychopharmacological drugs following brain injury.

• There is also evidence that medications administered to control mental disorders following brain injury may, depending on their mechanisms of action and timing of administration, have a neuroprotective effect. However, they may also slow the recovery process.

• It is important to minimise side effects as brain-injured clients may not have the coping mechanisms to deal with the side effects of psychotropic medications.

• It is vital to actively manage mental disorders following brain injury as individuals with post-brain injury history of emotional distress and substance use are the most likely to attempt suicide. Resolution of depression (whether spontaneous or in response to treatment) following brain injury is accompanied by improvement in psychosocial functioning and perceived enhancement of one's
Active treatment of depression following brain injury significantly improves emotional functioning and general mental health, physical functioning, sleep and vitality, and social, work and family functioning. Such treatment may also reduce unexplained somatic symptoms, perception of illness severity and disability, and subsequent illness behaviour.

- With doses similar to those used in the general population, sertraline (SSRI) has been shown to be effective in treating depression following brain injury:
  - Decreases in overall psychological distress have been observed along with minimal side effects. This is promising, as individuals with brain injury do not usually present with symptoms that fall into strict DSM-IV criteria but with an array of symptoms such as depression and anxiety.
  - Similarly, sertraline has been shown to significantly decrease the burden of post-concussive symptoms.
  - If sertraline decreases psychological distress across many domains and minimises the impact of post-concussive syndrome, then it may not be as important to make a strict diagnosis (which may not be possible anyway) following brain injury. In addition, screening for and treating depression in patients with persistent post-concussive symptoms may be an effective way to decrease unnecessary suffering and reduce excess disability.

- The sedative effects of antipsychotics, mood stabilisers, benzodiazepines and sedative antidepressants such as tricyclics may be exacerbated in people with brain injury. Therefore, they may increase the risk of overdose.

- CBT has been shown to be effective in managing acute stress disorder following mild brain injury. However, the cognitive capacities of the individual need to be considered before undertaking this line of treatment.

For further information please consult:

Alcohol related brain injury: A guide for general practitioners and other health workers

Clinical practice guidelines for the care of people living with traumatic brain injury in the community:


Brain Injury Australia:
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14 Appendices

14.1 Serotonin toxicity

An overdose of some medications or some medication combinations can cause the build up of too much serotonin in the nervous system and the rest of the body. The syndrome is potentially fatal.

Common features are as follows:

- Severe restlessness.
- Over-excitability and hyperactivity (hypomania).
- Sweating and shivering.
- Diarrhoea.
- Fever.
- Lack of coordination.
- Confusion and altered consciousness.
- Tremor.
- Jerking limb movements (termed myoclonus).

It is important to let your doctor know or attend an emergency department should you develop these symptoms.

The syndrome can be treated with withdrawal of the medications that caused it in the first place. Sedative medications such as diazepam or lorazepam are sometimes needed. If more severe then intensive care is required.

Derived from Isbister, 2007(530).
## 14.2 NRT management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For those who smoke:</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patch</strong></td>
<td>&gt;10 cigarettes per day and weight &gt; 45 kg</td>
<td>21mg/24 hour or 15mg/16 hour patch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;10 cigarettes/day or weight &lt;45 kg or cardiovascular disease</td>
<td>14mg/24 hour or 10mg/16 hour patch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gum</strong></td>
<td>&gt; 20 cigarettes/day</td>
<td>4 mg and 6–10 per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 10 and &lt;20 cigarettes/day</td>
<td>2mg and 8–12 per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inhaler</strong></td>
<td>&gt;10 cigarettes/day</td>
<td>6-12 cartridges per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lozenge</strong></td>
<td>First cigarette &gt;30 minutes after waking</td>
<td>2mg and 1 every 1–3 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First cigarette &lt;30 minutes after waking</td>
<td>4 mg and 1 every 1–2 hours</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### How to use:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patch</strong></td>
<td>Place the patch on a clean, non-hairy part of the body upon waking, place on a different site each day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gum</strong></td>
<td>Chew the gum until a peppery/tingling feeling, flatten and ‘park’ it between the gum and the cheek. Chew and ‘park’ several times for each piece of gum. Avoid coffee and drinks for 15 minutes before and while using the gum.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inhaler</strong></td>
<td>Inhale air through the cartridge for 20 minutes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lozenge</strong></td>
<td>Suck the lozenge and then ‘park’ it between the gum and the cheek. Suck and ‘park’ several times for each lozenge. Avoid coffee and drinks for 15 minutes before and while using the lozenge.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Derived from NSW Department of Health[84].
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Abstract

You are creating a data abstraction form to assess the case mix, treatments, and treatment outcome for patients with cancer of the larynx at your center. You plan to perform univariate analysis including Kaplan–Meier curves with log-rank tests and multiple variable analysis to account for effect of disease and age on outcome. At your center some patients have radiotherapy, some have chemotherapy in association with radiotherapy, and some have primary surgery. A specific patient’s treatment is based on the extent of disease and overall health. Patients with cancer of the larynx frequently have a variety of comorbid illnesses, often related to smoking and alcohol. For example, Mr. J.B. presented to the coronary care unit with his third myocardial infarction and was found to have a new cancer of the larynx. Due to his chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), diabetes, and past pulmonary embolus, he is not a candidate for either coronary revascularization or laryngectomy. How do you measure the impact of the comorbid illnesses on the decisions and the outcomes? © 2006 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
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Comorbidities are diseases or disorders that coexist with a disease of interest. Comorbid illnesses are important because they may delay diagnosis, may influence treatment decisions, are related to complications, alter survival, and confound analysis. The use of measurements of comorbidity is becoming more common in clinical research, but researchers are faced with a wide range of options, with surprisingly little information on the relative strengths and weaknesses of those options. The purpose of this user’s guide is to assist in selecting an effective index for a specific study by providing a methodology to organize, summarize, and compare the relevant elements of an index needed to understand its properties. This user’s guide is designed for researchers who require data abstraction from a medical record; it does not apply to research using administrative data.

This user’s guide consists of three sections: an introduction to comorbid illnesses, a critical appraisal, and some specific suggestions. The focus is on the four most commonly used general indices: the Cumulative Illness Rating Scale (CIRS) [1], the Kaplan–Feinstein Classification (KFC) [2], the Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) [3], and the Index of Co-Existing Disease (ICED) [4]. There are many other indices published, as recently described by de Groot et al. [5]. The content of this users guide is based on the background required for a published comparison of the four indices [6].

1. Introduction

1.1. Why measure comorbidity?

Treatment selection bias occurs when a patient’s treatment is determined or influenced by factors other than the disease itself. Typical examples are age, institutional beliefs, personal preference, and comorbid illness. Kaplan and Feinstein stated, “In clinical practice, the prognostic influences of age and comorbidity are well recognized, and these influences usually receive careful consideration during the diverse decisions of clinical judgment. In statistical studies, the effects of comorbidity are generally ignored” [2]. When selection bias exists, patients need to be stratified by risk for statistical analysis, and when the bias is related to comorbid illnesses, as in our example of Mr. J.B., a valid measurement of comorbid illness is essential for unraveling the effects of treatment.

The causes and consequences of comorbid illness have been reviewed by Gijsen et al. [7] and Greenfield et al. [8]. Specifically in oncology research, the distribution by age of comorbid illnesses in a population of cancer patients...
has been described [9], as has the impact of comorbidity on survival [10,11].

1.2. What a comorbidity index is and is not

Comorbidity refers to any other or coexistent illnesses that patients bring to a discussion of their present illness. It is a list of all relevant diseases, disorders, or illnesses—excluding the disease of interest—that might influence prognosis. In clinical practice this is the past history, the review of systems, and the list of current medications. In the case of Mr. J.B., with the newly diagnosed larynx cancer, the comorbidity comprises heart disease, COPD, diabetes, and pulmonary embolus.

A comorbidity index reduces all the coexistent illnesses and the severity of those illnesses to a single numeric score, allowing comparison with scores from other patients. The score indicates the overall severity of a patient’s medical baggage, and the score may vary within a single patient, depending on the disease of interest. In the case of Mr. J.B., the larynx cancer would be the comorbid illness if you were studying patients admitted with a third myocardial infarction.

Comorbidity is not multimorbidity, which is the coexistence of ≥2 illnesses in the same patient without identifying an index disease [7].

A comorbidity index is not an assessment of the impact of all illnesses on the overall health of a patient. These are performance scales (such as the Karnofsky or Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group [ECOG]) or functional assessment scales (such as the Activities of Daily Living [ADL]). These scales include the disease of interest (and its impact) and therefore have the same score for each patient regardless of the disease of interest. Performance scales also vary with time. For Mr. J.B., who is stable in the coronary care unit, the ECOG score for both larynx cancer and his heart disease would be 4.

1.3. When and when not to use a comorbidity index

A comorbidity index is a research tool usually used in retrospective or prospective observational studies that need to stratify patients into groups with similar risk. In clinical trials, performance indices have been traditionally used. Comorbidity indices by design are too coarse to replace clinical judgment in the clinical decision making for a specific patient.

2. Critical appraisal

This section is based on the principles for the assessment of any clinical measurement that can be found in a standard text of clinical epidemiology. These principles include the purpose, a description of the components, content validity, face validity, reliability, construct or criterion validity, feasibility, and generalizability. These principles will be highlighted with examples of the CIRS, KFC, CCI, and ICED in the setting of head and neck oncology.

2.1. Purpose and origin

All indices are designed by their authors for specific needs, and therefore it is essential for an investigator to understand the initial purpose and setting of the index being considered. The CIRS, for example, was based on the in-hospital mortality of a series of men a southeastern U.S. veterans hospital in 1964. The CIRS was designed to “estimate total medical burden and capacity for elderly patients to survive” and was not in fact designed as a comorbidity index [1]. It has been converted to a comorbidity index by removing the disease of interest. The KFC was designed to demonstrate that “comorbidity was a crucial and confounding variable” and was based on 188 newly diagnosed diabetic male patients followed in an outpatient clinic at the New Haven Veteran’s Hospital between 1959 and 1962 [2]. In its original format, it did not include diabetes. The CCI is a “taxonomy for comorbid conditions that might alter short term mortality” and was designed based on the mortality of 607 patients admitted to a general internal medicine service in a single New England hospital during 1 month in 1984 [3]. The ICED was created to demonstrate that “illness due to diseases other than the primary illness may affect the outcome of interest over the period of observation” and was not at the outset based on a specific patient population [4]. An investigator needs to consider how well the setting and design of a proposed study matches the original study population of the index.

2.2. General description

Comorbidity indices are multi-item predictive indices with three components: items, a severity scale (including criteria), and a scoring system. These three components for the four indices are reviewed in Table 1. The indices are ordered by date of publication: CIRS, KFC, CCI, and ICED.

The differences between the indices are striking. The ICED, for example, has 27 items. The CIRS and the CCI are summative indices; the KFC and the ICED are ordinal, using the greatest single scores. The score ranges are very different, as are the type of variable and typical distribution of scores. All of these are important factors in choosing an index.

2.3. Content validity

Content validity refers to the completeness and relevance of the content of the items to measuring what they claim to measure; it is therefore a reflection of the creation or development of an index. Content validity asks whether the items and the severity scale completely and appropriately describe the phenomenon of comorbidity, and whether any irrelevant areas are included. Content validity applies
to the original design as well as to upgrades or any modifications of original criteria by individual authors.

Some features of content validity are reviewed in Table 2; again, the differences are striking. The CCI was designed using a statistical methodology for item generation and reduction; CIRS, KFC, and ICED were made up by the authors solely based on clinical experience. The items in all four indices probably describe the wide range of comorbid illness, but there are a few exceptions—which may or may not be relevant to a planned study. One exception is neurological and psychiatric disease, for which the four indices take very different approaches. For example, the ICED includes only cerebral vascular disease and has no psychiatric items. Another example is alcoholism, which is an important comorbid illness in head and neck oncology. The CIRS and the KFC record alcoholism using severity scores under the hepatobiliary item, but with the ICED alcoholism per se does not appear and the patient would score positive only if known to have a liver disease. Content validity also asks whether the items are mutually exclusive. Unfortunately, for most of the indices it is possible to count twice the cause-and-effect diseases such as diabetic nephropathy, heart failure secondary to valvular heart disease, and pancreatitis secondary to alcoholism.

Similar to the items, the categories of the severity scales within the items may not describe the possible range of illnesses due to wording or definitions. The CCI, KFC, and ICED use explicit criteria that may or may not include specific or unusual illnesses. The CIRS, on the other hand, allows the rater to use judgment to place the patient on a severity scale if an illness or severity is not explicitly included. In assessing content validity, datedness of criteria and upgrades may be important. The criteria for the CIRS, KFC, and CCI (and in particular the explicit criteria of the KFC and CCI) are based on medical knowledge and treatments from more than 20 years ago. The CIRS was substantially upgraded to the CIRS-G by Miller et al. in 1992 [12] and the KFC was completely transformed by Piccirillo et al. [11] into the ACE-27 index.

### 2.4. Face validity

Face validity refers to the sensibility of the measurement based on the concept of the enlightened common sense of

---

### Table 1: The components of four comorbidity indices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>CIRS</th>
<th>KFC</th>
<th>CCI</th>
<th>ICED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Severity scale, no. of items</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Variable type</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Typical distribution</th>
<th>Severe score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CIRS [1]</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Organ or disease systems</td>
<td>0–4</td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>0–56</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KFC [2]</td>
<td>12 +1</td>
<td>Systems</td>
<td>0–3</td>
<td>Score of greatest single item b</td>
<td>Ordinal</td>
<td>0–3</td>
<td>Equal</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCI [3]</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Defined conditions</td>
<td>0–6</td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>0–31</td>
<td>Marked skew to right</td>
<td>&gt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICED [4]</td>
<td>27 (14 systems + 13 functional)</td>
<td>Systems</td>
<td>0–4 + 0–2</td>
<td>Condensation of greatest single item score from two components</td>
<td>Ordinal</td>
<td>4–Jan</td>
<td>Equal</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; CIRS, Cumulative Illness Rating Scale; ICED, Index of Co-Existent Disease; KFC, Kaplan–Feinstein Classification.

a Diabetes needs to be added.
b See section 2.4 Face Validity for scoring rules.
the researcher. Face validity asks whether the index makes sense, and whether it should work in general and for the user’s study in particular. Some features are summarized in Table 3, focused mainly on the scoring systems. The CIRS, KFC, and ICED assume that all the items and the severity categories have the same relative impact on the patient’s health (this is also referred to as internal validity).

For example, does disease of the hepatobiliary system tend to have the same impact on the outcome of interest as cardiac disease or hypertension? Or, does a score of 2 in the peripheral vascular disease item in any of the indices have the same impact as a score of 2 in the extended musculoskeletal system item? These questions were not addressed in the development or testing of those indices but the CCI’s statistical item generation accounted for this. Similarly, the scoring systems of the indices, except for the CCI, were simply made up based on assumptions. The CIRS assumes that the impact of all diseases are additive and the KFC assumes that the single most severe illness will determine the prognosis (the KFC also scores a patient with two moderately severe illnesses as ‘severe,’ assuming some additive effect). The ICED allows a high score in functional status severity to override a high disease severity score. The assumptions on the types of scoring systems have not be tested or confirmed and it is not known if one system is superior or if any are appropriate. None of these indices considered the impact of specific combinations of comorbid illnesses.

2.5. Reliability

Reliability is defined as “the extent to which repeated measurements of a stable phenomenon—by different people, at different times and places—get similar results” [13]. Although content and face validity are important, an index or scale that is not reliable in a specific research setting is not worth using. Interrater reliability (IRR) assesses the reliability of the data abstraction process by abstractors that is then converted to scores. IRR is related to features of the index such as the clarity of criteria and ease of use, but IRR can be swamped by factors such as record quality, training of abstractors, background education of abstractors and experience of abstractors. Key to success is the training of abstractors, which includes features such as how long were they trained, who trained them, how many charts they did abstract, and how they dealt with disagreements during training.

In our work we have found it essential to create a detailed series of rules for each index to deal with common confusing situations such as severity of smoking, actual conflicts in or uncertainty of diagnoses, or unstated diagnoses when a patient is on specific medication. Some protocols state that the abstractors have to reach a specific level of agreement before moving on from the training phase to study phase. Publications using comorbidity indices to control for risk should include a paragraph in the methods section on data abstraction but unfortunately this is rarely done.

IRR is commonly reported as percent agreement and/or \( \kappa \), but the most appropriate test for assessing more than 2 raters is the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) [14]. The ICC is derived from a repeated measures analysis of variance. It is a measure of agreement that accounts for both chance and systematic differences between raters, and is therefore more appropriate than Pearson’s product
moment, Spearman’s correlation, or percent agreement. The coefficients are analogous to \( \kappa \). The ICC, similar to \( \kappa \), has a possible range from 0.0 to 1.0. Portney and Watkins [15] suggest 0.75 as the cutpoint between good and moderate-to-poor reliability for the ICC. Rosner [16] uses the same 0.75 division between excellent and acceptable agreement for ICC. Shrout and Fleiss [17] have described three types of ICC, with the choice depending on the purpose of the study, the research design and the measurement used. Further information on the appropriate ICCs for study designs can be found in Streiner and Norman [14], Shrout and Fleiss [17], and McGraw and Wong [18].

It is common practice to modify indices to suit specific research questions, study populations or medical record detail. This may be to add a specific illness perceived by the author as important for the purpose or the specific study population but different from the original study, or to modify the criteria to suit a particular methodology. For example, the CCI was based on data from hospitalized patients; to score 1 for myocardial infarction in the original, a patient had to have been hospitalized and had enzyme changes, not just electrocardiographic changes. If a study were performed using patient records without such explicit information and recorded myocardial infarction in the absence of enzyme changes, the reliability of the index has been lost and the results may not be comparable to other studies.

### 2.6. Predictive ability: criterion and construct validity

A conventional critical appraisal would include assessments of both criterion and construct validity, terms that are confusing especially to clinicians. The term construct validity is most often used in the context of psychometric tests, or in studies of more subjective phenomena, and refers to testing hypotheses about how a scale should perform under various conditions, such as with different groups of patients or before and after treatment. True construct validity is not commonly tested using comorbidity indices but a few examples are found in Table 4 [12,19–22]. For example, the CCI was designed to be used to investigate mortality but Kiefe et al. [21] hypothesized that chronic disease was a barrier to cancer screening and found that the proportion of women presenting for routine screening tests declined with increasing CCI scores.

**Criterion validity**, on the other hand, compares the performance of an index to another measurement, usually seen as a gold standard, in the same clinical situation or question. Criterion validity has two subtypes of concurrent and predictive. An example of concurrent criterion validity is the gold-standard study by Conwell et al. [23], which compared the results of the CIRS based on chart review to the CIRS based on autopsy findings for the same patients. All comorbidity indices were created as predictive indices, and naturally most publications are examples of predictive criterion validity (i.e., an index measuring comorbid illness successfully stratified patients by an outcome). A complete review of the performance validity of each index is beyond the scope of this user’s guide. In general, however, the CIRS has been predominantly reported in the geriatric and psychiatric literature, KFC has been used mostly in oncology, and the ICED in both health policy and renal disease. The CCI, which is the most commonly used, has been reported in every imaginable setting. A reader then has to assess both the relevance of published reports to his or her own proposed research as well as the quality of the reported work.

There are a small group of publications [6,22,24–27] that compare the predictive performance of some of the indices and these studies can be particularly useful in demonstrating the ability of the indices to create strata of like patients as well as providing other information such as typical score distributions and methodological problems. One statistical method that can be used to compare predictive performance is the percent variance explained (PVE) [28,29]. We reported a comparison of the four indices using PVE and found that comorbidity as measured by the KFC explained 6% of the variance in survival in a group of patients with head and neck cancer [6]. Six percent was enough to establish both the ICED and the KFC as independent predictors of survival, but it is not known what

### Table 4

Examples of construct validity for four comorbidity indices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Result (created risk strata?)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General medicine hospital discharges</td>
<td>KFC, CCI, ICED</td>
<td>Readmission to hospital within 6 mo</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Waite et al., 1994 [22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geriatric outpatients</td>
<td>CIRS</td>
<td>Medical burden of different subgroups</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Miller et al., 1992 [12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elderly cancer patients</td>
<td>CIRS</td>
<td>Scores of ECOG-PS, ADL, IADL</td>
<td>No correlation</td>
<td>Extermann et al., 1998 [20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient medical clinic</td>
<td>CCI</td>
<td>Health status as a barrier to health care</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Kiefe et al., 1998 [21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac patients</td>
<td>ICED</td>
<td>Expected gain in health state</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chen et al., 1996 [19]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** ADL, Activities of Daily Living; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; CIRS, Cumulative Illness Rating Scale; ECOG-PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group–Performance Status; IADL, Instrumental Activities of Daily Living; ICED, Index of Co-Existential Disease; KFC, Kaplan–Feinstein Classification.
percentage might be appropriate or acceptable and it is not known what percentage might be attainable with other indices or modifications to the present ones.

2.7. Feasibility

Feasibility includes features such as simplicity, ease of use, training requirements, availability of a manual and time of administration. Simplicity for abstractors is a balance between using explicit and judgmental criteria and would vary from person to person and study to study. All indices require significant training and an example of time of administration is reported by Waite et al. [22] for the KFC, CCI, and ICED. The explicit criteria for the CCI are an appendix to the original publication by Charlson et al. [3]. A manual is available upon request for the CIRS-G [30] and for the ICED [31], and a manual with instructional video and online teaching is available for the ACE-27 [32].

2.8. Generalizability

Generalizability is an important feature of any critical appraisal, and although it is made up of features from content and face validity the major question is the study population that the index was designed with and tested on. The CCI was created using 1-year mortality data on 604 general medical admissions in 1 month at one New England teaching hospital in 1984 and then was validated using the records of 685 patients with breast cancer treated between 1965 and 1969. The KFC was created using data from 201 men with newly diagnosed diabetes followed in an outpatient clinic starting in 1964. Researchers have to decide whether the results from the test study population or subsequent validation studies will be generalizable to their own study population.

2.9. Putting it all together

To compare all the features of a critical appraisal together is a difficult task and the results of such a comparison will vary according to the proposed setting, the studies reviewed, the range of studies reviewed and the researcher’s view of sensibility. Table 5 ranks the relative validity of each of the indices based on the literature review in the specific research setting of studies relevant to head and neck oncology [6]. For content validity, the CCI and the CIRS-G are ranked higher due to their statistical and consensus panel item generation methodology. For face validity, the CIRS ranks highest because it makes the most sense (a summative scale of all items that allows the rater to use judgment on any items) and the ICED lowest as there is no published evidence for its scoring method. Reliability is based on the literature such as the accompanying review. For generalizability, the CCI, despite being the most commonly used index, is ranked low as it is hard to imagine that the 16 identified and explicit causes of 1 year mortality of 604 general medical admissions in one month during 1981 can have specific applications more than 2 decades later. A table comparing the validity of indices can provide an overview and lead an investigator in the assessment the relative validity of the indices being considered.

3. How to choose?

Choosing the correct index for a study initially comes down to four features, as follows.

3.1. Type and completeness of your information

All the indices assume a complete medical record but the depth and quality of records clearly varies between and within studies and therefore the detail of patient data available needs to be matched to the described needs of the index.

3.2. Type of score

The KFC and ICED produce an ordinal variable; the CIRS and CCI create continuous variables. The researcher needs to decide which type would be most appropriate for the planned statistical analysis of the data noting that the cutpoints to report continuous variables as categorical data ought to be (although rarely are) validated.

3.3. Distribution of scores

The expected distribution of scores may be important for study design. For example, the CIRS typically creates a normal distribution and the KFC often creates four somewhat equal groups of patients. With the CCI, most patients score zero producing a marked right skew to the typical score.
distribution and a smaller number of 'sickest' patients, compared with the other indices.

It is important to understand that due to differences in items, severity scales and scoring, that the scores for different indices for the same patient or patients may be different and that different indices identify different patients as the 'sickest'.

3.4. General vs. disease specific

The four indices in this user’s guide are general indices, but there are many site-specific indices available. Site-specific indices tend to be either modifications of existing indices (but of course without their established reliability) or created from specific datasets without testing on other study populations.

4. Summary

Clinical epidemiology has been described as “the study of the variation in the outcome of illness and of the reasons for that variation” [33]. To understand treatment and outcome variation, it is essential that like patients be compared. Mr. J.B.’s outcome has to be compared to patients with similar comorbid illness, and the multiple variable comparison of radiotherapy to chemotherapy to surgery for cancer of the larynx ought to control for the differences in patient risk. The objective of this user’s guide is to provide an investigator with a structure to help assess a measurement for a particular research study.
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**Charlson Comorbidity Index**

([http://www.fpnotebook.com/prevent/Exam/ChrlsnCmrbdtyIndx.htm](http://www.fpnotebook.com/prevent/Exam/ChrlsnCmrbdtyIndx.htm))

*Aka: Charlson Comorbidity Index, Comorbidity-Adjusted Life Expectancy*

1. **Indication**
   1. Assess whether a patient will live long enough to benefit from a specific screening measure or medical intervention

2. **Scoring: Comorbidity Component (Apply 1 point to each unless otherwise noted)**
   1. Myocardial Infarction
   2. Congestive Heart Failure
   3. Peripheral Vascular Disease
   4. Cerebrovascular Disease
   5. Dementia
   6. COPD
   7. Connective Tissue Disease
   8. Peptic Ulcer Disease
   9. Diabetes Mellitus (1 point uncomplicated, 2 points if end-organ damage)
   10. Moderate to Severe Chronic Kidney Disease (2 points)
   11. Hemiplegia (2 points)
   12. Leukemia (2 points)
   13. Malignant Lymphoma (2 points)
   14. Solid Tumor (2 points, 6 points if metastatic)
   15. Liver Disease (1 point mild, 3 points if moderate to severe)
   16. AIDS (6 points)

3. **Scoring: Age**
   1. Age <40 years: 0 points
   2. Age 41-50 years: 1 points
   3. Age 51-60 years: 2 points
   4. Age 61-70 years: 3 points
   5. Age 71-80 years: 4 points

4. **Interpretation**
   1. Calculate Charlson Score or Index (i)
      1. Add Comorbidity score to age score
      2. Total denoted as 'i' below
   2. Calculate Charlson Probability (10 year mortality)
      1. Calculate $Y = e^{(i \times 0.9)}$
      2. Calculate $Z = 0.983^Y$
      3. where $Z$ is the 10 year survival

5. **References**
10 ‘Take Aways’ From the Final Report of the At Home/Chez Soi Study

Nick Falvo

Carleton University

April 24, 2014

Earlier this month, I wrote a blog post titled 10 Things to Know About the At Home/Chez Soi (AHCS) Study, a homelessness study which I argue is one of the most ambitious randomized controlled trials in Canadian history. The intent of that post was to provide background information about the report prior to its release. Now that the final report has been released, I have compiled another ‘Top 10’ list.

But first, a bit of background:

• All participants in this study were homeless at the start of the program—they were either sleeping in emergency shelters or sleeping outside when the study began. On average, each study participant had experienced a lifetime total of five years of homelessness upon enrollment in the study.

• All participants also “had one or more serious mental illness.” Among other things, this means that this was not a representative sample of Canada’s homeless population, nor was it intended to be. (The Street Health Report 2007, which surveyed a representative sample of Toronto’s homeless population in 2007, found that just 35% of their sample had received a mental health diagnosis from a physician at some point in their lifetime.)

• Study participants were recruited in Vancouver, Winnipeg, Toronto, Montreal and Moncton.

• Participants were interviewed every three months over a two-year period.

• To assess the extent to which participants were using publicly-funded services in the justice, health and social services sectors outside of those provided by the study team, information about the use of such services was collected from national and provincial administrative data sources, as well as from study participants themselves. The information estimated service use by participants both before and after the study started.

• Members of the treatment group received assistance finding an apartment and were provided with a rent supplement (i.e. money to assist with the rent so that no member of the intervention group paid more than 30% of income on rent during the study). The financial assistance in question, known as a “rent supplement,” was generally between $400 and $500 per month. Members of the treatment group were also offered professional health and ‘social work’ support (in some cases medium-intensity, and in other cases higher-intensity).

• Most of the housing used by members of the study’s treatment group was owned and operated by for-profit landlords.

Against this backdrop, here are 10 ‘take aways’ from the final report of the AHCS homelessness study:

1. Histories of both trauma and head injuries are common among homeless persons. At baseline, 38% of participants reported having been sexually abused in childhood, while 55% reported having been
physically abused as children. Moreover, almost two-thirds of participants reported “a history of one or more traumatic head injuries involving unconsciousness.” For more on the risk factors associated with homelessness, see this 2009 background document. For more on traumatic brain injuries among homeless persons, see this 2012 piece.

2. A large proportion of homeless persons suffer from chronic physical health problems. I was surprised to learn that more than 90% of study participants “had at least one chronic physical health problem.” Admittedly, it was more than a decade ago that Dr. Stephen Hwang wrote: “Homeless people in their forties and fifties often develop health disabilities that are more commonly seen only in people who are decades older.” Moreover, findings of this general nature were confirmed in The Street Health Report 2007. Nevertheless, I found this “more than 90%” figure from the AHCS study to be rather astonishing. I was not surprised to learn that at least 85 of the study’s participants are known to have died during the study period. For more on mortality rates among homeless and marginally-housed persons, see this 2009 study.

3. In most cases, providing homeless persons with affordable housing and professional support can be effective at ending a person’s homelessness (keeping in mind that this was not a representative sample of Canada’s homeless population). Having personally spent 10 years working with homeless persons in Toronto (including seven as a mental health outreach worker) I did not need the results of a $110-million research study to be convinced of that. But only two randomized controlled trials of this nature had ever been conducted; each had fewer than 100 participants, and both had been done in the United States. As the report’s authors note in the AHCS final report: “Given the difference in social policy and health care delivery between the U.S. and Canada, it is vital that evidence about homelessness interventions be grounded in the Canadian context.”

4. People who consume large amounts of alcohol and drugs can still maintain their housing. Researchers found that study participants “with substance use problems at baseline maintained stable housing to a similar degree as the overall sample.” I think this helps to debunk the ‘old school’ notion that homeless persons must first ‘rehabilitate’ before being provided with access to permanent housing. For a recent article that explores the provision of housing to homeless persons who are heavy users of drugs or alcohol, see this 2013 article. For an open-access on the same topic, see this 2011 piece.
5. Not only is it cost effective to provide a homeless person with affordable housing and professional support, it sometimes even saves taxpayers money. For participants who were costing the broader social support system very considerable sums of money before the study began, the research team has calculated that, from a straight accounting perspective, providing such individuals with housing and professional support can actually save taxpayers money (a point made quite convincingly by Malcolm Gladwell in February 2006). Put differently, though it can cost between $14,000 and $22,000 annually* to provide a homeless person with affordable housing and professional support, for some homeless persons, this approach will result in savings on other publicly-funded services over and above that amount (on such things as psychiatric hospital stays and time spend in detention centres). For the 10% of participants who were using the most services upon enrolment in the AHCS study, every $1 invested in housing and professional support during the course of the study resulted in average savings of just over $2. And across all study participants, every $1 invested in housing and professional support resulted in $0.75 in savings on health, justice-related and social services. (For more on the costs of homelessness, see this 2005 report and this 2012 report.) Even with this evidence of savings in hand, however, there remains a key political challenge when it comes to implementation of such programming. As my colleague Paul Dowling points out, when it comes to providing affordable housing and professional support to homeless persons, “the department that pays and the department that saves are often not the same department.”

6. Large urban centres in Canada already have programs that respond to homelessness; some of these programs, though underfunded, appear to be somewhat effective. Members of this study’s control group, in effect, got the short end of the stick, having been randomly placed into the group that did not receive help finding an apartment from the study team, did not receive a monthly rent supplement from the study team and did not receive professional health and social-work support from the study team. Yet, 31% of these individuals still managed to find housing for the duration of the study through status quo programs (i.e. social programs that were already in place before the AHCS program began); another 23% of them managed to be housed for part of the time. While it should be underlined that they were ‘outperformed’ by the treatment group (members of the treatment group spent more than twice as much time in stable housing than did members of the control group) to an extent I think the success of the control group speaks to the partial effectiveness of homelessness programs that were already in place well before the AHCS study began. In other words, it’s not as though there were no programs that responded to homelessness before the AHCS study got started! For an overview of the development of these programs in the Toronto context, see this 2009 policy report. Just how underfunded are homelessness programs in Canada? As I’ve said before, annual federal funding for homelessness in 2014 (after adjusting for inflation) represents just 35% of its 1999 level.

7. Even with housing and professional support, not every homeless person can maintain their housing. Sixteen percent of the study participants who received help finding an apartment, were offered financial
assistance to pay for the apartment and were offered health and social-work support were not able to hang on to an apartment for any significant length of time (in fact, some of them remained homeless the entire time). Going forward, what public policy response is warranted for this group of people? Do they need supportive housing with 24-hour-a-day on-site support, such as that offered at Strachan House in Toronto?

8. Members of this study’s treatment group did not receive one treatment; they received several. Likewise, the study’s control group was not a control group in the narrow sense. Participants in this study’s treatment group were given a bundle of things—they were placed into an apartment, they were given a considerable amount of financial assistance to afford the unit, and they were provided with either moderate- or high-intensive services by a team of health and social-work professionals. Which of these three factors was the largest determinant of their housing success? I suspect we’ll never know. I do not mean this as a criticism of the study; rather, I think it’s simply worth underlining that interpreting the results of a randomized controlled trial is not always a straightforward process. Likewise, we know that members of the control group did not receive the specific intervention offered by the AHCS research project; but there was nothing stopping members of the control group from receiving affordable housing and professional support from another service provider in the city in question. For example, in Toronto, many members of the control group may have become housed by (and received professional social-work support from) Toronto’s Streets to Homes program. Other members of the control group may have been housed by supportive housing providers who also provide permanent housing and professional support. That said, my guess is that fewer than 10% of members of the control group received affordable housing and professional support on par with the type offered to members of this study’s treatment group. Put differently, even though the control group may not have been a control group in the narrow sense (I am told that is why it was referred to as the study’s “treatment as usual group” as opposed to the study’s “control group”) that does not mean that the study’s findings should not be taken very seriously.

9. The effectiveness of non-profit housing was not a focus of this study. As I argued last October, providing a homeless person with immediate access to permanent housing without requiring that the individual ‘rehabilitate’ (often known as the “housing first” approach) has existed at least since homelessness became a prominent focus of public policy in the 1980s. In the 1980s in Toronto, such housing and professional support was usually provided by non-profit housing providers (such as Houselink Community Homes and Homes First Society). Non-profit housing providers were not part of the “intervention” in the present study, which might lead some naive observers to conclude that non-profit housing providers should not be viewed as part of the solution to homelessness. I would dispute such a suggestion. As I’ve blogged about here, there are advantages of having not-for-profit landlords (as opposed to for-profit landlords) own and operate housing for low-income individuals. I think this underlines the need for future research on the provision of permanent housing by non-profit housing
providers who have a history of providing supportive housing (that is, permanent housing combined with professional support) to this population.

10. There may have been a Hawthorne effect (admittedly, this one’s more for the research wonks out there!). If I knew I was part of a study’s treatment group (and was reminded of this every three months by a researcher) and that the goal of the study was to assess the extent to which a well-funded bundle of services and supports would keep me housed, that knowledge in and of itself might provide me with added incentive to maintain my housing. In other words, take the cameras away—and in this study, there were quite literally cameras in some cases—and I might not try as hard to be a success. Conversely, if I knew I was part of a study’s control group (and was reminded of this every three months by a researcher) the knowledge that I was part of the control group might make me feel less confident about securing and maintaining my own housing. Ergo: it’s possible there was a Hawthorne effect on study participants that exaggerated the success that the intervention might actually have in the ‘real world.’ That said, it’s not clear to me how exactly the research team could have realistically gone about measuring or controlling for a Hawthorne effect. At some point in the interview process (possibly near the end of the process) I would have liked it if the researchers had asked members of the treatment group questions such as: “Since you know you were in the treatment group, can you discuss the impact that that knowledge has had on your recent behaviour? Has it motivated you to try harder to keep your housing?” To members of the control group, I would have liked it had they asked something like: “Since you’ve known all along that you’re a member of this study’s ‘treatment as usual group,’ did this knowledge affect your behaviour in any way? Do you think it discouraged you from finding housing?”